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## Foreword

In this publication, the National Institute of Higher Education, Research, Science and Technology (NIHERST) presents the results of the Survey of Science in Secondary Schools, 2011.

The Survey of Science in Secondary Schools, 2011 was a two-part enquiry of teachers and students in Forms 1 to 5 in government, government-assisted and private secondary schools. The major objectives of this study were to compile data on the profile of secondary school science teachers, training needs, choice of career and difficulties encountered in teaching science. The adequacy and availability of teaching aids and textbooks, teaching and evaluation methods, students' attitudes towards science and careers in science were also addressed in the enquiry.

The teaching and understanding of science in schools are essential for promoting scientific literacy in society and the development of future scientists and engineers. The results of this study are therefore intended to provide empirical data on key education indicators necessary for improving the quality of science education to the benefit of all stakeholders.

The survey results in this report have been tabulated by type of school. However, data can also be provided by educational district on request. The responses from teachers are shown in tables 1 to 55 and those from students in tables 56 to 80.

NIHERST wishes to thank the Ministry of Education for approving the conduct of this study in secondary schools. We also acknowledge the input of the curriculum officers of the core science subjects in the Ministry of Education and the co-operation of teachers and students in state, state-assisted and private institutions who willingly provided the data collated in this report.
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## Data Highlights

## Teachers

## Profile of secondary schools science teachers

* Of the sample of 144 science teachers, $29 \%$ were males and $71 \%$ were females, representing a male to female ratio of 1 to 2.5. Females out-numbered their male counterparts in all age groups and types of school.
* Most science teachers (39\%) overall, were between 30-39 years of age followed by one-third (33\%) in the 20-29 age cohort. The modal age of science teachers in government secondary schools ( $40 \%$ ) was between 30-39 years while the largest proportion in government-assisted (49\%) and private (38\%) schools was between 20-29 years of age.
* A significant majority ( $80 \%$ ) of secondary school science teachers, especially amongst those from state ( $84 \%$ ) and state-assisted ( $80 \%$ ) institutions, reported a first degree as their highest level of education while $10 \%$ possessed a higher degree qualification.
* A half (54\%) of the sample of secondary school science teachers had no professional qualification while approximately two-fifths (37\%) possessed a diploma in education. A higher percentage ( $45 \%$ ) of science teachers in state secondary schools obtained the diploma in education compared to their counterparts in state-assisted (37\%) and private (5\%) schools.
* One-fifth (22\%) of the science teachers taught a combination of Biology and Integrated Science while a similar percentage (19\%) taught Integrated science only. Fifteen percent (15\%) taught both Chemistry and Integrated Science while 11\% and 10\% taught Physics and Chemistry respectively.
* Most male teachers were engaged in teaching Physics (24\%), Integrated Science (21\%), and Biology and Integrated Science (17\%) while females taught Biology ( $25 \%$ ) and Chemistry ( $19 \%$ ) with Integrated Science in each case, and Integrated Science (18\%).
* Two-fifths (39\%) of the sample of science teachers majored in Chemistry, a quarter (25\%) in Biology and approximately one-fifth (17\%) in Physics.
* Forty-nine percent (49\%) of the science teachers, especially in state-assisted schools ( $66 \%$ ), selected teaching as their career of first choice while a similar percentage ( $51 \%$ ) did not.
* The majority ( $72 \%$ ) of science teachers overall, and similarly by type of school and gender indicated that they were not desirous of changing to another career.


## syllabus content and instructional time

* Overall, a significant percentage ( $85 \%$ ) of science teachers, especially in state ( $85 \%$ ) and stateassisted (95\%) schools were very familiar with the Caribbean Secondary Education Certificate (C.S.E.C.) syllabus.
* Two-fifths (42\%) of the science teachers in secondary schools indicated that they expected to complete between 81-100\% of the syllabus for the academic year 2010/2011 and approximately a quarter ( $23 \%$ ) between 61-80\%.
* Seventy-one percent (71\%) of form 5 teachers expected to complete between $81-100 \%$ of the syllabus by the end of the academic year, 2010/2011.
* The most frequently performed scientific activity was to explain scientific principles and concepts. The majority of teachers indicated that students conducted experiments ( $81 \%$ ), made observations and presented findings and interpretations ( $71 \%$ ), and, formulated and tested hypotheses ( $70 \%$ ), at some lessons.


## Time spent outside the formal school day

* Teachers in general, devoted more time to planning future class sessions and preparing or grading student exams or homework. In addition, science teachers in private secondary schools spent considerable time on administrative tasks including staff meetings.
* Over a third (37\%) of the teachers spent less than one hour on professional reading and development, while one-quarter ( $25 \%$ ) spent 1-2 hours.
* Forty-six percent (46\%) of the sample of science teachers attended workshops in curriculum and teaching methods while one-third (32\%) was exposed to workshops in assessment.


## Homeworle assignment

* Over a half (58\%) of the teachers assigned science homework once or twice a week while one-fifth did so everyday (22\%) and 19\% sometimes.
* A relatively larger proportion of teachers in private (29\%) and state-assisted (27\%) schools assigned science homework every day compared to $18 \%$ in state schools.


## Adequacy and use of textbooks

* In general, a significant majority ( $90 \%$ ) of the sample of science teachers, especially in forms 5 ( $96 \%$ ) and 1 ( $94 \%$ ), used textbooks to teach science.
* Overall, $60 \%$ of the teachers in secondary schools stated that the prescribed science textbooks were adequate while 30\% disagreed and 10\% did not use them.
* In the lower forms, a relatively larger proportion of the teachers indicated that the Caribbean Interactive Science series, Book 1 - Book 3 were adequate for teaching science compared to teachers who used the Lower Secondary Science series, Books 1 and 2.
* Of the more frequently used texts in forms 4 and 5, adequacy was recorded as follows: CXC Integrated Science ( $75 \%$ ), Biology: A Concise Revision Course for CXC (64\%), Chemistry for CSEC (75\%) and Heinemann Physics for CXC (80\%).


## Adequacy and use of teaching resources

* Twenty-two percent (22\%) and $37 \%$ of the science teachers who participated in the survey indicated that they used the Internet, a great deal and quite a lot respectively, as a teaching resource while one-third (35\%) used it a little and 6\% did not use it.
* Teachers in government-assisted secondary schools used the Internet as a teaching resource more than their counterparts in government and private schools.
* All public (100\%), and 71\% of the private schools had science laboratories. However, a half (51\%), especially in the state-assisted schools (67\%), indicated that the laboratories were not well equipped.
* Two-fifths of the sample of science teachers had a lot (41\%) and some (40\%) influence on the subject matter to be taught. However, by comparison teachers' influence declined on the acquisition of specific textbooks, and materials and supplies.


## Assessment methods

* In assessing students' work, science teachers assigned the most weight to teacher-made tests and responses from students in class.
*. Laboratory work and projects also received high ratings from the majority of science teachers.


## Issues that limited teaching of the subject

* A substantial proportion of teachers reported that lack of parental interest in children's learning and progress ( $65 \%$ ) and disruptive students ( $52 \%$ ), mainly in government schools, limited their teaching of science, a great deal and quite a lot.
* A relatively large percentage (51\%) of teachers in government schools identified student absenteeism, and students who come from a wide range of backgrounds, as factors that impacted considerably on teaching, together with inadequate physical facilities in all schools.


## Teachers view on students' learning

* Almost all (96\%) of the science teachers in both public and private secondary schools agreed that: an understanding of how students learn was essential for teaching science, together with some students had a natural talent for science and others did not (74\%), and too often the class was intrigued by scientific demonstrations while not grasping the underlying principles (61\%).
* Three-quarters (75\%) of the teachers disagreed that students were not interested in science at the secondary school level.


## students

* The majority ( $53 \%$ ) of form 1 students was 12 years and under, while students in forms 2 ( $47 \%$ ) and $3(46 \%)$ were 13 and 14 years of age respectively; this trend continued whereby in the higher forms 4 (53\%) and 5 ( $85 \%$ ) most students were 15 and 16 years and over respectively.
* Overall, the largest proportion (35\%) of the students, who responded to the survey, reported a frequency of 4 science periods each week while $30 \%$ indicated 5 periods, mainly in form 5.
* Most students in forms 1 (83\%) and 2 (77\%) used the New Lower Secondary Science Books 1 and 2 respectively. Caribbean Interactive Science Book 3 ( $64 \%$ ) was most popular amongst form 3 students. Students in the higher forms 4 and 5, however, used a variety of science textbooks.
* In general, the majority (69\%) of students, as in the case of the teachers (60\%), reported that the prescribed science textbooks were adequate; $16 \%$ stated they were inadequate; and $11 \%$ useless.
* Two-fifths (42\%) of the students brought their science textbooks to school, every time while onethird (34\%) stated sometimes.
* Over a half (57\%) of the responding sample of students indicated that teachers assigned science homework sometimes while one-third (32\%) stated every time. A higher percentage (37\%) of students in state-assisted schools, especially in form 4 (56\%), reported homework every time compared to $29 \%$ and $28 \%$ in state and private institutions respectively.
* The response data reveal that $51 \%$ of students did their science homework, every time, and $41 \%$, sometimes.
* Three-fifths (59\%) of the students in government-assisted secondary schools did their homework every time compared to $52 \%$ and $45 \%$ in private and government schools respectively.
* The main reasons given by students for not doing their science homework every time were difficulty with the science homework (33\%), not inclined to (27\%) and lack of time (21\%).
* In seeking help with science homework, students identified their parents or guardians (28\%), classmates or friends ( $28 \%$ ) and teachers ( $23 \%$ ) as their main sources of assistance.
* A substantial percentage (69\%) of the responding sample of secondary school science students did not take extra lessons or tutoring.
* Overall, two-thirds (65\%) of the students, especially in forms 4 ( $76 \%$ ) and 5 (77\%), attended extra lessons to do better which was also seen as the desired outcome by a larger proportion of students in state-assisted secondary schools (76\%) compared to state (57\%) and private (62\%) schools.
* As Integrated Science is taught in the lower forms, especially in the state institutions, most students in forms 1 to 3 enjoyed this combination of the biological and physical sciences. However, in pursuing studies in core sciences, most students in forms 4 and 5 enjoyed Biology, followed by Chemistry and Physics to a lesser extent.
* Four-fifths ( $81 \%$ ) of the students reported that their schools had no science club. The state-assisted institutions had a higher percentage (36\%) of science clubs compared to 19\% in state schools.
* Of the $19 \%$ of students who indicated that their schools had science clubs only $15 \%$ were members.
* Students copied notes from the board or teacher (88\%) and discussed completed homework (67\%), most frequently in their science classes.
* Approximately a half of the students related science to everyday problems (52\%), presented and discussed findings (52\%), had a quiz or test (52\%), worked in groups ( $49 \%$ ) and worked individually using textbook (48\%), always and pretty often.
* A significant percentage of the students indicated that learning science will help them in their daily lives ( $91 \%$ ) and that they liked science ( $81 \%$ ).
* Approximately three-quarters agreed: they should do well in science to get the job they wanted (75\%), they would have liked science much more if it were not so difficult (72\%), and they usually did well in science (72\%).
* Students also indicated: they would like a job that involved science (62\%), they needed to do well in science to please their parents (59\%), they would like to take more science in school (56\%), and they needed science to learn other school subjects (56\%).
* Students devoted more time to doing homework on a normal school day. A quarter of the students spent three hours and more hanging out with friends (24\%) and watching television or videos (24\%).
* Most students (87\%) had access to a home computer, had science books (73\%), other than textbooks, and study desks (69\%) at their homes.
* Overall, three-fifths (60\%) of the students read science books other than their textbooks. Students in the higher forms, 4 ( $70 \%$ ) and 5 ( $68 \%$ ), read science books more than those in the lower forms, 1 (57\%), 2 (54\%) and 3 (53\%).
* Most students (68\%) watched science programmes on television. Television viewing of science programmes was reported by $75 \%$ of form 4 and $70 \%$ of form 5 students.
* A significant percentage (73\%) of the sample of secondary school science students expressed a desire to attain a university-level education.
* A quarter of the parents/guardians of students from all schools attained O'levels/C.S.E.C. (27\%) and university degrees ( $25 \%$ ) as their highest level of education. Parents/guardians of the students in state-assisted ( $31 \%$ ) and private ( $28 \%$ ) institutions had achieved university-level education compared to $21 \%$ in state schools. This trend is similar to that of the intended educational achievement of students.


## Methodology

## Introduction

The empirical results of this study on manpower, physical infrastructure and curriculum content are key indicators in the planning and evaluation of science education. This publication should engage the attention of decision-makers, curriculum specialists, researchers, teaching personnel and, in general, stakeholders in science education. This methodology describes the objectives, scope, coverage, data collection and processing of the results of the survey.

## Objectives

The results of this study are intended to provide data on key education indicators necessary for improving the quality of science education. This enquiry focused on the quality of teaching, available resources and students' approach towards science by monitoring:

- Teachers' qualifications and training needs
- Adequacy of teaching materials and textbooks
- Areas of difficulty - teaching and understanding
- Assessment methods
- Students' views towards science
- Students' attitudes towards careers in science


## scope

The scope of this study included information on the demographic and social characteristics of science teachers such as age, gender, educational attainment, professional qualifications and years of service. Teachers also provided information about instructional practices, teaching resources and assessment strategies. Apart from the socio-demographic characteristics of the students, the survey also examined students' attitudes towards science and careers in science, their academic self-concept, classroom and afterschool activities and home background.

## Coverage

The frame for the study was obtained from the Ministry of Education. It contained a total of 181 public and private secondary schools in the various educational districts of Trinidad and Tobago. Of the 181 secondary schools surveyed, 24 , mainly private schools, were out of operation or did not conduct any science classes. The following table shows the number of selections and response rate by type of school.

## Response by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | No• <br> selected | No• <br> responded | Responded <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 157 | 144 | 92 |
| 1. Government | 89 | 82 | 92 |
| 2. Government-assisted | 42 | 41 | 98 |
| 3. Private | 26 | 21 | 81 |

## Sample Design

The list of secondary schools was divided into three strata - government, government-assisted and private schools. The following procedure was then adopted in selecting the form as the sampling unit: commencing with stratum one, form 1 was selected from the first listed school, form 2 from the second school and subsequently forms 3,4 and 5 from the next consecutive schools. This process was repeated until the schools in the stratum were exhausted. The method for selecting the forms from strata two and three was similar to that described for stratum one. After the forms were selected all forms 1 and 2 were assigned the subject of integrated science, while forms 3 to 5 in each stratum were assigned Integrated Science, Biology, Chemistry or Physics. The science teacher and all students of the selected form were surveyed on the teaching of the assigned science subject. Through this selection process, a representative sample of one hundred and forty-four teachers and approximately three thousand and four hundred students from public and private secondary schools responded to the enquiry.

## Data Collection

Two questionnaires, one for teachers and another for students of the selected forms, were designed to achieve the survey objectives. They were then delivered to each school and subsequently monitored by a group of experienced interviewers. Data collection commenced in March, 2011 and was completed by June, 2011.

## Data Processing

As completed questionnaires were received, data were edited for consistency and omissions. Where discrepancies were identified, questionnaires were returned to the field for verification and correction as necessary. Edited data were then captured in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 software which was used to produce the tabulations in this report.

## Result;

The results of the survey are presented for the sample of respondents, teachers and students separately, in the various tabulations and graphics which follow.

Table 1: Distribution of Science Teachers by Type of Secondary School, Age Group and Gender

| Type of secondary school | Age group (years) | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  |  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | All ages | 144 | 100 | 42 | 29 | 102 | 71 |
|  | 20-29 | 47 | 100 | 12 | 26 | 35 | 74 |
|  | 30-39 | 56 | 100 | 13 | 23 | 43 | 77 |
|  | 40-49 | 22 | 100 | 9 | 41 | 13 | 59 |
|  | 50 and over | 19 | 100 | 8 | 42 | 11 | 58 |
| Government | All ages | 82 | 100 | 26 | 32 | 56 | 68 |
|  | 20-29 | 19 | 100 | 5 | 26 | 14 | 74 |
|  | 30-39 | 33 | 100 | 8 | 24 | 25 | 76 |
|  | 40-49 | 17 | 100 | 8 | 47 | 9 | 53 |
|  | 50 and over | 13 | 100 | 5 | 38 | 8 | 62 |
| Government-assisted | All ages | 41 | 100 | 10 | 24 | 31 | 76 |
|  | 20-29 | 20 | 100 | 4 | 20 | 16 | 80 |
|  | 30-39 | 16 | 100 | 4 | 25 | 12 | 75 |
|  | 40-49 | 3 | 100 | 1 | 33 | 2 | 67 |
|  | 50 and over | 2 |  | 1 | 50 | 1 | 50 |
| Private | All ages | 21 | 100 | 6 | 29 | 15 | 71 |
|  | 20-29 | 8 | 100 | 3 | 38 | 5 | 63 |
|  | 30-39 | 7 | 100 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 86 |
|  | 40-49 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
|  | 50 and over | 4 | 100 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 |

Tables 1 and 2 show the distribution of the age and gender characteristics of science teachers in public and private secondary schools who responded to the survey. Of the sample of 144 teachers, $29 \%$ were males and $71 \%$ were females, representing a male to female ratio of 1 to 2.5 . Females out-numbered their male counterparts in all age groups and types of school (Table 1). In terms of age distribution, the largest percentage (39\%) of science teachers overall was observed in the 30-39 age group followed by one-third ( $33 \%$ ) in the 20-29 age cohort (Table 2). However, by type of school the modal age of science teachers in government secondary schools (40\%) was between 30-39 years while the largest proportion in governmentassisted (49\%) and private (38\%) schools was between 20-29 years of age.

Chart 1: Percentage of Science Teachers by Age and Gender All Schools


Source: Table 1

Table 2: Percentage of Science Teachers by Type of Secondary School, Age Group within Gender

| Type of secondary school | Age group (years) | Gender |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Male | Female |
| G |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | All ages | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $20-29$ | 33 | 29 | 34 |
|  | $30-39$ | 39 | 31 | 42 |
|  | $40-49$ | 15 | 21 | 13 |
|  | 50 and over | 13 | 19 | 11 |
| Government | All ages | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $20-29$ | 23 | 19 | 25 |
|  | $30-39$ | 40 | 31 | 45 |
|  | $40-49$ | 21 | 31 | 16 |
|  | 50 and over | 16 | 19 | 14 |
| Government-assisted | All ages | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $20-29$ | 49 | 40 | 52 |
|  | $30-39$ | 39 | 40 | 39 |
|  | $40-49$ | 7 | 10 | 6 |
|  | 50 and over | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| Private | All ages | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $20-29$ | 38 | 50 | 33 |
|  | $30-39$ | 33 | 17 | 40 |
|  | $40-49$ | 10 | 0 | 13 |
|  | 50 and over | 19 | 33 | 13 |



Source: Table 2

Table 3: Distribution of Science Teachers by Type of Secondary School and Highest Level of Formal Education

| Type of secondary school | Highest level of formal education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | O'levels/CSEC |  | A'levels/CAPE |  | Diploma |  | First degree |  | Higher degree |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Total | 144 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 80 | 15 | 10 |
| Government | 82 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 84 | 10 | 12 |
| Governmentassisted | 41 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 85 | 4 | 10 |
| Private | 21 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 52 | 1 | 5 |

A significant majority ( $80 \%$ ) of secondary school science teachers, especially amongst those from state ( $84 \%$ ) and stateassisted ( $80 \%$ ) institutions, reported a first degree as their highest level of education while $10 \%$ possessed a higher degree qualification. In the case of private secondary schools, $52 \%$ of their science teachers graduated with a first degree and $33 \%$ possessed A'levels/CAPE. Educational attainment at graduate level of all science teachers was similar by gender (Table 7) and age groups (Table 8).


Source: Table 3

Table 4: Distribution of Science Teachers by Gender and Highest Level of Formal Education

| Highest level of formal education | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
|  | 144 | 100 | 42 | 100 | 102 | 100 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Diploma | 12 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 7 |
| First degree | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Higher degree | 115 | 80 | 32 | 76 | 83 | 81 |

Chart 4: Percentage of Science Teachers by Gender and Highest Level of Formal Education


## Source: Table 4

Table 5: Distribution of Science Teachers by Highest Level of Formal Education within Age Group

| Age group (years) | Highest level of formal education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | O'levels/CSEC |  | A'levels/CAPE |  | Diploma |  | First degree |  | Higher degree |  |
|  | No. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age } \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \end{aligned}$ age | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Percentage | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| All ages | 144 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 80 | 15 | 10 |
| 20-29 | 47 | 100 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 81 | 2 | 4 |
| 30-39 | 56 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 43 | 77 | 8 | 14 |
| 40-49 | 22 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 86 | 2 | 9 |
| 50 and over | 19 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 79 | 3 | 16 |

Chart 5: Percentage of Science Teachers by Highest Level of Formal Education within Age Group


## Source: Table 5

Table 6: Percentage of Science Teachers by Age Group within Highest Level of Formal Education

| Age group (years) | Highest level of formal education |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | O'levels/CSEC | A'levels/CAPE | Diploma | First degree | Higher degree |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $20-29$ | 33 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 33 | 13 |
| $30-39$ | 39 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 37 | 53 |
| $40-49$ | 15 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 13 |
| 50 and over | 13 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 20 |

Chart 6: Percentage of Science Teachers by Age Group within Highest Level of Formal Education


Source: Table 6

Table 7: Percentage of Science Teachers by Type of Secondary School and Highest Professional Qualification

| Type of secondary school | Highest professional qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | Teacher's <br> training <br> diploma | Certificate <br> in education | Diploma in <br> education | B.Ed. | M.Ed./P <br> h.D. |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 54 | 3 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 2 |
| Government | 100 | 45 | 4 | 1 | 45 | 4 | 1 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 |
| Private | 100 | 81 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 |

A half (54\%) of the sample of secondary school science teachers had no professional qualification while approximately two-fifths (37\%) possessed a diploma in education (Table 7). By type of school, a higher percentage ( $45 \%$ ) of science teachers in state secondary schools obtained the diploma in education compared to their counterparts in state-assisted (37\%) and private ( $5 \%$ ) schools. The data reveal a similar proportion of trained male ( $48 \%$ ) and females ( $45 \%$ ) teachers (Table 8). The survey results also show a growth in professional qualification by age group; the proportion of science teachers with the diploma in education increased progressively from $6 \%$ of teachers between 20-29 years of age to $63 \%$ of those 50 years and over (Table 9). Additionally, 38\% of graduate teachers and $60 \%$ of those with a higher degree were professionally trained at the diploma in education level (Table 10).


Table 8: Distribution of Science Teachers by Highest Professional Qualification and Gender

| Highest professional qualification | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Total | 144 | 100 | 42 | 100 | 102 | 100 |
| None | 78 | 54 | 22 | 52 | 56 | 55 |
| Teacher's training diploma | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Certificate in education | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Diploma in education | 54 | 38 | 13 | 31 | 40 | 39 |
| B.Ed. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| M.Ed./Ph.D. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 |



Source: Table 8

Table 9: Percentage of Science Teachers by Age Group and Highest Professional Qualification

| Age group (years) | Highest professional qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | Teacher's <br> training <br> diploma | Certificate in <br> education | Diploma in <br> education | B.Ed. | M.Ed./Ph.D. |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 54 | 3 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 2 |
| $20-29$ | 100 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| $30-39$ | 100 | 46 | 5 | 2 | 45 | 2 | 0 |
| $40-49$ | 100 | 32 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 59 | 5 |
| 50 and over | 100 |  | 0 | 63 | 5 | 0 |  |

Chart 9: Percentage of Science Teachers by Age Group and Highest Professional Qualification


Source: Table 9

Table 10: Percentage of Teachers by Highest Level of Formal Education and Highest Professional Qualification

| Highest level of formal <br> education | Highest professional qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | Teacher's <br> training <br> diploma | Certificate in <br> education | Diploma in <br> education | B.Ed. | M.Ed./Ph.D. |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 54 | 3 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 2 |
| O'levels/CSEC | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A'levels/CAPE | 100 | 75 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diploma | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First degree | 100 | 54 | 2 | 1 | 38 | 3 | 2 |
| Higher degree | 100 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 7 |



Source: Table 10

| Type of secondary school | Years of service - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Less than 5 | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | 15 and over |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total | 100 | 28 | 22 | 20 | 31 |
| Government | 100 | 21 | 23 | 16 | 40 |
| Government Assisted | 100 | 39 | 22 | 24 | 15 |
| Private | 100 | 33 | 14 | 29 | 24 |

Approximately one-third (31\%) of the sample of science teachers, mainly in government secondary schools, reported service of 15 years and over while $28 \%$, especially in government-assisted and private schools, indicated teaching experience of less than five years (Table 11). In addition, a similar proportion of the sample recorded teaching service of 5-9 years ( $22 \%$ ) and 10-14 years ( $20 \%$ ). A review of the data by gender reveals that a substantial percentage ( $45 \%$ ) of males reported service of 15 years and over while the distribution of females over years of service was similar (Table 12).


Source: Table 11

Table 12: Distribution of Science Teachers by Years of Service and Gender

| Years of service | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Total | 144 | 100 | 42 | 100 | 102 | 100 |
| Less than 5 | 40 | 28 | 12 | 29 | 28 | 27 |
| $5-9$ | 31 | 22 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 25 |
| $10-14$ | 29 | 20 | 5 | 12 | 24 | 24 |
| 15 and over | 44 | 31 | 19 | 45 | 25 | 25 |

Chart 12: Percentage of Science Teachers by Years of Service and Gender


Table 13: Distribution of Science Teachers by Subject Taught and Gender

| Subject taught | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |
| Total | 144 | 100 | 42 | 100 | 102 | 100 |  |
| Integrated Science | 27 | 19 | 9 | 21 | 18 | 18 |  |
| Biology | 9 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Chemistry | 14 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 11 |  |
| Physics | 16 | 11 | 10 | 24 | 6 | 6 |  |
| Biology and Integrated Science | 32 | 22 | 7 | 17 | 25 | 25 |  |
| Chemistry and Integrated Science | 21 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 19 |  |
| Physics and Integrated Science | 13 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Biology, Chemistry and Integrated Science | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |  |
| Other combinations | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |

Table 13 shows the distribution of secondary school teachers surveyed by the branch of science taught. One fifth ( $22 \%$ ) of the science teachers taught a combination of Biology and Integrated Science while a similar percentage (19\%) taught Integrated Science only. Fifteen percent (15\%) taught both Chemistry and Integrated Science while $11 \%$ and $10 \%$ taught Physics and Chemistry respectively. By gender, most males were engaged in teaching Physics (24\%), Integrated Science (21\%), and Biology and Integrated Science (17\%) while females taught Biology ( $25 \%$ ) and Chemistry (19\%) with Integrated Science in each case, and Integrated Science (18\%). A review of the data by age group reveals that a half ( $50 \%$ ) of the Physics teachers was between $30-39$ years of age and a quarter ( $25 \%$ ) 50 years and over (Table 14). In addition, a third (36\%) of Chemistry teachers was observed in the 40-49 age category while a similar percentage ( $33 \%$ ) of Biology teachers reported their ages of between $20-29$ and 30 - 39 years.


Source: Table 13

| Subject taught | Age group (years) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $20-29$ | $30-39$ | $40-49$ | 50 and <br> over |
|  | percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Integrated Science | 100 | 33 | 39 | 15 | 13 |
| Biology | 100 | 41 | 22 | 15 | 22 |
| Chemistry | 100 | 33 | 33 | 22 | 11 |
| Physics | 100 | 29 | 29 | 36 | 7 |
| Biology and Integrated Science | 100 | 19 | 50 | 6 | 25 |
| Chemistry and Integrated Science | 100 | 38 | 34 | 13 | 16 |
| Physics and Integrated Science | 100 | 14 | 67 | 19 | 0 |
| Biology, Chemistry and Integrated Science | 100 | 46 | 46 | 8 | 0 |
| Other combinations | 100 | 17 | 67 | 0 | 17 |

Chart 14: Percentage of Science Teachers by Subject Taught and Age Group


Source: Table 14

| Subject taught | Major |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Biology | Chemistry | Physics | Out-of- <br> field | Not <br> applicable |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Total | 100 | 25 | 39 | 17 | 10 | 10 |
| Integrated Science | 100 | 19 | 37 | 4 | 15 | 26 |
| Biology | 100 | 67 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Chemistry | 100 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Physics | 100 | 0 | 6 | 81 | 6 | 6 |
| Biology and Integrated Science | 100 | 72 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 3 |
| Chemistry and Integrated Science | 100 | 5 | 81 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Physics and Integrated Science | 100 | 0 | 23 | 69 | 0 | 8 |
| Biology, Chemistry and Integrated Science | 100 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Other combinations | 100 | 17 | 50 | 17 | 0 | 17 |

Two-fifths (39\%) of the sample of science teachers majored in Chemistry, a quarter (25\%) in Biology and approximately one-fifth (17\%) in Physics. The data show that the majority of teachers who taught Biology, Chemistry and Physics had a major in the respective fields.

Chart 15: Percentage of Science Teachers by Major


Source: Table 15

| Subject taught | Major |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Biology | Chemistry | Physics | Out-of- <br> field | Not <br> applicable |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Integrated Science | 19 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 29 | 50 |
| Biology | 6 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Chemistry | 10 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Physics | 11 | 0 | 2 | 54 | 7 | 7 |
| Biology and Integrated Science | 22 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 7 |
| Chemistry and Integrated Science | 15 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| Physics and Integrated Science | 9 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 7 |
| Biology, Chemistry and Integrated Science | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Other combinations | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 7 |

Table 17: Distribution of Science Teachers by Type of Secondary School, Gender and Teaching as a First Choice Career

| Type of secondary school | Gender | Teaching as a first choice career |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Yes |  | No |  |
|  |  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | Total | 144 | 100 | 70 | 49 | 74 | 51 |
|  | Male | 42 | 100 | 17 | 40 | 25 | 60 |
|  | Female | 102 | 100 | 53 | 52 | 49 | 48 |
| Government | Total | 82 | 100 | 33 | 40 | 49 | 60 |
|  | Male | 26 | 100 | 8 | 31 | 18 | 69 |
|  | Female | 56 | 100 | 25 | 45 | 31 | 55 |
| Government-assisted | Total | 41 | 100 | 27 | 66 | 14 | 34 |
|  | Male | 10 | 100 | 5 | 50 | 5 | 50 |
|  | Female | 31 | 100 | 22 | 71 | 9 | 29 |
| Private | Total | 21 | 100 | 10 | 48 | 11 | 52 |
|  | Male | 6 | 100 | 4 | 67 | 2 | 33 |
|  | Female | 15 | 100 | 6 | 40 | 9 | 60 |

Forty-nine percent (49\%) of the science teachers, especially in state-assisted schools ( $66 \%$ ), selected teaching as their career of first choice while a similar percentage (51\%) did not. By gender, a higher proportion (52\%) of female teachers responded positively to teaching as a first choice career compared to males ( $40 \%$ ).


Source: Table 17

Table 18: Distribution of Science Teachers by Type of Secondary School, Gender and Opportunity to Change to Another Career

| Type of secondary school | Gender | Change to another career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Yes |  | No |  | Not stated |  |
|  |  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | Total | 144 | 100 | 36 | 25 | 104 | 72 | 4 | 3 |
|  | Male | 42 | 100 | 8 | 19 | 31 | 74 | 3 | 7 |
|  | Female | 102 | 100 | 28 | 27 | 73 | 72 | 1 | 1 |
| Government | Total | 82 | 100 | 22 | 27 | 57 | 70 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Male | 26 | 100 | 6 | 23 | 18 | 69 | 2 | 8 |
|  | Female | 56 | 100 | 16 | 29 | 39 | 70 | 1 | 2 |
| Governmentassisted | Total | 41 | 100 | 8 | 20 | 32 | 78 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Male | 10 | 100 | 2 | 20 | 7 | 70 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Female | 31 | 100 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 81 | 0 | 0 |
| Private | Total | 21 | 100 | 6 | 29 | 15 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Male | 6 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 15 | 100 | 6 | 40 | 9 | 60 | 0 |  |

The majority (72\%) of science teachers overall, and similarly by type of school and gender indicated that they were not desirous of changing to another career (Table 18). The data also show that, of the teachers who selected teaching as their first choice of career, $81 \%$ indicated that they would not change to another career (Table 19). Of the teachers who did not choose teaching as their first choice, $64 \%$ expressed no desire to change jobs compared to $35 \%$ who would given the opportunity.


Source: Table 18

| Teaching as a first <br> choice career | Change to another career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Yes |  | No |  | Not stated |  |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |  |
| Total | 144 | 100 | 36 | 25 | 104 | 72 | 4 | 3 |  |
| Yes | 70 | 100 | 10 | 14 | 57 | 81 | 3 | 4 |  |
| No | 74 | 100 | 26 | 35 | 47 | 64 | 1 | 1 |  |


| Type of secondary school | Attended workshops - percentage of science teachers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Curriculum | Assessment | Teaching methods |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| Total | 46 | 32 | 46 |
| Government | 48 | 35 | 48 |
| Government-assisted | 46 | 37 | 46 |
| Private | 38 | 10 | 38 |

Forty-six percent ( $46 \%$ ) of the sample of science teachers attended workshops in curriculum and teaching methods while one-third (32\%) was exposed to workshops in assessment. A review of the data by type of school shows that a substantially larger percentage of teachers in public secondary schools attended science workshops in assessment compared to teachers in private secondary schools.

Chart 18: Attendance at Workshops in Science by Type of Secondary School


Source: Table 20

| Type of <br> secondary school | Frequency of science homework assignment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Every day |  | Once or twice a week |  | Sometimes |  |
|  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |
| Total | 144 | 100 | 32 | 22 | 84 | 58 | 28 | 19 |
| Government | 82 | 100 | 15 | 18 | 48 | 59 | 19 | 23 |
| Government- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| assisted | 41 | 100 | 11 | 27 | 23 | 56 | 7 | 17 |
| Private | 21 | 100 | 6 | 29 | 13 | 62 | 2 | 10 |

Over a half (58\%) of the teachers assigned science homework once or twice a week while one-fifth did so everyday ( $22 \%$ ) and $19 \%$ sometimes. A relatively larger proportion of teachers in private (29\%) and stateassisted ( $27 \%$ ) schools assigned science homework everyday compared to $18 \%$ in state schools.


Source: Table 21

Table 22: Frequency of Homework Assignment by Duration and Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary <br> school | Frequency of |  | Duration of science homework - percentage of science |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Overall, the majority of teachers (56\%) assigned science homework for a duration of 15-29 minutes and a quarter ( $27 \%$ ) for a period of 30-59 minutes. Of the teachers who assigned homework daily, approximately two-thirds ( $63 \%$ ) did so for a duration of $15-29$ minutes and $28 \%$ for $30-59$ minutes. A half (50\%) of homework assignment of once or twice a week was for 15-29 minutes and a third (32\%) for 30-59 minutes. By type of school, a relatively larger percentage ( $73 \%$ ) of the teachers in state-assisted schools assigned homework everyday for a duration of 15-29 minutes compared to state (60\%) and private (50\%) schools.


Source: Table 22

Table 23: Use Textbook to Teach Science by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Use science textbook |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Yes |  | No |  |
|  |  | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage | No. | Percentage |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | All forms | 144 | 100 | 129 | 90 | 15 | 10 |
|  | Form 1 | 31 | 100 | 29 | 94 | 2 | 6 |
|  | Form 2 | 28 | 100 | 25 | 89 | 3 | 11 |
|  | Form 3 | 26 | 100 | 23 | 88 | 3 | 12 |
|  | Form 4 | 35 | 100 | 29 | 83 | 6 | 17 |
|  | Form 5 | 24 | 100 | 23 | 96 | 1 | 4 |
| Government | All forms | 82 | 100 | 71 | 87 | 11 | 13 |
|  | Form 1 | 16 | 100 | 15 | 94 | 1 | 6 |
|  | Form 2 | 19 | 100 | 16 | 84 | 3 | 16 |
|  | Form 3 | 16 | 100 | 14 | 88 | 2 | 13 |
|  | Form 4 | 20 | 100 | 15 | 75 | 5 | 25 |
|  | Form 5 | 11 | 100 | 11 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 41 | 100 | 38 | 93 | 3 | 7 |
|  | Form 1 | 9 | 100 | 9 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 7 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 8 | 100 | 7 | 88 | 1 | 13 |
|  | Form 4 | 10 | 100 | 9 | 90 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Form 5 | 7 | 100 | 6 | 86 | 1 | 14 |
| Private | All forms | 21 | 100 | 20 | 95 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Form 1 | 6 | 100 | 5 | 83 | 1 | 17 |
|  | Form 2 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 5 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 6 | 100 | 6 | 100 | 0 | 0 |

In general, a significant majority ( $90 \%$ ) of the sample of science teachers, especially in forms 5 ( $96 \%$ ) and 1 ( $94 \%$ ), used textbooks to teach science (Table 23). A half (56\%) of the teachers used textbooks as a supplementary resource for teaching compared to one-third (33\%) who used them as a primary source (Table 24). A review of the data by forms reveals that over a half of the teachers in each form except form 3 used textbooks as a supplementary resource. Additionally, a larger proportion (17\%) of form 4 teachers, especially in state schools ( $25 \%$ ), did not use a textbook for teaching science compared to teachers in the other forms. A further examination of the data by type of school shows that approximately a third of the teachers in government ( $29 \%$ ) and government-assisted ( $32 \%$ ) schools used textbooks as the primary basis for teaching compared to a half ( $48 \%$ ) in private schools.

Chart 21: Use Science Textbook by Type of Secondary School All Forms


Source: Table 23

Table 24: How Textbook Used by Type of Secondary School and Forms

| Type of secondary school | Form | How textbook used - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | As the primary source for teaching | As a supplementary resource | Do not use textbook | Not stated |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 33 | 56 | 10 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 35 | 55 | 6 | 3 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 29 | 61 | 11 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 42 | 46 | 12 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 20 | 63 | 17 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 42 | 54 | 4 | 0 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 29 | 56 | 13 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 38 | 50 | 6 | 6 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 26 | 58 | 16 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 31 | 56 | 13 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 10 | 65 | 25 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 32 | 61 | 7 | 0 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 43 | 57 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 63 | 25 | 13 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 30 | 60 | 10 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 86 | 14 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 48 | 48 | 5 | 0 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 50 | 33 | 17 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 0 |

Chart 22: How Textbook Used by Type of Secondary School - All Forms


Source: Table 24

| Name of Textbook | Adequacy of textbook - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Form 1 | Form 2 | Form 3 | Form 4 | Form 5 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 60 | 52 | 40 | 78 | 66 | 65 |
| New Lower Secondary Science Book1 Hoong \& Leng | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Lower Secondary Science Book2 Hoong \& Leng | 35 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book1 - Leng \& Cazabon | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book2 Leng \& Cazabon | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book3 Leng \& Cazabon | 77 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 |
| Hodder Science A\&B - Helsop, Brodie \& Williams | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Longman Integrated Sciences For CXC - S. Potter \& R. Oliver | 33 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| CXC Integrated Science - Mitchelmore \& Phillips | 75 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 38 |
| Integrated Science for T\&T Book 2 -Atwaroo-Ali \& R. Maharaj | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biology: A Concise Revision Course for CXC - <br> A. Tindale | 64 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 0 |
| CXC Biology - L. Atwaroo-Ali | 100 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Longman Biology for CXC - Bradfield \& Potter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Longman Chemistry For CXC - S. Potter \& R. Oliver | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 |
| Chemistry Explained (A CXC Course) Joanne Nazir | 100 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Chemistry: A Concise Revision Course for CxC - Anne Tindale | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Chemistry for CSEC - T. Chung \& M. Taylor | 75 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 38 |
| Heinemann Physics for CXC - N. Lambert \& N. Lewis | 80 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0 |
| Longman Physics for CXC - B. Jackson \& P. Whiteley | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 |
| GCSE Physics (4th Edition) - T. Duncan \& H. Kennett <br> Physics for You - Keith Johnson | 50 100 | 0 | 0 | 50 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Physics for You - Keith Johnson | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |

Table 25 and Chart 23 show the percentage of science teachers by form who agreed with the adequacy of the prescribed textbooks. Overall, 60\% of the teachers in secondary schools stated that the science textbooks were adequate while 30\% disagreed and $10 \%$ did not use them. A higher percentage of form 3 teachers (78\%) indicated that the textbooks used were adequate for teaching science compared to forms 4 ( $66 \%$ ), 5 ( $65 \%$ ), 1 (52\%) and 2 ( $40 \%$ ) teachers. In the lower forms, a relatively larger proportion of the teachers indicated that the Caribbean Interactive Science series, Book 1 - Book 3 were adequate for teaching science compared to teachers who used the Lower Secondary Science series, Books 1 and 2. Of the more frequently used texts in forms 4 and 5, adequacy was recorded as follows: CXC Integrated Science (75\%), Biology: A Concise Revision Course for CXC (64\%), Chemistry for CSEC (75\%) and Heinemann Physics for CXC (80\%).


Source: Table 25

Table 26: Use Internet as a Teaching Resource by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Use Internet as a teaching resource - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A little | Not at all |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 22 | 37 | 35 | 6 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 29 | 32 | 29 | 10 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 25 | 36 | 39 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 15 | 38 | 38 | 8 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 26 | 29 | 37 | 9 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 8 | 54 | 33 | 4 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 7 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 25 | 31 | 25 | 19 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 21 | 32 | 47 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 13 | 38 | 38 | 13 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 30 | 20 | 45 | 5 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 29 | 44 | 24 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 44 | 44 | 11 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 43 | 29 | 29 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 13 | 38 | 50 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 14 | 57 | 29 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 14 | 38 | 38 | 10 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 17 | 17 | 67 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 20 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 17 | 50 | 17 | 17 |

Twenty-two percent (22\%) and $37 \%$ of the science teachers who participated in the survey indicated that they used the Internet, 'a great deal,' and, 'quite a lot,' respectively as a teaching resource while one-third (35\%) used it a little and $6 \%$ did not use it. A review of the data by forms shows that cumulatively, the majority of science teachers in each form used the Internet, 'a great deal,' and, 'quite a lot,' as a teaching resource. By type of school, the survey results reveal that teachers in government-assisted secondary schools used the Internet as a teaching resource more than their counterparts in government and private schools.

Chart 24: Use of Internet as a Teaching Resource by Type of Secondary School - All Forms


Source: Table 26

Table 27: Science Laboratory by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | Have a science laboratory - percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All schools | 100 | 96 | 4 |
| Government | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Private | 100 | 71 | 29 |

All public (100\%) and 71\% of private schools had science laboratories (Table 27). However, a half (51\%), especially in the state-assisted schools (67\%), indicated that the laboratories were not well equipped (Table 28).


Source: Table 27

| Type of secondary school | Science laboratory well equipped- percentage |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Not stated |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| All schools | 100 | 45 | 51 | 4 |
| Government | 100 | 51 | 49 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 27 | 67 | 7 |
| Private | 100 | 45 | 52 | 3 |

Chart 26: Science Laboratory Well Equipped by Type of Secondary School


Source: Table 28

| Type of secondary school | Where science experiments conducted - percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Science laboratory | Classroom | Outdoor |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All schools | 89 | 26 | 14 |
| Government | 95 | 23 | 13 |
| Government-assisted | 93 | 27 | 15 |
| Private | 57 | 38 | 14 |

Table 29 shows that science experiments were conducted mainly in science laboratories (89\%). Additionally, teachers stated that experiments were conducted in the classroom (26\%) and outdoors (14\%). By type of secondary school, a similar distribution of responses was observed in state and state-assisted schools while a relatively lower percentage (57\%) of experiments was conducted in the laboratories of private secondary schools and a relatively high percentage (38\%) in classrooms.


Source: Table 29

| Type of secondary school | Percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very familiar | Fairly familiar | Not familiar | Not stated |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total | 100 | 46 | 42 | 9 | 3 |
| Government | 100 | 49 | 41 | 7 | 2 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 46 | 41 | 7 | 5 |
| Private | 100 | 33 | 48 | 19 | 0 |

Less than fifty percent ( $46 \%$ ) of teachers who participated in the survey indicated that they were, 'very familiar,' with the national curriculum while $42 \%$ were, 'fairly familiar' (Table 30). However, overall, a significant percentage ( $85 \%$ ) of science teachers, especially in state ( $85 \%$ ) and state-assisted ( $95 \%$ ) schools, were very familiar with the Caribbean Secondary Education Certificate (C.S.E.C.) syllabus (Table 31).

Chart 28: Familiarity with National Curriculum by Type of Secondary School


Source: Table 30

| Type of secondary school | Percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very familiar | Fairly familiar | Not familiar | Not stated |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
|  | 100 | 85 | 12 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 100 | 85 | 10 | 4 | 1 |
| Private | 100 | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 |



Source: Table 31

Table 32: Completion of Syllabus for Academic Year 2010/2011 by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Percentage completion of syllabus for 2010/2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | 1-20\% | 21-40\% | 41-60\% | 61-80\% | 81-100\% | Not stated |
|  |  | percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 42 | 13 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 35 | 23 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 25 | 39 | 21 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 27 | 58 | 4 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 11 | 31 | 34 | 17 | 6 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 8 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 22 | 39 | 13 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 44 | 19 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 32 | 32 | 21 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 63 | 6 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 5 | 10 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 73 | 9 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 24 | 44 | 10 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 33 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 71 | 14 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 71 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 24 | 48 | 14 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 50 | 17 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 67 | 17 |

Two-fifths (42\%) of the science teachers in secondary schools indicated that they expected to complete between 81$100 \%$ of the syllabus for the academic year 2010/2011 and approximately a quarter ( $23 \%$ ) between 61-80\%. Form 5 teachers overall (71\%), and by type of school, comprised the largest proportion who expected to complete between 81-100\% of the syllabus by year-end.


Source: Table 32

Table 33: Science Periods/Week by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | No. of science periods/week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Over 6 | Not stated |
|  |  | Percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 8 | 11 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 5 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 6 | 16 | 35 | 13 | 6 | 16 | 6 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 11 | 14 | 36 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 8 | 27 | 35 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 34 | 29 | 17 | 3 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 17 | 38 | 8 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 6 | 11 | 26 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 6 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 6 | 19 | 38 | 6 | 6 | 19 | 6 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 5 | 11 | 47 | 11 | 5 | 21 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 6 | 25 | 31 | 13 | 6 | 13 | 6 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 40 | 25 | 5 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 36 |  |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 37 | 7 | 15 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 14 | 29 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 13 | 25 | 38 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 14 | 10 | 33 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 5 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 17 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 20 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 17 | 33 | 0 |

Table 33 shows the frequency of science periods in the various types of secondary schools and forms. A quarter ( $25 \%$ ) of the sample of teachers of all schools taught science for four periods a week and the same percentage (19\%) for five and over six periods. A review of the data by form reveals that approximately $a$ third of the teachers in forms $1-3$ taught science for four periods in a week while a similar proportion of forms 4 ( $34 \%$ ) and 5 ( $38 \%$ ) teachers taught science for five and over six periods respectively. Over a third (37\%) of the sample of science teachers in government-assisted schools taught science for five periods per week while $33 \%$ and $26 \%$ in private and government schools respectively taught science for 4 periods.


Source: Table 33

| Activity | Time spent - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | $<1$ <br> hour | $1-2$ <br> hours | $3-4$ <br> hours | $>4$ <br> hours | Not <br> stated |  |
|  |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| 1 Preparing or grading student exams or homework | 100 | 0 | 17 | 43 | 25 | 14 | 1 |  |
| 2 Meeting with students outside of classroom time | 100 | 7 | 45 | 33 | 9 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 3 Planning future class sessions | 100 | 2 | 12 | 37 | 32 | 16 | 1 |  |
| 4 Professional reading and development activity | 100 | 8 | 37 | 25 | 16 | 10 | 4 |  |
| 5 Administrative tasks including staff meetings | 100 | 8 | 38 | 29 | 13 | 9 | 2 |  |
| 6 Meeting with parents | 100 | 24 | 55 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 2 |  |

Tables 34-37 show that, of the above activities, teachers in general, devoted more time to, 'planning future class sessions', and, 'preparing or grading student exams or homework'. In addition, science teachers in private secondary schools spent considerable time on administrative tasks including staff meetings. Least time was spent, 'meeting with students outside of classroom time,' and 'meeting with parents'. Over a third (37\%) of the teachers spent less than one hour on, 'professional reading and development', while one-quarter ( $25 \%$ ) spent 1-2 hours.


Source: Table 34

| Activity | Time spent - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | $<1$ <br> hour | $1-2$ <br> hours | $3-4$ <br> hours | $>4$ <br> hours | Not <br> stated |  |
|  |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| 1 Preparing or grading student exams or homework | 100 | 0 | 16 | 43 | 23 | 17 | 1 |  |
| 2 Meeting with students outside of classroom time | 100 | 6 | 38 | 37 | 12 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 3 Planning future class sessions | 100 | 2 | 10 | 35 | 34 | 17 | 1 |  |
| 4 Professional reading and development activity | 100 | 10 | 35 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 5 |  |
| 5 Administrative tasks including staff meetings | 100 | 9 | 33 | 33 | 9 | 15 | 2 |  |
| 6 Meeting with parents | 100 | 21 | 55 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 4 |  |



Source: Table 35

| Activity | Time spent - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | $\begin{gathered} \hline<1 \\ \text { hour } \end{gathered}$ | 1-2 hours | $3-4$ <br> hours | $>4$ hours | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1 Preparing or grading student exams or homework | 100 | 0 | 17 | 42 | 32 | 10 | 0 |
| 2 Meeting with students outside of classroom time | 100 | 10 | 54 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 Planning future class sessions | 100 | 2 | 12 | 39 | 29 | 17 | 0 |
| 4 Professional reading and development activity | 100 | 10 | 39 | 27 | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| 5 Administrative tasks including staff meetings | 100 | 7 | 46 | 27 | 17 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 Meeting with parents | 100 | 32 | 51 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



Source: Table 36

| Activity | Time spent - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | $<1$ <br> hour | $1-2$ <br> hours | $3-4$ <br> hours | $>4$ <br> hours | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1 Preparing or grading student exams or homework | 100 | 0 | 19 | 48 | 19 | 10 | 5 |
| 2 Meeting with students outside of classroom time | 100 | 5 | 57 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| 3 Planning future class sessions | 100 | 0 | 19 | 38 | 29 | 10 | 5 |
| 4 Professional reading and development activity | 100 | 0 | 38 | 33 | 19 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 Administrative tasks including staff meetings | 100 | 0 | 10 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 5 |
| 6 Meeting with parents | 100 | 24 | 62 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



Source: Table 37

| Type of secondary school | Frequency of meetings - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Once a <br> week | Once a <br> month | Once a term | Once a year | Never |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All schools | 100 | 47 | 31 | 14 | 2 | 6 |
| Government | 100 | 44 | 33 | 16 | 1 | 6 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 63 | 29 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| Private | 100 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 14 |

A substantial proportion (47\%) of the science teachers, especially in the state-assisted schools (63\%), attended weekly meetings with other teachers in their subject area to discuss and plan curriculum or teaching approaches while one-third (31\%) attended monthly. A further review of the data by type of school shows that $29 \%$ of the private schools science teachers attended meetings once a term and $14 \%$ never attended.


Source: Table 38

Table 39: Influence on Aspects of Teaching by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | Aspect | Influence - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | A lot | Some | None | Not stated |
| All schools | 1 Subject matter to be taught <br> 2 Specific textbooks to be used <br> 3 Materials and supplies | $\begin{gathered} \hline(1) \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline(2) \\ 41 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) } \\ & 40 \\ & 53 \\ & 58 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (4) \\ 19 \\ 17 \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline(5) \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Government | 1 Subject matter to be taught <br> 2 Specific textbooks to be used <br> 3 Materials and supplies | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 26 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \\ 52 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 20 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Government-assisted | 1 Subject matter to be taught <br> 2 Specific textbooks to be used <br> 3 Materials and supplies | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 32 \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 54 \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 15 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Private | 1 Subject matter to be taught <br> 2 Specific textbooks to be used <br> 3 Materials and supplies | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 38 \\ & 19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 52 \\ & 52 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 10 \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 39 shows that two-fifths of the sample of science teachers had, 'a lot,' (41\%) and, 'some,' ( $40 \%$ ) influence on the subject matter to be taught. However, by comparison teachers' influence declined on the acquisition of specific textbooks, and materials and supplies. By type of school, the data reveal that a higher percentage of private school science teachers ( $62 \%$ ) had, 'a lot,' of influence on the subject matter to be taught compared to their counterparts in government (38\%) and government-assisted (37\%) schools.



Chart 39: Influence on Materials and Supplies by Type of Secondary School


Table 40: Performance of Science Activities by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | Activity | Frequency of activity - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Every lesson | Most lessons | Some lessons | Never |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  | 1 Conduct experiments | 100 | 2 | 15 | 81 | 2 |
|  | 2 Make observations and present findings and interpretations | 100 | 4 | 23 | 71 | 2 |
|  | 3 Formulate and test hypotheses | 100 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 20 |
|  | 4 Explain scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 22 | 43 | 33 | 3 |
| Government | 1 Conduct experiments | 100 | 1 | 12 | 87 | 0 |
|  | 2 Make observations and present findings and interpretations | 100 | 1 | 24 | 73 | 1 |
|  | 3 Formulate and test hypotheses | 100 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 22 |
|  | 4 Explain scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 16 | 45 | 37 | 2 |
| Governmentassisted | 1 Conduct experiments | 100 | 5 | 20 | 76 | 0 |
|  | 2 Make observations and present findings and interpretations | 100 | 12 | 22 | 66 | 0 |
|  | 3 Formulate and test hypotheses | 100 | 12 | 76 | 12 | 0 |
|  | 4 Explain scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 29 | 39 | 32 | 0 |
| Private | 1 Conduct experiments | 100 | 0 | 19 | 67 | 14 |
|  | 2 Make observations and present findings and interpretations | 100 | 0 | 19 | 71 | 10 |
|  | 3 Formulate and test hypotheses | 100 | $\bigcirc$ | 19 | 52 | 29 |
|  | 4 Explain scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 29 | 43 | 19 | 10 |

Table 40 and charts 40-43 show the frequency with which students were asked by teachers to perform the above-mentioned activities. The data reveal that the most frequently performed scientific activity was to explain scientific principles and concepts at, 'every lesson,' (22\%) and, 'most lessons,' (43\%). At, 'some lessons', the majority of teachers indicated that students conduct experiments ( $81 \%$ ), make observations and present findings and interpretations ( $71 \%$ ), and formulate and test hypotheses ( $70 \%$ ).


Source: Table 40


Chart 43: Explain Scientific Principles and Concepts by Type of Secondary School


| Students work | Frequency - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Almost <br> every <br> lesson | Some <br> lessons | Never |
|  | $(1)$ <br> $(2)$ <br> Individually without assistance from the teacher | 100 | 10 | 77 |
| 2 Individually with assistance from the teacher | 100 | 19 | 79 | 13 |
| 3 Together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole | 100 | 39 | 57 | 4 |
| class | 100 | 13 | 77 | 10 |
| 4 Together as a class with students responding to one | 100 |  |  |  |
| another | 100 | 10 | 86 | 4 |
| In pairs or small groups |  |  |  |  |

The majority of the science teachers indicated that students worked individually without assistance from the teacher (77\%), individually with assistance from the teacher ( $79 \%$ ), together as a class with students responding to one another ( $77 \%$ ), and in pairs or small groups ( $86 \%$ ) at, 'some lessons,' while two-fifths ( $39 \%$ ) stated that students worked together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole class at, 'almost every lesson'. A quarter ( $24 \%$ ) of the teachers in private secondary schools indicated that students worked together as a class with students responding to one another at, 'almost every lesson,' compared to $11 \%$ and $12 \%$ of the teachers in government and government-assisted schools respectively (Tables 41-44).


Source: Table 41

| Students work | Frequency - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Almost <br> every <br> lesson | Some <br> lessons | Never |
| 1 Individually without assistance from the teacher | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| 2 Individually with assistance from the teacher | 100 | 10 | 74 | 16 |
| 3 Together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole |  |  |  |  |
| class | 100 | 22 | 77 | 1 |
| 4 Together as a class with students responding to one | 100 | 35 | 61 | 4 |
| another | 100 | 11 | 82 | 7 |
| 5 In pairs or small groups | 100 | 12 | 85 | 2 |

Table 43: How Students Work - Government-assisted Schools

| Students work | Frequency - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Almost <br> every <br> lesson | Some <br> lessons | Never |
| 1 Individually without assistance from the teacher | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| 2 Individually with assistance from the teacher | 100 | 7 | 80 | 12 |
| 3 Together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole |  |  |  |  |
| class | 100 | 17 | 78 | 5 |
| 4 Together as a class with students responding to one | 100 | 12 | 54 | 5 |
| another | 78 | 10 |  |  |
| 5 In pairs or small groups | 100 | 7 | 88 | 5 |

## Table 44: How Students Work - Private Schools

| Students work | Frequency - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Almost <br> every <br> lesson | Some <br> lessons | Never |
| 1 Individually without assistance from the teacher | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| Individually with assistance from the teacher | 100 | 14 | 81 | 5 |
| 3 Together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole |  |  |  |  |
| class | 100 | 10 | 86 | 5 |
| 4 Together as a class with students responding to one | 100 | 24 | 48 | 5 |
| another | 100 | 10 | 87 | 19 |
| 5 In pairs or small groups |  |  |  | 10 |

Table 45: Methods Used to Help Students Understand Science by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | Method | Weighting - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A little | None | Not stated |
| All schools | 1 Using textbook examples for homework assignments <br> 2 Explanations given by the teacher <br> 3 Experiments/demonstrations done by the teacher <br> 4 Experiments done by the students | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  |  | 100 | 17 | 47 | 31 | 5 | 1 |
|  |  | 100 | 47 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 100 | 27 | 42 | 29 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 100 | 30 | 43 | 22 | 4 | 1 |
| Government | 1 Using textbook examples for homework assignments <br> 2 Explanations given by the teacher <br> 3 Experiments/demonstrations done by the teacher <br> 4 Experiments done by the students | 100 | 16 | 42 | 37 | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | 100 | 45 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100 | 26 | 44 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100 | 31 | 44 | 23 | 2 | 0 |
| Governmentassisted | 1 Using textbook examples for homework assignments <br> 2 Explanations given by the teacher <br> 3 Experiments/demonstrations done by the teacher <br> 4 Experiments done by the students | 100 | 17 | 54 | 22 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | 100 | 54 | 32 | 12 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 100 | 34 | 46 | 15 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | 100 | 37 | 49 | 12 | 0 | 2 |
| Private | 1 Using textbook examples for homework assignments <br> 2 Explanations given by the teacher <br> 3 Experiments/demonstrations done by the teacher <br> 4 Experiments done by the students | 100 | 19 | 52 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100 | 43 | 48 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100 | 19 | 29 | 48 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 100 | 14 | 29 | 38 | 14 | 5 |

The table above shows the weight given to the different methods used to help students understand science by type of secondary school. An examination of the data indicates that the most weight was allocated to explanations given by the teacher, 'a great deal,' (47\%) and, 'quite a lot,' (44\%); this pattern was also observed in all types of school. Experiments and demonstrations by teachers, and experiments by students in government and government-assisted schools also received substantial rating as teaching methods.


Source: Table 45

| Factor | Affect - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A <br> little | Not at all | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 Explanation of scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 6 | 9 | 33 | 51 | 2 |
| 2 Using simple resources to performing science experiments | 100 | 6 | 13 | 35 | 44 | 3 |
| 3 Students who come from a wide range of backgrounds | 100 | 16 | 21 | 38 | 24 | 1 |
| 4 Disruptive students | 100 | 28 | 24 | 33 | 15 | 1 |
| 5 Lack of parental interest in children's learning and progress | 100 | 36 | 29 | 26 | 8 | 1 |
| 6 Shortage of other instructional equipment for students' use | 100 | 15 | 29 | 44 | 11 | 1 |
| 7 High student/teacher ratio | 100 | 19 | 16 | 40 | 25 | 1 |
| 8 Inadequate physical facilities | 100 | 17 | 28 | 34 | 20 | 1 |
| 9 Threat(s) to personal safety or the safety of students | 100 | 8 | 12 | 33 | 47 | 1 |
| 10 Student absenteeism | 100 | 18 | 25 | 39 | 17 | 1 |

Table 46-49 represent the sample of secondary school science teachers' responses to factors that limited their teaching of the subject. In general (Table 46), a substantial proportion of teachers reported that lack of parental interest in children's learning and progress ( $65 \%$ ) and disruptive students ( $52 \%$ ), mainly in government schools, limited their teaching of science, 'a great deal,' and, 'quite a lot'. Further review of the data by type of school reveals that a relatively large percentage (51\%) of teachers in government schools identified student absenteeism, and students who come from a wide range of backgrounds, as factors that impacted considerably on teaching (Table 47).


[^0]| Factor | Affect - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A little | Not at all | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 Explanation of scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 7 | 6 | 39 | 45 | 2 |
| 2 Using simple resources to performing science experiments | 100 | 7 | 12 | 37 | 43 | 1 |
| 3 Students who come from a wide range of backgrounds | 100 | 22 | 29 | 31 | 17 | 1 |
| 4 Disruptive students | 100 | 34 | 28 | 26 | 12 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 5 Lack of parental interest in children's learning and progress | 100 | 43 | 33 | 21 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 Shortage of other instructional equipment for students' use | 100 | 13 | 29 | 44 | 12 | 1 |
| 7 High student/teacher ratio | 100 | 21 | 11 | 40 | 28 | 0 |
| 8 Inadequate physical facilities | 100 | 18 | 26 | 35 | 21 | 0 |
| 9 Threat(s) to personal safety or the safety of students | 100 | 7 | 16 | 32 | 45 | 0 |
| 10 Student absenteeism | 100 | 23 | 28 | 38 | 11 | 0 |

## Table 48: Factors that Limit Teaching Science - Government-assisted Schools

| Factor | Affect - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A little | Not at all | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 Explanation of scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 5 | 5 | 29 | 59 | 2 |
| 2 Using simple resources to performing science experiments | 100 | 5 | 15 | 22 | 54 | 5 |
| 3 Students who come from a wide range of backgrounds | 100 | 7 | 10 | 56 | 24 | 2 |
| 4 Disruptive students | 100 | 24 | 12 | 46 | 15 | 2 |
| 5 Lack of parental interest in children's learning and progress | 100 | 27 | 22 | 34 | 15 | 2 |
| 6 Shortage of other instructional equipment for students' use | 100 | 12 | 24 | 54 | 7 | 2 |
| 7 High student/teacher ratio | 100 | 17 | 27 | 39 | 15 | 2 |
| 8 Inadequate physical facilities | 100 | 15 | 29 | 34 | 20 | 2 |
| 9 Threat(s) to personal safety or the safety of students | 100 | 12 | 7 | 34 | 44 | 2 |
| 10 Student absenteeism | 100 | 12 | 20 | 39 | 27 | 2 |


| Factor | Affect - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A little | Not at all | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 Explanation of scientific principles and concepts | 100 | 0 | 29 | 14 | 57 | 0 |
| 2 Using simple resources to performing science experiments | 100 | 0 | 10 | 57 | 29 | 5 |
| 3 Students who come from a wide range of backgrounds | 100 | 10 | 10 | 33 | 48 | 0 |
| 4 Disruptive students | 100 | 10 | 33 | 33 | 24 | 0 |
| 5 Lack of parental interest in children's learning and progress | 100 | 29 | 29 | 33 | 10 | 0 |
| 6 Shortage of other instructional equipment for students' use | 100 | 24 | 33 | 29 | 14 | 0 |
| 7 High student/teacher ratio | 100 | 14 | 14 | 38 | 33 | 0 |
| 8 Inadequate physical facilities | 100 | 19 | 33 | 29 | 19 | 0 |
| 9 Threat(s) to personal safety or the safety of students | 100 | 5 | 5 | 33 | 57 | 0 |
| 10 Student absenteeism | 100 | 10 | 24 | 43 | 24 | 0 |

Table 50: Difficulties in Teaching Areas - All Secondary Schools

| Teaching area | Difficulty - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | A lot | Some | A little | None |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 1 Planning the lesson | 100 | 2 | 5 | 33 | 60 |
| 2 Teaching strategies | 100 | 1 | 13 | 36 | 50 |
| 3 Using teaching resources including equipment | 100 | 5 | 11 | 31 | 53 |
| 4 Classroom management | 100 | 4 | 13 | 37 | 46 |
| 5 Diagnosis and remediation | 100 | 11 | 19 | 44 | 26 |
| 6 Assessment strategies | 100 | 1 | 13 | 39 | 47 |

The majority of science teachers encountered little or no difficulty in the various teaching areas identified above. One-fifth ( $19 \%$ ) of the teachers experienced, 'some,' difficulty with diagnosis and remediation, and $11 \%$ reported, 'a lot'.

Chart 47: Difficulties in Teaching Areas


Source: Table 50

| Statement | Total | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 Students are not interested in science at the secondary level. | 100 | 4 | 22 | 54 | 21 |
| 2 Some students have a natural talent for science and others do not. | 100 | 19 | 55 | 19 | 8 |
| 3 Too often the class is intrigued by scientific demonstrations while not grasping the underlying principles. | 100 | 15 | 46 | 36 | 4 |
| 4 An understanding of how students learn is essential for teaching science. | 100 | 59 | 37 | 3 | 1 |

Almost all (96\%) of the science teachers in both public and private secondary schools who participated in the survey agreed with the statement; 'an understanding of how students learn is essential for teaching science,' (Tables 51-54). There was also substantial agreement on, 'some students have a natural talent for science and others do not,' ( $74 \%$ ) and, 'too often the class is intrigued by scientific demonstrations while not grasping the underlying principles,' ( $61 \%$ ). Three-quarters ( $75 \%$ ) of the teachers disagreed that students were not interested in science at the secondary school level.


Source: Table 51

| Statement | Total | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Students are not interested in science at the | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| secondary level. | 100 | 7 | 26 | 54 | 13 |
| 2 Some students have a natural talent for science |  |  |  |  |  |
| and others do not. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Too often the class is intrigued by scientific |  |  |  |  |  |
| demonstrations while not grasping the underlying | 100 | 13 | 62 | 17 | 7 |
| principles. | 100 | 22 | 43 | 34 | 1 |
| An understanding of how students learn is essential <br> for teaching science. | 100 | 52 | 43 | 2 | 2 |

## Table 53: Agreement with Statements on Science Education - Government-assisted Schools

| Statement | Total | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 Students are not interested in science at the secondary level. | 100 | 0 | 15 | 49 | 37 |
| 2 Some students have a natural talent for science and others do not. | 100 | 24 | 46 | 22 | 7 |
| 3 Too often the class is intrigued by scientific demonstrations while not grasping the underlying principles. | 100 | 5 | 51 | 34 | 10 |
| 4 An understanding of how students learn is essential for teaching science. | 100 | 66 | 29 | 5 | 0 |

## Table 54: Agreement with Statements on Science Education - Private Schools

| Statement | Total | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 Students are not interested in science at the secondary level. | 100 | 0 | 19 | 62 | 19 |
| 2 Some students have a natural talent for science and others do not. | 100 | 29 | 43 | 19 | 10 |
| 3 Too often the class is intrigued by scientific demonstrations while not grasping the underlying principles. | 100 | 5 | 48 | 48 | 0 |
| 4 An understanding of how students learn is essential for teaching science. | 100 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 0 |

Table 55: Assessment of Students' Work by Type of Secondary Schools

| Type of secondary school | Type of assessment | Weighting - percentage of science teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | A great deal | Quite a lot | A little | None |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  | 1 Homework assignments | 100 | 16 | 47 | 35 | 1 |
|  | 2 Responses of students in class | 100 | 35 | 45 | 17 | 2 |
|  | 3 Laboratory work | 100 | 27 | 52 | 18 | 3 |
|  | 4 Teacher made tests | 100 | 38 | 50 | 11 | 1 |
|  | 5 Projects | 100 | 22 | 49 | 27 | 2 |
| Government | 1 Homework assignments | 100 | 16 | 42 | 42 | 1 |
|  | 2 Responses of students in class | 100 | 37 | 44 | 16 | 4 |
|  | 3 Laboratory work | 100 | 22 | 61 | 17 | 0 |
|  | 4 Teacher made tests | 100 | 32 | 56 | 12 | 0 |
|  | 5 Projects | 100 | 21 | 51 | 24 | 4 |
| Governmentassisted | 1 Homework assignments | 100 | 17 | 56 | 24 | 2 |
|  | 2 Responses of students in class | 100 | 37 | 49 | 15 | 0 |
|  | 3 Laboratory work | 100 | 34 | 49 | 17 | 0 |
|  | 4 Teacher made tests | 100 | 46 | 37 | 15 | 2 |
|  | 5 Projects | 100 | 24 | 44 | 32 | 0 |
| Private | 1 Homework assignments | 100 | 14 | 52 | 33 | 0 |
|  | 2 Responses of students in class | 100 | 29 | 43 | 29 | 0 |
|  | 3 Laboratory work | 100 | 33 | 24 | 24 | 19 |
|  | 4 Teacher made tests | 100 | 43 | 52 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 5 Projects | 100 | 24 | 48 | 29 | 0 |

Table 55 shows the weight that science teachers assigned to the various types of assessment indicators of students' work. The most weight was given to teacher-made tests, 'a great deal,' (38\%) and, 'quite a lot,' (50\%) and similarly to responses from students in class, 'a great deal,' (35\%) and, 'quite a lot,' (45\%). Laboratory work, 'a great deal,' (27\%) and, 'quite a lot,' (52\%), and projects, 'a great deal,' (22\%) and, 'quite a lot,' (49\%) also received high ratings from the majority of science teachers. Homework assignments, which received, 'a little,' weight by a third (35\%) of the teachers, was the least significant method of assessment.


Source: Table 55

| Type of secondary school | Form |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| All schools | 12 and <br> under | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 and <br> over |  |  |
|  |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
|  | All forms | 3394 | 491 | 694 | 679 | 767 | 763 |
|  | Form 1 | 852 | 451 | 275 | 93 | 28 | 5 |
|  | Form 2 | 753 | 40 | 363 | 251 | 99 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 644 | 0 | 56 | 295 | 202 | 91 |
|  | Form 4 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 373 | 290 |
|  | Form 5 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 377 |
| Government | All forms | 1774 | 211 | 353 | 414 | 435 | 361 |
|  | Form 1 | 431 | 192 | 155 | 67 | 17 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 434 | 19 | 161 | 169 | 85 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 387 | 0 | 37 | 160 | 123 | 67 |
|  | Form 4 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 180 | 127 |
|  | Form 5 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 167 |
|  | All forms | 1220 | 222 | 242 | 192 | 275 | 289 |
|  | Form 1 | 270 | 206 | 57 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 241 | 16 | 167 | 51 | 7 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 215 | 0 | 18 | 117 | 60 | 20 |
|  | Form 4 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 180 | 124 |
|  | Form 5 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 145 |
| Private | All forms | 400 | 58 | 99 | 73 | 57 | 113 |
|  | Form 1 | 151 | 53 | 63 | 19 | 11 | 5 |
|  | Form 2 | 78 | 5 | 35 | 31 | 7 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 4 |
|  | Form 4 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 39 |
|  | Form 5 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 65 |

Table 57: Percentage of Students by Type of Secondary School and Age within Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | 12 and under | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 and over |
|  |  | percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 23 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 53 | 32 | 11 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 5 | 48 | 33 | 13 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 46 | 31 | 14 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 53 | 41 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 85 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 12 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 20 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 45 | 36 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 4 | 37 | 39 | 20 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 10 | 41 | 32 | 17 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 55 | 39 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 85 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 23 | 24 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 76 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 7 | 69 | 21 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 8 | 54 | 28 | 9 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 56 | 39 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 84 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 15 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 28 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 35 | 42 | 13 | 7 | 3 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 6 | 45 | 40 | 9 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 45 | 10 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 68 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 90 |

The table above shows the age distribution of the sample of secondary school science students who participated in the survey. Students ages ranged from 12 and under (14\%) to 16 and over ( $23 \%$ ). A review of the data by form reveals that the majority ( $53 \%$ ) of form 1 students was 12 years and under, while students in forms 2 ( $47 \%$ ) and 3 ( $46 \%$ ) were 13 and 14 years of age respectively. This trend continued whereby in the higher forms 4 ( $53 \%$ ) and 5 ( $85 \%$ ) most students were 15 , and 16 years and over respectively. In the state-assisted institutions the significant majority ( $76 \%$ ) of form 1 students was 12 years and under, compared to $45 \%$ and $35 \%$ in the state and private secondary schools respectively.

Chart 50: Percentage of Students by Age and Form - All Secondary Schools


Source: Table 57

Table 58: Percentage of Students by Type of Secondary School and Form within Age

| Type of secondary school | Form | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | 12 and under | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 and over |
|  |  | percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Form 1 | 25 | 92 | 40 | 14 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 22 | 8 | 52 | 37 | 13 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 19 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 26 | 12 |
|  | Form 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 49 | 38 |
|  | Form 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 49 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Form 1 | 24 | 91 | 44 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 24 | 9 | 46 | 41 | 20 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 22 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 28 | 19 |
|  | Form 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 41 | 35 |
|  | Form 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 46 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Form 1 | 22 | 93 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 20 | 7 | 69 | 27 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 61 | 22 | 7 |
|  | Form 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 65 | 43 |
|  | Form 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 50 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Form 1 | 38 | 91 | 64 | 26 | 19 | 4 |
|  | Form 2 | 20 | 9 | 35 | 42 | 12 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 33 | 4 |
|  | Form 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 35 |
|  | Form 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 58 |

Table 59: No. of Science Periods/Week by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | No. of science periods/week - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 or more periods |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 4 | 14 | 35 | 30 | 18 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 5 | 25 | 50 | 19 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 8 | 18 | 48 | 15 | 11 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 14 | 23 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 47 | 41 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 70 | 13 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 3 | 14 | 39 | 23 | 20 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 6 | 28 | 51 | 15 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 7 | 6 | 63 | 20 | 5 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 28 | 42 | 5 | 25 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 58 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 30 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 18 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 44 | 37 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 13 | 26 | 22 | 12 | 27 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 30 | 26 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 58 | 31 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 12 | 25 | 47 | 12 | 4 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 9 | 23 | 57 | 0 | 11 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 0 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 52 | 36 | 12 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 61 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 11 | 0 |

Overall, the largest proportion (35\%) of the students who responded to the survey reported a frequency of 4 science periods each week while $30 \%$ indicated 5 periods, mainly in form 5 . The majority of students in government (39\%) and private ( $47 \%$ ) secondary schools had 4 science periods each week while $45 \%$ in government-assisted schools stated 5 periods. Generally, students in forms 4 and 5 reported 5 or more science periods each week.


Source: Table 59

| Name of textbook | Form - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Form 1 | Form 2 | Form 3 | Form 4 | Form 5 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| New Lower Secondary Science Book 1 - Hoong \& Leng | 21 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| New Lower Secondary Science Book 2 - Hoong \& Leng | 19 | 0 | 77 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book 1 - Leng \& Cazabon | 2 | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book 2 - Leng \& Cazabon | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book 3 - Leng \& Cazabon | 12 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Science for T\&T Book 2 - Atwaroo-Ali \& R. Maharaj | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hodder Science A\&B - Helsop, Brodie \& Williams | 3 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Longman Integrated Sciences For CXC - S. Potter \& R. Oliver | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| CXC Integrated Science - Mitchelmore \& Phillips | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| CXC Biology-Chinnery, Glasgow, Jones and Jones | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 |
| Longman Biology for CXC - Bradfield \& Potter | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| Biology for you - Gareth Williams | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CXC Biology - L. Atwaroo-Ali | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 6 |
| Biology: A Concise Revision Course for CXC - A. Tindale | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Chemistry For You - Lawrie Ryan | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry for CXC - Clark and Oliver | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Chemistry: A Concise Revision Course for CxC - A. Tindale | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Longman GCSE Chemistry - Jim Clark | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Chemistry for CSEC - T. Chung \& M. Taylor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Chemistry Explained (A CXC Course) - Joanne Nazir | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Chemistry for CSEC - Nelson Thomas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Longman Physics for CXC - B. Jackson \& P. Whiteley | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| Physics for You - Keith Johnson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Heinemann Physics for CXC - N. Lambert \& N. Lewis | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 |
| GCSE Physics (4th Edition) - T. Duncan \& H. Kennett | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| None | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 |

Table 60 shows the distribution of science textbooks used in the public and private secondary schools. Most students in forms $1(83 \%)$ and $2(77 \%)$ used the New Lower Secondary Science Books 1 and 2 respectively. Caribbean Interactive Science Book 3 ( $64 \%$ ) was the most popular textbook amongst form 3 students. The survey results indicate that students in the higher forms 4 and 5 , however, used a variety of science textbooks.

| Name of textbook | Rating of textbook |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Adequate | Inadequate | Useless | Not applicable | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 100 | 69 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 2 |
| New Lower Secondary Science Book 1 - Hoong \& Leng | 100 | 77 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| New Lower Secondary Science Book 2 - Hoong \& Leng | 100 | 60 | 17 | 21 | 0 | 2 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book 1 - Leng \& Cazabon | 100 | 90 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book 2 - Leng \& Cazabon | 100 | 58 | 20 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Caribbean Interactive Science Book 3 - Leng \& Cazabon | 100 | 63 | 25 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Integrated Science for T\&T Book 2 - Atwaroo-Ali \& R. Maharaj | 100 | 71 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Hodder Science A\&B - Helsop, Brodie \& Williams | 100 | 40 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 1 |
| CXC Integrated Science - Mitchelmore \& Phillips | 100 | 76 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Longman Integrated Sciences For CXC - S. Potter \& R. Oliver | 100 | 71 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| CXC Biology-Chinnery, Clasgow, Jones and Jones | 100 | 84 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Longman Biology for CXC - Bradfield \& Potter | 100 | 81 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Biology for you - Gareth Williams | 100 | 82 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 5 |
| CXC Biology - L. Atwaroo-Ali | 100 | 82 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Biology: A Concise Revision Course for CXC - A. Tindale | 100 | 89 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry for CXC - Clark and Oliver | 100 | 77 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry for CSEC - Nelson Thomas | 100 | 88 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry For You - Lawrie Ryan | 100 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry Explained (A CXC Course) - Joanne Nazir | 100 | 84 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry: A Concise Revision Course for CxC - A. Tindale | 100 | 97 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chemistry for CSEC - T. Chung \& M. Taylor | 100 | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Longman GCSE Chemistry - Jim Clark | 100 | 71 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Physics for You - Keith Johnson | 100 | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heinemann Physics for CXC - N. Lambert \& N. Lewis | 100 | 65 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| GCSE Physics (4th Edition) - T. Duncan \& H. Kennett | 100 | 63 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Longman Physics for CXC - B. Jackson \& P. Whiteley | 100 | 63 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 0 |



Source: Table 61

Table 62: Bring Textbook to School by Type of School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Bring textbook to school - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Every time | Sometimes | Seldom | Never | Not applicable | Not stated |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 42 | 34 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 53 | 31 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 34 | 34 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 26 | 34 | 13 | 23 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 49 | 35 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 44 | 34 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 31 | 40 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 37 | 41 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 25 | 41 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 17 | 34 | 12 | 31 | 5 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 40 | 41 | 4 | 8 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 43 | 41 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 56 | 28 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 74 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 52 | 25 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 41 | 36 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 61 | 27 | 6 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 40 | 32 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 49 | 26 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 62 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 29 | 22 | 14 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 38 | 29 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 37 | 47 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 58 | 21 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 |

The data reveal that two-fifths (42\%) of the students brought their science textbooks to school, 'every time,' while one-third (34\%) stated, 'sometimes'. Approximately a quarter of the students in forms 2 ( $24 \%$ ) and 3 ( $23 \%$ ) never brought their science textbooks to school. By type of school, the survey results show that the majority of the students in government-assisted (56\%) and private (49\%) schools brought their textbooks to school, 'every time', compared to one-third ( $31 \%$ ) in government schools.

Chart 53: Bring Textbook to School - All Students


Source: Table 62

Table 63: Frequency of Science Homework Assigned by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Science homework assignment - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Every <br> time | Sometimes | Seldom | Never | Not <br> stated |
|  |  |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |

Over a half (57\%) of the responding sample of students indicated that teachers assigned science homework, 'sometimes,' while one-third (32\%) stated,' every time'. A higher percentage (37\%) of students in state-assisted schools, especially in form 4 (56\%), reported homework, 'every time,' compared to $29 \%$ and $28 \%$ in state and private institutions respectively.


Source: Table 63

Table 64: Frequency of Doing Science Homework by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Do science homework - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Every time | Sometimes | Seldom | Never |
| All schools |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
|  |  |  | Not applicable |  |  |  |  |
| Goll forms |  | 51 | 41 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 100 | 60 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 53 | 39 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 43 | 42 | 6 | 3 | 7 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 48 | 44 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 45 | 47 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 45 | 45 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 51 | 42 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 48 | 44 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 37 | 45 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 46 | 47 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 43 | 50 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| All forms | 100 | 59 | 35 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 76 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 64 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 54 | 33 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 51 | 41 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 46 | 47 | 5 | 3 | 0 |

The response data reveal that $51 \%$ of students did their science homework, 'every time,' and $41 \%$, 'sometimes'. When analysed by type of school the data show that three-fifths (59\%) of the students in government-assisted secondary schools did their homework, 'every time,' compared to $52 \%$ and $45 \%$ in private and government schools respectively.


Source: Table 64

Table 65: Reasons for Not Doing Science Homework Every time by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Reason - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Teacher does not correct it | Too difficult | Do not have time | Do not feel like doing it | Forget to do it | Other | Not stated |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 11 | 33 | 21 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 11 | 31 | 19 | 25 | 9 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 17 | 41 | 14 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 13 | 31 | 17 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 6 | 32 | 29 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 6 | 27 | 27 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 12 | 35 | 18 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 11 | 33 | 18 | 24 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 20 | 44 | 13 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 14 | 32 | 15 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 4 | 33 | 26 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 7 | 27 | 23 | 35 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 9 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 7 | 0 |  |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 8 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 17 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 15 | 33 | 18 | 22 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 19 | 28 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 7 | 26 | 33 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 4 | 21 | 36 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 10 | 40 | 17 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 12 | 32 | 15 | 32 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 6 | 40 | 9 | 31 | 9 | 5 | 1 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 19 | 26 | 33 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 9 | 64 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 6 | 41 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

The table above shows the reasons given by students who reported not doing their science homework every time. One-third (33\%) of the students indicated that the science homework was too difficult while 27\% did not feel like doing it and $21 \%$ did not have time. By type of school, difficulty was observed as the main reason for not doing homework in government ( $35 \%$ ) and private ( $40 \%$ ) secondary schools, followed by, 'did not feel like doing it'. In government-assisted schools a similar percentage of students reported, 'too difficult,' (27\%), 'do not have time,' ( $28 \%$ ) and, 'do not feel like doing it' (27\%). A further review of the data by form reveals that difficulty was identified as the key reason for not doing science homework every time in all the forms except form 5 where onethird (33\%) stated, 'do not feel like doing it'.

# Chart 56: Reason for Not Doing Science Homework Every time - All Students 



Source: Table 65

Table 66: Time Taken to do Science Homework by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Time taken - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | <15 mins | 15-29 mins | $\begin{gathered} 30-59 \\ \text { mins } \end{gathered}$ | 60 mins and more | Not stated |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 17 | 39 | 28 | 13 | 3 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 24 | 43 | 22 | 10 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 20 | 38 | 25 | 14 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 19 | 39 | 26 | 12 | 3 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 9 | 36 | 35 | 16 | 4 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 7 | 37 | 39 | 15 | 2 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 20 | 35 | 28 | 14 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 31 | 38 | 21 | 7 | 3 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 23 | 33 | 23 | 18 | 3 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 19 | 37 | 28 | 15 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 11 | 31 | 38 | 15 | 4 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 7 | 35 | 41 | 16 | 1 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 14 | 44 | 28 | 11 | 3 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 17 | 49 | 22 | 11 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 18 | 47 | 27 | 7 | 1 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 22 | 44 | 23 | 7 | 4 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 6 | 39 | 35 | 15 | 4 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 9 | 42 | 35 | 10 | 3 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 11 | 41 | 28 | 16 | 3 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 15 | 48 | 22 | 13 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 12 | 39 | 32 | 14 | 3 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 10 | 32 | 34 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 7 | 48 | 20 | 20 | 5 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 6 | 31 | 40 | 22 | 1 |

Table 66 shows the time taken by students to do their science homework. A relatively large proportion (39\%) of students took 15-29 minutes and 28\%, 30-59 minutes. One-fifth ( $20 \%$ ) of students in state schools took less than 15 minutes to do their homework. A review of the data by form shows that students in the higher forms spent more time doing homework than their counterparts in the lower forms. Two-fifths (39\%) of the students in form 5 and $35 \%$ in form 4 reported $30-59$ minutes of homework.

## Chart 57: Time Taken to do Science Homework - All Students



Source: Table 66

Table 67: Seeking Help with Science Homework by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Source of help with homework - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Parent/ Guardian | Teacher | Lessons Teacher | Classmate/ Friend | Other family member | No one | Not stated |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 28 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 50 | 18 | 2 | 12 | 16 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 35 | 20 | 2 | 24 | 16 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 23 | 26 | 5 | 34 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 13 | 27 | 9 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 8 | 28 | 9 | 45 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 29 | 25 | 5 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 48 | 18 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 35 | 25 | 3 | 19 | 16 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 26 | 26 | 5 | 31 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 14 | 29 | 9 | 30 | 16 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 9 | 28 | 6 | 42 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| Governmentassisted | All forms | 100 | 26 | 22 | 5 | 33 | 12 | 0 |  |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 54 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 36 | 16 | 1 | 32 | 12 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 19 | 27 | 4 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 11 | 25 | 9 | 42 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 8 | 27 | 12 | 45 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 29 | 19 | 4 | 30 | 17 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 46 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 34 | 8 | 1 | 25 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 17 | 22 | 0 | 46 | 12 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 18 | 21 | 11 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 4 | 29 | 8 | 53 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

In seeking help with science homework, students identified their parents or guardians (28\%), classmates or friends ( $28 \%$ ) and teachers ( $23 \%$ ) as their main sources of assistance. Most form 1 ( $50 \%$ ) students sought help with their homework from their parents or guardians, and from classmates or friends in the case of form 5 ( $45 \%$ ) students.


Source: Table 67

| Type of secondary school | Form | Frequency of extra lessons or tutoring - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Every day | Once or twice a week | Sometimes | Never |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 3 | 15 | 13 | 69 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 85 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 80 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 74 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 5 | 30 | 12 | 53 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 6 | 35 | 19 | 41 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 73 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 84 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 76 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 74 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 3 | 22 | 14 | 61 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 3 | 23 | 17 | 57 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 3 | 20 | 12 | 65 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 89 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 83 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 74 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 6 | 40 | 10 | 45 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 8 | 43 | 21 | 28 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 4 | 18 | 10 | 69 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 81 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 87 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 69 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 5 | 26 | 7 | 61 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 8 | 47 | 15 | 29 |

A substantial percentage (69\%) of the responding sample of secondary school students did not take extra lessons or tutoring. By type of school a similar proportion of students in government-assisted (35\%), government (27\%) and private ( $32 \%$ ) schools received extra lessons or tutoring. Further examination of the data by form indicates that a relatively larger proportion of students in the higher forms accessed extra lessons or tutoring more frequently than their counterparts in the lower forms. Approximately one-third of form 4 (30\%) and form 5 (35\%) students received extra lessons or tutoring, 'once or twice a week'.


Source: Table 68

| Type of secondary school | Form | Reason for extra lesson - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | To do <br> better | To learn <br> more | To stay <br> focus | Parents <br> sent me | Not <br> stated |
| All schools |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
|  |  | 100 | 65 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 26 |
|  |  | 100 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 44 |
|  |  | 100 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 46 |
|  |  | 100 | 60 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 26 |
|  |  | 100 | 76 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 16 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 57 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 33 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 42 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 47 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 42 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 50 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 58 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 28 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 67 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 25 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 72 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
|  | All forms | 100 | 76 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 15 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 30 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 54 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 37 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 64 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 18 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 82 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 31 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 48 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 77 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 22 |

Overall, two-thirds (65\%) of the students, especially in forms 4 ( $76 \%$ ) and 5 ( $77 \%$ ), attended extra lessons, 'to do better', which was also seen as the desired outcome by a larger proportion of students in state-assisted secondary schools ( $76 \%$ ) compared to state ( $57 \%$ ) and private ( $62 \%$ ) schools.


Source: Table 69

| Form | Branch of science - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Integrated <br> Science | Biology | Chemistry | Physics | Not <br> stated |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |
| All forms | 100 | 44 | 26 | 16 | 12 | 2 |  |
| Form 1 | 100 | 64 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 2 |  |
| Form 2 | 100 | 51 | 19 | 18 | 9 | 3 |  |
| Form 3 | 100 | 40 | 27 | 15 | 16 | 2 |  |
| Form 4 | 100 | 23 | 41 | 17 | 19 | 1 |  |
| Form 5 | 100 | 29 | 42 | 15 | 13 | 1 |  |

As Integrated Science is taught in the lower forms, especially in the state and state-assisted institutions, most students in forms 1 to 3 enjoyed this combination of the biological and physical sciences. However, in pursuing studies in core sciences, the majority of students in forms 4 and 5 enjoyed Biology, followed by Chemistry and Physics to a lesser extent.


Source: Table 70

Table 71: Science Club by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | School with science club - percentage of students |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| All schools | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 100 | 19 | 81 |
|  | 100 | 12 | 88 |
| Private | 100 | 36 | 64 |

Four-fifths ( $81 \%$ ) of the students reported that their schools had no science club. By type of school, the stateassisted institutions had a higher percentage ( $36 \%$ ) of science clubs compared to $19 \%$ in state schools and none in private schools.


Source: Table 71

Table 72: Membership in Science Club by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | Member of school science club - percentage of students |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| Total | 100 | 15 | 85 |
| Government | 100 | 18 | 82 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 14 | 86 |
| Private | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Of the $19 \%$ of students who indicated that their schools had science clubs only $15 \%$ were members.

| Activity |  | Frequency - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Always | Pretty <br> often | Once in a <br> while | Never | Not <br> stated |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| 1 Conduct experiments and investigations | 100 | 6 | 31 | 45 | 16 | 1 |
| 2 Present and discuss findings | 100 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 13 | 4 |
| 3 Copy notes from the board or teacher | 100 | 64 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 Have a quiz or test | 100 | 11 | 41 | 45 | 3 | 1 |
| 5 Work individually using textbooks | 100 | 21 | 27 | 36 | 15 | 1 |
| 6 Relate science to everyday problems | 100 | 23 | 29 | 34 | 12 | 2 |
| 7 Work in groups | 100 | 13 | 36 | 41 | 9 | 1 |
| 8 Discuss completed homework | 100 | 38 | 29 | 24 | 8 | 1 |
| 9 Students write on the board | 100 | 6 | 19 | 43 | 31 | 1 |

Of the activities listed in the table above, students copied notes from the board or teacher ( $88 \%$ ) and discussed completed homework ( $67 \%$ ) most frequently in their science classes. Approximately a half of the students reported, 'always,' and, 'pretty often,' for the following activities: relate science to everyday problems (52\%), present and discuss findings (52\%), have a quiz or test (52\%), work in groups (49\%) and work individually using textbook (48\%). Practicals, including the conduct of experiments and investigations (37\%), were also undertaken quite frequently.


| Statement | Rating - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Dis- <br> agree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Not <br> stated |
|  | I usually do well in science | 10 | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 2 I like science | 100 | 16 | 56 | 24 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 I would like science much more if it were not so |  |  |  |  | 43 | 12 |
| difficult | 100 | 41 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 4 I think learning science will help me in my daily life | 100 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 I would like to take more science in school | 100 | 20 | 43 | 6 | 9 | 1 |
| 6 I need science to learn other school subjects | 100 | 17 | 36 | 33 | 11 | 1 |
| 7 I need to do well in science to get the job I want | 100 | 49 | 26 | 34 | 10 | 1 |
| 8 I would like a job that involved science | 100 | 35 | 27 | 24 | 7 | 0 |
| 9 I need to do well in science to please my parents | 100 | 27 | 32 | 23 | 18 | 1 |

A significant percentage of the students indicated that learning science will help them in their daily lives ( $91 \%$ ) and that they liked science ( $81 \%$ ). Approximately three-quarters agreed with the statements: 'I need to do well in science to get the job I want,' ( $75 \%$ ) 'I would like science much more if it were not so difficult,' ( $72 \%$ ) and 'I usually do well in science' ( $72 \%$ ). The data also reveal a substantial level of agreement amongst students on the following statements: 'I would like a job that involved science,' (62\%) 'I need to do well in science to please my parents,' (59\%) 'I would like to take more science in school,' (56\%) and, 'I need science to learn other school subjects,' (56\%).


| Activity | Time spent - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | Less than 1 hour | 1-2 hours | 3-4 hours | Over 4 hours | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1 Watching television or videos | 100 | 12 | 31 | 31 | 12 | 12 | 2 |
| 2 Going to the cinema | 100 | 75 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 3 Playing computer games | 100 | 35 | 27 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 2 |
| 4 Hanging out with friends | 100 | 28 | 27 | 19 | 10 | 14 | 2 |
| 5 Doing jobs at home | 100 | 21 | 41 | 24 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| 6 Working at a paid job | 100 | 81 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| 7 Playing sports | 100 | 32 | 24 | 22 | 10 | 10 | 2 |
| 8 Reading a book or magazine | 100 | 27 | 41 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 9 Doing homework (all subjects) | 100 | 6 | 21 | 38 | 21 | 12 | 2 |
| 10 Attending extra lessons (all subjects) | 100 | 62 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 2 |

The table shows that, of the above activities, students devoted more time doing homework on a normal school day. A quarter of the students spent three hours and more, hanging out with friends, (24\%) and, watching television or videos, (24\%). Least time was spent, working at a paid job, and, going to the cinema.


[^1]| Item | Available - percentage of students |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Not stated |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| Computer | 100 | 87 | 12 | 2 |
| Study desk for your use | 100 | 69 | 30 | 2 |
| Encyclopaedia | 100 | 51 | 47 | 2 |
| Science books | 100 | 73 | 25 | 2 |

The data show that most ( $87 \%$ ) of the students who participated in the survey had access to a home computer. The majority of students also had science books ( $73 \%$ ) other than textbooks, and study desks ( $69 \%$ ) at their homes.


Source: Table 76

Table 77: Read Other Science Books by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Read other science books - percentage of students |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Yes | No | Not stated |
| Government |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
|  | All forms | 100 | 60 | 39 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 57 | 41 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 54 | 43 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 53 | 45 | 2 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 70 | 29 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 68 | 31 | 1 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 57 | 41 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 57 | 41 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 54 | 43 | 3 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 49 | 48 | 3 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 67 | 32 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 61 | 38 | 1 |
| All forms | 100 | 65 | 34 | 1 |  |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 62 | 36 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 57 | 41 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 60 | 39 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 73 | 26 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 71 | 28 | 1 |
|  | All forms | 100 | 58 | 41 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 50 | 49 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 49 | 51 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 52 | 48 | 0 |

Overall, three-fifths ( $60 \%$ ) of the sample of students stated that they read science books other than their textbooks. A further review of the data by form shows that students in the higher forms, 4 ( $70 \%$ ) and 5 $(68 \%)$, read science books more than those in the lower forms, 1 ( $57 \%$ ), 2 ( $54 \%$ ) and $3(53 \%)$. By type of school, a comparative proportion of students in state (57\%), state-assisted ( $65 \%$ ) and private ( $58 \%$ ) schools read other science books.

## Chart 66: Read Other Science Books by Type of Secondary School



Source: Table 77

Table 78: Watch Science Programmes on Television by Type of Secondary School and Form

| Type of secondary school | Form | Watch science programmes - percentage of students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Yes | No | Not stated |
| All schools |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  | All forms | 100 | 68 | 30 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 67 | 32 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 63 | 35 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 67 | 31 | 2 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 75 | 24 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 70 | 29 | 1 |
| Government | All forms | 100 | 65 | 33 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 67 | 31 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 59 | 38 | 3 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 61 | 36 | 3 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 73 | 26 | 1 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 68 | 31 | 1 |
| Government-assisted | All forms | 100 | 72 | 26 | 2 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 66 | 32 | 2 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 69 | 29 | 2 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 75 | 23 | 1 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 78 | 21 | 2 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 73 | 26 | 1 |
| Private | All forms | 100 | 69 | 31 | 1 |
|  | Form 1 | 100 | 67 | 32 | 1 |
|  | Form 2 | 100 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
|  | Form 3 | 100 | 74 | 26 | 0 |
|  | Form 4 | 100 | 72 | 28 | 0 |
|  | Form 5 | 100 | 72 | 26 | 1 |

Most students (68\%) watched science programmes on television. Television viewing of science programmes was reported by $75 \%$ of form 4 and $70 \%$ of form 5 students. By type of school, a similar proportion of students in state-assisted (72\%), state (65\%) and private (69\%) schools watched science programmes on the television.


Source: Table 78

| Type of secondary school | Expected educational attainment - percentage of students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | C.S.E.C | A'levels/ CAPE | Diploma | University | Not stated |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All schools | 100 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 73 | 2 |
| Government | 100 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 67 | 2 |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 82 | 2 |
| Private | 100 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 73 | 2 |

A significant percentage ( $73 \%$ ) of the total sample of secondary schools students expressed a desire to attain a university-level education. The highest percentage ( $82 \%$ ) of students desirous of obtaining this level of education was observed in the government-assisted schools.


Source: Table 79

Table 80: Educational Attainment of Parents/Guardians by Type of Secondary School

| Type of secondary school | Educational attainment of parents/guardians - percentage of parents/guardians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Primary | O'levels/ <br> C.S.E.C | A'levels/ <br> CAPE | Diploma | Uni- <br> versity | Do not <br> know | Not stated |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |  |
| All schools | 100 | 12 | 27 | 18 | 10 | 25 | 3 | 5 |  |
| Government | 100 | 14 | 28 | 17 | 10 | 21 | 3 | 7 |  |
| Government-assisted | 100 | 9 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 31 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Private | 100 | 10 | 29 | 18 | 11 | 28 | 1 | 4 |  |

A quarter of the parents/guardians of students from all schools attained O'levels/C.S.E.C. (27\%) and university degrees $(25 \%)$ as their highest level of educational. Parents/guardians of the students in state-assisted (31\%) and private ( $28 \%$ ) institutions had achieved university-level education compared to $21 \%$ in state schools; this trend is similar to that of the intended educational achievement of students shown in Table 79.


Source: Table 80


[^0]:    Source: Table 46

[^1]:    Source: Table 75

