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## Foreword

In this publication, the National Institute of Higher Education, Research, Science and Technology (NIHERST) presents the results of the Survey on the Public Perception of Science, 2012. This survey is the second of its kind to be conducted by NIHERST as a similar study was undertaken in 2005. This undertaking was designed to provide empirical data on the level of scientific awareness and literacy of the population of Trinidad and Tobago and has generated essential indicators for comparison with similar studies.

In general, the survey results revealed no significant change in the public's perception of science in 2012 compared to 2005.

The study focussed on the sources, interest and consumption of information and the popularisation of science and scientific research in Trinidad and Tobago. In addition, data on the demographic and socio economic characteristics of respondents were also captured and included in this publication.

In keeping with NIHERST's support for the overall development of science and technology in Trinidad and Tobago, the empirical results of this study will measure changes in attitudes towards science overtime and also facilitate and inform the development of science policy, communication and popularisation.

NIHERST wishes to thank members of households who willingly provided the data collated in this report and also acknowledge the assistance of the Central Statistical Office.

Mrs. Maureen Manchouck<br>President
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## Executive Summary

* Of the total sample of 2504 respondents, $46 \%$ were males and $54 \%$ were females. In terms of age, a relatively large proportion (34\%) of the survey respondents was 50 years and over.
* The majority of respondents (51\%) reported their highest level of educational attainment as secondary, followed by primary (27\%).
* Forty-three percent (43\%) of the respondents in 2012, expressed a high level of interest in science while $57 \%$ indicated a little or no interest.
* A substantial proportion of the survey respondents (75\%) in 2012 felt that they were informed with respect to science and technology while one quarter (25\%) considered themselves not informed; a similar pattern of response was observed in 2005. The proportion of respondents who considered themselves informed about science and technology increased in relation to educational attainment.
* The areas of science and technology that inspired a high level of interest were medicine and health (83\%), environment (72\%), agriculture (59\%) and computers and IT (54\%). However, a significant proportion of the sample indicated little or no interest in archaeology (84\%), geology (83\%), astronomy and space (79\%), engineering (71\%) and psychology (63\%).
* The majority of respondents (92\%) was of the opinion that scientific knowledge could improve one's ability to make decisions and over four fifths ( $88 \%$ ) agreed that the application of science and technology would change work opportunities positively.
* Eighty-five (85\%) of the respondents especially amongst those with educational attainment were of the opinion that scientific developments were beneficial to everyone.
* There was significant agreement on the positive impact of science and technology. The majority of respondents agreed that: scientific and technological advances would help cure illnesses such as AIDS and cancer. (86\%); government should increase investment in science and technology ( $86 \%$ ); the benefits of science and technology were greater than the negative effects ( $85 \%$ ); and science was the best way to get accurate knowledge about the world (79\%). On the other hand, a substantial percentage (73\%) agreed that society should use expenditure for science in more urgent activities. Three $\sim$ quarters (75\%) of the sample
disagreed that human beings today developed from earlier species of animal.
* A significant majority of the respondents was aware that smoking caused cancer ( $91 \%$ ) and high blood pressure was also called hypertension (89\%). Seventy percent (70\%) or more knew that plants produced oxygen ( $83 \%$ ), the centre of the earth was very hot ( $78 \%$ ); light travelled faster than sound (78\%); the earth rotated around the sun (71\%) and white blood cells helped the body fight infection and other diseases (70\%). Approximately a half or more of the respondents was aware that the continents had changed their positions over long periods of time (62\%), the ozone layer absorbed ultraviolet radiation (56\%) and the mother's gene did not decide the baby's gender (48\%).
* Television was identified by $43 \%$ of the survey participants as their main source of information on science, followed by the Internet (25\%). Compared with the results of a similar survey undertaken in 2005, the percentage of the respondents who accessed information on science on the Internet increased to $25 \%$ in 2012 from $10 \%$ in 2005.
* Three quarters (75\%) of the respondents were of the opinion that the media did not provide sufficient information on science.
* Over a half (56\%) of the survey respondents read the newspapers daily or almost daily. Thirty~eight percent (38\%) were occasional, once a week or seldom readers while $6 \%$ never read newspapers. However, only $13 \%$ read scientific articles regularly.
* Of the respondents engaged in television viewing, $22 \%$ accessed scientific information regularly.
* The percentage of respondents who never used the Internet to access scientific information decreased from 70\% in 2005 to $48 \%$ in 2012.
* Only a third (35\%) of the sample of respondents read books on science. The proportion of respondents who read books on science increased in relation to educational attainment.
* The majority (74\%) of respondents never read science magazines; only $4 \%$ read them regularly and $16 \%$ once in a while.
* A substantial percentage (68\%) of the survey respondents agreed that the quality of science and mathematics education in our schools was adequate while one $\sim$ third (31\%) disagreed.
* Overall, two-fifths of the respondents in each case read food labels always (38\%) or sometimes (38\%) and 15\% read food labels whenever a new product was bought.
* Almost all of the survey respondents (96\%) were of the opinion that foods containing GMOs should be labelled accordingly.
* Overall, over a half (57\%) of the survey respondents knew their blood type. The majority of respondents with higher educational knew their blood type while those with primary education recorded the highest percentage (52\%) where the blood type was unknown.
* A relatively large proportion of the respondents (45\%) was of the opinion that HIV/AIDS resulted from a change in people's sexual habits while one fifth indicated a scientist's experiment (20\%) and people's ignorance (19\%). This pattern of responses in 2012 was generally unchanged when compared to the results of the 2005 study.
* The survey participants were mostly familiar with the terms hormone (63\%), DNA (61\%), global warming (60\%) and gene (60\%). A substantial proportion of respondents was not familiar with the terms biodiversity (58\%), catalyst (55\%) and chlorophyll (42\%).
* Over a half (56\%) of the sample of respondents agreed that people who wanted to become scientists had to work abroad while $43 \%$ disagreed.
* A relatively large proportion of respondents (42\%) indicated that a scientist's main reason for his/her choice of profession was the quest for knowledge; to solve people's problems (28\%) was next in ranking.
* The majority of respondents (90\%) in the Public Perception of Science Survey, 2012 would encourage their child/children to pursue a scientific career as observed in a similar study of 2005.
* A substantial percentage (68\%) of the survey participants was of the opinion that scientific and technological research was conducted in Trinidad and Tobago and $69 \%$ identified the state as the main source of research funding.
* Of the respondents who were of the view that scientific and technological research was conducted in Trinidad and Tobago, an overwhelming majority (90\%) stated that such undertaking was useful.
* A quarter (24\%) of the survey respondents indicated that they had visited the NIHERST/NGC National Science Centre. Most (95\%) of the
respondents who had visited the science centre were satisfied with the visit.
* An extremely small percentage (2\%) of the sample had participated in protest actions or made complaints about problems arising from science and technology activity. However, the majority ( $85 \%$ ) of respondents indicated that it was important to participate in these actions
* Overall, the participants of the survey by various age groups demonstrated positive attitudes towards the subject of this enquiry on the public perception of science. The majority (65\%) stated that the study was interesting and $30 \%$ found it useful.


## Methodology

## Introduction

The empirical results of this second study on the public perception of science are intended to assist in monitoring the growth in knowledge, awareness and sources of science through a number of key indicators. This information can, therefore, assist researchers, decision-makers and science communicators in formulating and evaluating policies. This methodology describes the objectives, scope, coverage, data collection and processing of the results of the study.

## Objectives of the Survey

The objectives of the survey were to determine the information needs of the public and provide indicators of public attitudes towards science including:
$>$ scientific awareness and literacy,
$>$ sources, interest and consumption of information,
$>$ the popularisation of science,
$>$ scientific research in Trinidad and Tobago and
$>$ change in attitudes to science over time.

## Scope

The scope of this study included information on the demographic and social characteristics of the respondents such as age, gender, educational attainment and employment status. Public perception towards science and technology was measured by examining the survey participants' knowledge, interest and attitudes towards science and technology. The enquiry also incorporated data on the sources of information on science and technology and scientific research in Trinidad and Tobago. Changes in knowledge and attitudes towards science over time were also highlighted in this publication by comparing the results to a similar study undertaken in 2005.

## Sample Design

The sample design of the survey was based on the approach used by the Central Statistical Office in the conduct of its quarterly household surveys to generate labour
force statistics. Basically, the design consists of a two-stage sampling procedure in which enumeration districts (E.Ds. ~ small geographic areas) are selected at the first stage, followed by a random selection of a cluster of households within each E.D. at the second stage. At each stage, the sampling units are selected with probability proportional to size.

## Coverage

Based on the above design, a representative sample of 2,504 households from 564 E.Ds. in all geographic areas of Trinidad and Tobago was selected for the study. These included households of all income groups and social strata of the population. From each of the selected household a respondent was chosen on the basis of having attained the age of sixteen or over and was the last household member to celebrate his/her birthday. In order to maintain the sample size of the survey vacant and close buildings and refusals were replaced. The following table shows the number of respondents by administrative areas.

Distribution of Respondents by Administrative Areas

| Administrative area | No. of respondents | Percentage of respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Port of Spain | 103 | 4 |
| San Fernando | 99 | 4 |
| Arima | 61 | 2 |
| Pt. Fortin | 45 | 2 |
| Chaguanas | 144 | 6 |
| Diego Martin | 195 | 8 |
| St. Ann's | 310 | 13 |
| Tacarigua | 258 | 10 |
| Rest of St. George | 127 | 5 |
| Caroni | 216 | 7 |
| Victoria | 339 | 14 |
| St. Patrick | 223 | 9 |
| St. Andrew/ St. David | 116 | 5 |
| Nariva/ Mayaro | 71 | 3 |
| Tobago | 197 | 8 |

## Data Collection

A questionnaire was designed to include the underlying objectives. Data were subsequently collected by a group of experienced interviewers and supervisors who were trained in administering the survey questionnaire.

## Data Processing

As completed questionnaires were received, data were edited for consistency and omissions. Where discrepancies were identified, questionnaires were returned to the field for verification and correction as necessary. Edited data were then captured in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 software which was used to produce the tabulations in this report.

## Results

The results of the survey are presented in the various tabulations and graphics which follow.

| Age group (years) | Gender $\sim$ percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All ages |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 46 | 54 |
| 20~29 | 100 | 54 | 46 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 41 | 59 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 45 | 55 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 49 | 51 |

Table 1 shows a profile of the respondents by age group and gender who participated in the Public Perception of Science Survey, 2012. Of the total respondents $46 \%$ were males and $54 \%$ were females. In terms of age, a relatively large proportion (34\%) of the survey respondents was 50 years and over (Table 2). A further examination of the data reveals a similar pattern of age distribution within both genders.


[^0]| Age group (years) | Gender $\sim$ percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All ages | 100 |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 7 | 100 | 100 |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 20 | 8 | 6 |
| 30~39 | 21 | 18 | 22 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 18 | 21 | 22 |
| 50 and over | 34 | 19 | 17 |


| Age group (years) | Total | Highest level of educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | Primary | Secondary | Diploma | Associate degree | Bachelor's degree and above |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 100 | 1 | 27 | 51 | 8 | 5 | 8 |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 84 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 20~29 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 61 | 11 | 8 | 12 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 59 | 11 | 7 | 9 |
| 40~49 | 100 | 0 | 21 | 58 | 9 | 4 | 7 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 1 | 53 | 30 | 5 | 4 | 6 |

The majority of respondents (51\%) reported their highest level of educational attainment as secondary, followed by primary (27\%). A further review of the data by age group within educational attainment shows that the largest proportions of respondents with primary ( $67 \%$ ) and no education ( $80 \%$ ) were 50 years and over while approximately a third with an associate degree (31\%) and a bachelor's degree and above (30\%) was in the 20~29 age category (Table 4).


Source: Table 3

| Age group (years) | Total | Highest level of educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | Primary | Secondary | Diploma | Associate <br> degree | Bachelor's <br> degree and <br> above |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |  |
| All ages | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Less than 20 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 20~29 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 26 | 31 | 30 |  |
| 30~39 | 21 | 7 | 11 | 25 | 28 | 28 | 25 |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 18 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 50 and over | 34 | 80 | 67 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 26 |  |


| Age group (years) | Total | Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Employed | Self $\sim$ employed | Unemployed | Student | Retired | Home <br> duties |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 42 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 13 |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 16 | 3 | 9 | 70 | 0 | 2 |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 53 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 7 |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 59 | 19 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 56 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 21 |

Table 5 shows the percentage of respondents by age group and employment status. The majority of respondents (56\%) were employed while $10 \%$ were unemployed. The highest level of unemployment (15\%) was observed in the 20 -29 age cohort.


Source: Table 5

| Household size | Total | Gross monthly income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <\$2000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2000 ~ \\ \$ 4999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5000 ~ \\ \$ 9999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10000 ~ \\ \$ 14999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \$ 15000 ~ \\ \$ 19999 \end{array}$ | $\$ 20000$ and over | Not stated |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | percentage of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 7 | 31 | 35 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| 1 person | 100 | 18 | 53 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 persons | 100 | 8 | 40 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| 3 persons | 100 | 5 | 28 | 39 | 16 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 persons | 100 | 4 | 26 | 37 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 or more persons | 100 | 3 | 19 | 42 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 8 |

Overall, a relatively large proportion (35\%) of the sample of households reported gross monthly incomes in the range of $\$ 5,000 \sim \$ 9,999$. Approximately a third (31\%) of the gross monthly household incomes was between $\$ 2,000 \sim \$ 4,999$, especially amongst households with one (53\%) and two persons (40\%).

Chart 4: Household Size by Gross Monthly Income ~ All Households


Source: Table 6

| Area | Level of interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very <br> interested | Quite <br> interested | A little <br> interested | Not <br> interested |  |
|  |  | $(2)$ |  | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Politics |  | 10 | 13 | 34 | 43 |  |
| Arts and entertainment | 100 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 22 |  |
| Fashion | 100 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 30 |  |
| Science | 100 | 19 | 24 | 34 | 23 |  |
| Sports | 100 | 31 | 26 | 29 | 15 |  |
| Religion | 100 | 42 | 32 | 18 | 8 |  |
| Economics | 100 | 19 | 25 | 34 | 22 |  |

Respondents were asked how interested they were in the above topical areas. Accumulatively, a substantial percentage of respondents was very interested and quite interested in religion (74\%) and sports (57\%). Forty-three percent (43\%) of the respondents expressed a high level of interest in science while $57 \%$ indicated a little or no interest. A further review of the findings of the study shows a comparable level of interest in science by various age groups (Table 8). Politics inspired the least degree of interest amongst respondents as $77 \%$ reported little and no interest in this area. Data from the public perception of science survey, 2012, compared to the results of a previous undertaking in 2005, reveal a similar level of interest in science (Table 9).

Chart 5: Interest in Topical Areas


Source: Table 7

Table 8: Interest in Science by Age Groups

| Age group (years) | Total | Level of interest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very interested | Quite interested | A little interested | Not interested |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |  |
| All ages |  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 19 | 23 | 34 | 23 |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 22 | 22 | 37 | 19 |  |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 19 | 25 | 33 | 23 |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 21 | 24 | 35 | 20 |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 21 |  |

Chart 6: Interest in Science by Age Groups


Source: Table 8

| Level of interest in science | Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2012 |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |  |
| Total | percentage of respondents |  |  |
| Very interested | 100 | 100 |  |
| Quite interested | 21 | 19 |  |
| A little interested | 24 | 24 |  |
| Not interested | 32 | 34 |  |


| Age group (years) | Informed about science and technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very well <br> informed | Well <br> informed | Informed | Not <br> informed | Informed cols <br> $(2)+(3)+(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All ages |  | 7 | 13 | 55 | 25 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 |  | 10 | 21 | 52 | 18 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ |  | 9 | 14 | 55 | 22 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 6 | 13 | 58 | 24 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 6 | 14 | 57 | 24 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 6 | 10 | 54 | 30 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |

A substantial proportion of the survey respondents ( $75 \%$ ) in 2012 felt that they were informed with respect to science and technology while one quarter (25\%) considered themselves not informed (Table 10); a similar pattern of response was observed in 2005 (Table 11). Within the various age groups the highest percentage of respondents ( $30 \%$ ) not informed was aged 50 years and over.

Chart 7: Informed about Science and Technology ~ All Ages


[^1]| Informed about science and technology | Year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2012 |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |
| Total | 100 | percentage of respondents |
| Very well-informed | 6 | 100 |
| Well-informed | 14 | 7 |
| Informed | 54 | 13 |
| Not informed | 26 | 55 |


| Highest level of educational <br> attainment | Informed about science and technology |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very well <br> informed | Well <br> informed | Informed | Not <br> informed | Informed <br> cols (2)+ <br> $(3)+(4)$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All levels | 100 | 7 | 13 | 55 | 25 | 75 |
| None | 100 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 73 | 27 |
| Primary | 100 | 4 | 7 | 52 | 37 | 63 |
| Secondary | 100 | 6 | 13 | 58 | 23 | 77 |
| Diploma | 100 | 10 | 17 | 57 | 16 | 84 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 11 | 18 | 55 | 15 | 84 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 19 | 19 | 54 | 8 | 92 |

The survey results show that the proportion of respondents who considered themselves informed about science and technology increased in relation to educational attainment. Sixty-three percent (63\%) of the sample with primary education considered themselves informed with respect to science and technology compared to $92 \%$ with a bachelor's degree and above.


Source: Table 12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age group } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ | Reason |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal interest | To keep abreast of important developments | To make personal decisions | These are controversial issues for society | I need <br> to do this for my job | Other | Not stated | Do not find out |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 51 | 42 | 37 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 25 |
| Less than 20 | 57 | 44 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 18 |
| 20-29 | 56 | 42 | 37 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| 30~39 | 53 | 40 | 35 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 24 |
| 40~49 | 49 | 43 | 41 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 50 and over | 45 | 43 | 37 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 30 |

Most respondents (51\%) stated that personal interest was the main reason for seeking information about scientific issues, followed by keeping abreast of important developments (42\%), and personal decision making (37\%). This order of response was recorded for all age groups.


Source: Table 13

| Area of science and <br> technology | Level of interest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very <br> interested | Quite <br> interested | A little <br> interested | Not <br> interested |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Medicine and health | 100 | 54 | 29 | 14 | 3 |
| Archaeology | 100 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 61 |
| Environment | 100 | 40 | 32 | 18 | 10 |
| Computers and IT | 100 | 30 | 24 | 21 | 26 |
| Astronomy and space | 100 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 56 |
| Geology | 100 | 7 | 11 | 25 | 58 |
| Engineering | 100 | 15 | 13 | 24 | 47 |
| Agriculture | 100 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 15 |
| Psychology | 100 | 19 | 18 | 27 | 36 |

Table 14 shows respondents' level of interest in various areas of science and technology. The areas that inspired a high level of interest were medicine and health (83\%), environment (72\%), agriculture (59\%) and computers and IT (54\%). However, a significant proportion of the sample indicated little or no interest in archaeology ( $84 \%$ ), geology ( $83 \%$ ), astronomy and space ( $79 \%$ ), engineering (71\%) and psychology (63\%).

Chart 10: Interest in Areas of Science and Technology


Source: Table 14

| Age group (years) | Improvement |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Do not know |
| All ages | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 92 | 6 |  |
|  | 100 | 94 | 6 | 2 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 92 | 5 | 0 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 92 | 6 | 3 |

The majority of respondents ( $92 \%$ ) was of the opinion that scientific knowledge could improve one's ability to make decisions.

Chart 11: Impact of Scientific Knowledge on Decision-making All Ages


| Employment status | Effect |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Change positively | Change negatively | Both positively and negatively | No change | Do not know |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 88 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Employed | 100 | 89 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Self employed | 100 | 88 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Unemployed | 100 | 86 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| Student | 100 | 91 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| Retired | 100 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 |
| Home duties | 100 | 91 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

Over four fifths of the sample of respondents, overall ( $88 \%$ ) and by employment status, agreed that the application of science and technology would change work opportunities positively while less than $10 \%$ were of the contrary opinion or that scientific and technological application would provide no change.

Chart 12: Effect of Science and Technology on Work Opportunities


| Educational attainment | Benefit from scientific developments |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Everyone | Almost <br> everyone | Only a few <br> individuals | No one |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |
| None | 100 | 53 | 32 | 15 | 1 |
| Primary | 100 | 20 | 27 | 53 | 0 |
| Secondary | 100 | 47 | 32 | 20 | 0 |
| Diploma | 100 | 53 | 33 | 14 | 1 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 62 | 28 | 10 | 0 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 59 | 32 | 8 | 2 |

The survey results reveal that $85 \%$ of the respondents especially amongst those with educational attainment were of the opinion that scientific developments were beneficial to everyone.

Chart 13: Who Benefits from Scientific Developments ~ All Educational Attainment


Everyone
Almost everyone

- Only a few individuals $\square$ No one

|  | Statement | Total | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Do not know | Agree cols (2) $+(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  |  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The world of science cannot be understood by ordinary people. | 100 | 7 | 44 | 43 | 5 | 1 | 51 |
| 2 | Scientific and technological advances will help cure illnesses such as AIDS, cancer, etc. | 100 | 29 | 57 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 86 |
| 3 | The benefits of science and technology are greater than the negative effects. | 100 | 23 | 62 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 85 |
| 4 | Science is the best way to get accurate knowledge about the world. | 100 | 21 | 58 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 79 |
| 5 | Because of technological development science will dehumanise life. | 100 | 5 | 35 | 46 | 9 | 5 | 40 |
| 6 | Science and technology cause problems for humankind. | 100 | 7 | 46 | 36 | 7 | 3 | 54 |
| 7 | The government should increase investment in science and technology. | 100 | 31 | 55 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 85 |
| 8 | Society should use expenditure for science in more "urgent" activities. | 100 | 14 | 59 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 73 |
| 9 | Human beings today developed from earlier species of animal. | 100 | 4 | 19 | 36 | 39 | 2 | 23 |

An analysis of the variations in responses to the series of statements in Table 18 indicates significant agreement on the positive impact of science and technology. The majority of respondents agreed that: scientific and technological advances would help cure illnesses such as AIDS, cancer, etc. (86\%); the government should increase investment in science and technology ( $86 \%$ ); the benefits of science and technology were greater than the negative effects ( $85 \%$ ); and science was the best way to get accurate knowledge about the world (79\%). On the other hand, a substantial percentage ( $73 \%$ ) agreed that society should use expenditure for science in more urgent activities, but were more divided on the statements: science and technology caused problems for humankind (54\%); the world of science could not be understood by ordinary people (51\%); and because of technological development science would dehumanise life ( $40 \%$ ). Three $\sim$ quarters ( $75 \%$ ) of the sample disagreed that human beings today developed from earlier species of animal.

Chart 14: Agreement with Statements on Science and Technology


[^2]| Statement | Total | True | False | Do not <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |

The statements above tested the respondents' knowledge of science. A significant majority of the respondents was aware that smoking caused cancer ( $91 \%$ ) and high blood pressure was also called hypertension (89\%). Correct responses of $70 \%$ or more were recorded for the following five statements: plants produced oxygen ( $83 \%$ ), the centre of the earth was very hot ( $78 \%$ ); light travelled faster than sound (78\%); the earth rotated around the sun (71\%); and white blood cells helped the body fight infection and other diseases (70\%). Approximately a half or more of the respondents was aware that: the continents had changed their positions over long periods of time (62\%); the ozone layer absorbed ultraviolet radiation (56\%); and the mother's gene did not decide the baby's gender (48\%). Less than a third of the respondents knew that: all radioactivity was not produced by man (30\%); electrons were smaller than atoms (28\%); and antibiotics did not kill both viruses and bacteria ( $25 \%$ ). The cumulative frequency of the scores of the fourteen statements showed that $2 \%$, $23 \%$ and $76 \%$ of the sample obtained $100 \%, 75 \%$, and $50 \%$ and above of the correct responses respectively.

Chart 15: Knowledge of Science


Source: Table 19

| Age group (years) | Source of information on science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Newspapers | Books | Magazines | Radio | Television | Internet | Other |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 100 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 43 | 25 | 5 |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 35 | 29 | 12 |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 39 | 31 | 5 |  |
| 30~39 | 100 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 40 | 29 | 4 |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 44 | 26 | 4 |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 47 | 17 | 6 |  |

When asked about the leading source of their information on science, a relatively large proportion of the survey participants identified the television (43\%), followed by the Internet (25\%) and books (13\%). Compared with the results of similar survey undertaken in 2005, the percentage of the respondents who accessed information on science on the Internet increased to $25 \%$ in 2012 from $10 \%$ in 2005. Three~quarters (75\%) of the respondents, overall and by various age groups, were of the opinion that the media did not provide sufficient information on science (Table 21).


Source: Table 20

| Age group (years) | Enough media information on science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Do not know |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| All ages | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 23 | 75 | 2 |
| 20~29 | 100 | 24 | 76 | 1 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 23 | 75 | 2 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 21 | 77 | 2 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 22 | 75 | 3 |

Chart 17: Enough Media Information on Science ~ All Ages


Source: Table 21

| Age group (years) | Read newspapers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Daily | Almost daily | Once a week | Seldom | Never |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |
| All ages | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 30 | 26 | 13 | 25 | 6 |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 30 | 8 |  |
| 30~39 | 100 | 29 | 26 | 13 | 27 | 4 |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 28 | 24 | 14 | 28 | 7 |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 31 | 31 | 13 | 21 | 4 |  |

Table 22 shows that of the survey respondents over a half ( $56 \%$ ), overall and within the age groups 20~29 and over, read the newspapers daily or almost daily. Thirty-eight percent (38\%) were occasional, once a week or seldom readers while $6 \%$ never read newspapers. The survey results of 2012 also reveal that a half or more of the respondents with educational attainment, ranging from $49 \%$ of those with primary education to $66 \%$ with a bachelor's degree and above, read the newspapers frequently, daily and almost daily (Table 23).


Source: Table 22

| Educational attainment | Read newspapers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Daily | Almost daily | Once a week | Seldom | Never |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |
| Total | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 100 | 30 | 26 | 13 | 25 | 6 |  |
| Primary | 100 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 20 | 53 |  |
| Secondary | 100 | 25 | 24 | 14 | 27 | 10 |  |
| Technical | 100 | 30 | 27 | 13 | 25 | 5 |  |
| Associate degree | 100 | 36 | 28 | 10 | 21 | 5 |  |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 30 | 5 |  |

Chart 19: Reading of Newspapers by Educational Attainment


Educational attainment

Source: Table 23

| Age group (years) | Listening to radio |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Three or <br> more hours <br> daily | Less than <br> three hours <br> daily | Some days <br> in the week | Seldom | Never |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ <br> percentage of respondents | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 43 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 2 |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 41 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 3 |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 42 | 23 | 20 | 13 | 3 |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 43 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 2 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 44 | 20 | 22 | 13 | 2 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 45 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 2 |

A relatively large percentage ( $43 \%$ ) of respondents indicated that they listened to the radio three or more hours daily and one-fifth in each case listened less than three hours daily (20\%) and some days in the week (19\%). A similar pattern of responses was observed by age group.

Chart 20: Listening to Radio by Age Groups


Source: Table 24

|  | Television viewing |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group (years) | Total | Three or <br> more hours <br> daily | Less than <br> three hours <br> daily | Some days in <br> the week | Seldom | Never |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 48 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 2 |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 44 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 1 |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 49 | 24 | 16 | 11 | 1 |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 46 | 24 | 17 | 10 | 3 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 48 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 1 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 50 | 25 | 11 | 10 | 3 |

Approximately a half (48\%) of the sample of respondents reported television viewing of three or more hours daily, also seen as the modal viewing period of the various age groups. A quarter ( $25 \%$ ) of the survey participants stated that they watched television less than three hours daily. A negligible $2 \%$ of the respondents never watched television.

Chart 21: Television Viewing by Age Groups


Source: Table 25

| Age group (years) | Media type | Frequency of accessing scientific information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Regularly | Once in a while | Only when I find something interesting | Never |
| All ages |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  |  |  |  | centage of | espondents |  |
|  | Newspapers | 100 | 13 | 20 | 41 | 26 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 5 | 17 | 31 | 46 |
|  | Television | 100 | 22 | 28 | 40 | 9 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 12 | 13 | 27 | 48 |
| Less than 20 | Newspapers | 100 | 10 | 19 | 42 | 30 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 3 | 19 | 28 | 51 |
|  | Television | 100 | 27 | 24 | 38 | 10 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 21 | 11 | 39 | 29 |
| 20~29 | Newspapers | 100 | 13 | 22 | 41 | 24 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 3 | 19 | 28 | 50 |
|  | Television | 100 | 23 | 29 | 40 | 8 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 15 | 16 | 31 | 38 |
| 30~39 | Newspapers | 100 | 13 | 21 | 39 | 27 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 6 | 19 | 29 | 46 |
|  | Television | 100 | 24 | 27 | 40 | 9 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 12 | 12 | 32 | 43 |
| 40~49 | Newspapers | 100 | 13 | 21 | 42 | 24 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 5 | 16 | 36 | 42 |
|  | Television | 100 | 24 | 29 | 40 | 8 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 12 | 14 | 27 | 47 |
| 50 and over | Newspapers | 100 | 13 | 18 | 42 | 27 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 6 | 16 | 31 | 47 |
|  | Television | 100 | 20 | 28 | 41 | 11 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 8 | 12 | 18 | 62 |

Table 26 presents the frequencies with which respondents accessed scientific information from various types of electronic and print media. Television was identified as the main source of scientific information by all age groups. Of the respondents engaged in television viewing, $22 \%$ accessed scientific information regularly and a similar percentage in the case of newspaper readers (13\%) and users of the Internet (12\%). However, the majority of respondents sought scientific information from the media only on a subject of interest. The percentage of respondents who never used the Internet to access scientific information decreased from $70 \%$ in 2005 to $48 \%$ in 2012. The survey results also show that the proportion of respondents who used the Internet to access scientific information increased in relation to educational attainment (Table 27).

Chart 22: Accessing Scientific Information by Media Type ~ All Ages


Source: Table 26

| Educational attainment | Media type | Frequency of accessing scientific information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Regularly | Once in a while | Only when I find something interesting | Never |
| Total |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  |  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Newspapers | 100 | 13 | 20 | 41 | 26 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 5 | 17 | 31 | 46 |
|  | Television | 100 | 22 | 28 | 40 | 9 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 12 | 13 | 27 | 48 |
| None | Newspapers | 100 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 71 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 43 |
|  | Television | 100 | 21 | 36 | 36 | 7 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| Primary | Newspapers | 100 | 10 | 18 | 42 | 31 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 4 | 14 | 34 | 48 |
|  | Television | 100 | 17 | 28 | 42 | 13 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 65 |
| Secondary | Newspapers | 100 | 12 | 21 | 42 | 25 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 5 | 18 | 30 | 47 |
|  | Television | 100 | 23 | 27 | 41 | 8 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 12 | 12 | 28 | 47 |
| Diploma | Newspapers | 100 | 19 | 26 | 38 | 18 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 9 | 26 | 26 | 39 |
|  | Television | 100 | 25 | 33 | 33 | 8 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 30 |
| Associate degree | Newspapers | 100 | 14 | 15 | 39 | 32 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 7 | 13 | 28 | 52 |
|  | Television | 100 | 26 | 21 | 39 | 14 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 17 | 16 | 35 | 32 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | Newspapers | 100 | 24 | 18 | 41 | 17 |
|  | Radio | 100 | 7 | 21 | 33 | 39 |
|  | Television | 100 | 29 | 32 | 36 | 3 |
|  | Internet | 100 | 24 | 18 | 38 | 20 |

Chart 23: Accessing Scientific Information from Newspapers by Educational Attainment


Chart 24: Accessing Scientific Information from Radio by Educational Attainment
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Chart 26: Accessing Scientific Information from Internet by Educational


| Age group (years) | Reading books on science |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All ages | percentage of respondents |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 35 | 65 |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 47 | 53 |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 41 | 59 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 36 | 64 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 33 | 67 |

The above table shows that only a third (35\%) of the sample of respondents read books on science. The highest percentage of respondents (47\%) that read books on science was observed among the less than 20 age group with the largest proportion of students (Table 5). The data reveal an inverse relationship between the age cohorts and the proportion of respondents who read books on science.

Chart 27: Reading of Books in Science by Age Groups


Source: Table 28

| Educational attainment | Reading books on science |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 35 | 65 |
| Secondary | 100 | 7 | 93 |
| Diploma | 100 | 24 | 76 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 34 | 66 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 50 | 50 |

Table 29 shows that the proportion of respondents who read books on science increased in relation to educational attainment. A quarter (24\%) of the respondents with primary education read books on science compared to three-fifths (62\%) with a bachelor's degree and above.


Source: Table 29

| Age group (years) | Reading of science magazines |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Regularly | Once in a <br> while | I used to | Never |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ <br> percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
| All ages | 100 | 4 | 16 | 7 | $(5)$ |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 74 |  |
| 20~29 | 100 | 3 | 18 | 8 | 71 |  |
| 30~39 | 100 | 3 | 18 | 7 | 71 |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 72 |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 72 |  |

The majority ( $74 \%$ ) of respondents never read science magazines; only $4 \%$ read them regularly and $16 \%$ once in a while. A similar pattern of responses was recorded in all age groups (Table 30). By educational attainment, respondents with tertiary level qualification read science magazines more often as shown (Table 31). National Geographic was identified as the most widely read science magazine.


Source: Table 30

| Educational attainment | Reading of science magazines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Regularly | Once in a <br> while | I used to | Never |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total | 100 | 4 | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 100 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 100 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 100 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diploma | 100 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate degree | 100 | 8 | 29 | 9 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |

Chart 30: Reading of Science Magazines by Educational Attainment


Source: Table 31

| Age group (years) | Quality of science and mathematics education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagree | Do not <br> know | Agree <br> cols (2) + <br> $(3)$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 13 | 54 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 68 |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 10 | 55 | 27 | 5 | 2 | 65 |
| 20~29 | 100 | 10 | 52 | 30 | 7 | 1 | 62 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 8 | 54 | 31 | 6 | 2 | 61 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 10 | 52 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 62 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 10 | 53 | 28 | 6 | 3 | 63 |

Table 32 shows that a substantial percentage (68\%) of the survey respondents agreed that the quality of science and mathematics education in our schools was adequate while one third (31\%) disagreed. A similar pattern of responses was observed by age groups and educational attainment (Table 33).


Source: Table 32

| Educational attainment | Quality of science and mathematics education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagree | Do not <br> know | Agree <br> cols (2) <br> $(3)$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 10 | 53 | 28 | 6 | 3 | 63 |
| None | 100 | 7 | 73 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 80 |
| Primary | 100 | 10 | 53 | 28 | 5 | 4 | 63 |
| Secondary | 100 | 9 | 56 | 28 | 5 | 3 | 65 |
| Diploma | 100 | 9 | 47 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 56 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 11 | 51 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 63 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 14 | 44 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 58 |

Chart 32: Quality of Science and Mathematics Education in Schools by Educational Attainment


Source: Table 33

|  | Read food labels |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group (years) | Total | Always | Always when I <br> buy a new <br> product | Sometimes | Never |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| All ages | 100 | 38 | percentage of respondents |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 32 | 15 | 38 | 9 |
| 20~29 | 100 | 38 | 14 | 42 | 12 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 40 | 17 | 37 | 7 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 42 | 16 | 36 | 8 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 34 | 16 | 37 | 5 |

Overall, two fifths of the respondents in each case read food labels always (38\%) or sometimes (38\%); $15 \%$ read food labels whenever a new product was bought. The survey results of 2012 reveal that respondents in the less than 20 , and 50 and over age groups read food labels less frequently than their counterparts in the other age categories (Table 34). A further review of the data by educational attainment shows that over a third of the respondents with educational attainment always read food labels (Table 35).

Chart 33: Read Food Labels by Age Groups


Age group (years)

Source: Table 34

| Educational attainment | Read food labels |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Always | Always when I buy a new product | Sometimes | Never |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 38 | 15 | 38 | 9 |
| None | 100 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 67 |
| Primary | 100 | 35 | 14 | 39 | 12 |
| Secondary | 100 | 38 | 16 | 39 | 7 |
| Diploma | 100 | 39 | 15 | 41 | 5 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 38 | 17 | 39 | 6 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 45 | 17 | 32 | 6 |

Chart 34: Read Food Labels by Educational Attainment


Source: Table 35

| Age group (years) | Label food with GMOs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Do not know |  |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |  |  |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  | percentage of respondents |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 96 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 97 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 97 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 96 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 97 | 3 | 0 |  |  |

Almost all of the survey respondents (96\%), overall and by various age groups (Table 36), and educational attainment (Table 37), were of the opinion that foods containing GMOs should be labelled accordingly.

Chart 35: Labelling of Foods Containing Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) ~ All Ages


Source: Table 36

| Educational attainment | Label food with GMOs |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Do not know |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
|  | 100 | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 96 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 100 | 95 | 0 | 1 |
| Diploma | 100 | 97 | 4 | 7 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 98 | 3 | 1 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 96 | 2 | 1 |


| Age group (years) | Blood type known |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All ages | percentage of respondents |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 57 | 43 |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 43 | 57 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 56 | 44 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 61 | 39 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 59 | 41 |

Overall, over a half (57\%) of the survey respondents knew their blood type. This pattern of response was recorded in all age groups except the less than 20 age category where less than a half ( $43 \%$ ) was aware of their blood type (Table 38). By educational attainment, two thirds or more of the respondents with a diploma (70\%), associate degree (68\%) and bachelor's degree and above (66\%) education knew their blood type while those with primary education recorded the highest percentage (52\%) where the blood type was unknown (Table 39).


Source: Table 38

| Educational attainment | Blood type known |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | (2) | (3) |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 57 | 43 |
| Secondary | 100 | 53 | 47 |
| Diploma | 100 | 48 | 52 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 56 | 44 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 70 | 30 |

Chart 37: Blood Type Known by Educational Attainment


Educational attainment

Source: Table 39

| Question put to doctor | Percentage of respondents |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| 1 How to follow instructions for treatment | 100 | percentage of respondents |  |
| 2 What are the side effects of treatment | 100 | 77 | 23 |
| 3 What causes the illness | 100 | 82 | 18 |
| 4 What are the negative effects of the illness | 100 | 73 | 27 |
| 5 No questions | 100 | 68 | 32 |
| 6 Other | 100 | 3 | 97 |

Respondents were asked to select the questions they would ask when a doctor told them about certain medication or treatment and illness. The majority of respondents selected the following options: what were the side effects of the treatment ( $82 \%$ ) ; how to follow instructions for treatment ( $77 \%$ ); what caused the illness ( $73 \%$ ); and what were the negative effects of the illness (68\%). Only $3 \%$ indicated that they would not ask the doctor any questions.

Chart 38: Questions on Illness and Treatment


Source: Table 40

|  | Cause of HIV/AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group (years) | Total | The natural <br> evolution of <br> illnesses | Change in <br> people's <br> sexual habits | A scientist's <br> experiment | People's <br> ignorance | Do not know |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |
| All ages | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 10 | 45 | 20 | 19 | 5 |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 9 | 47 | 18 | 21 | 5 |  |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 9 | 42 | 22 | 22 | 5 |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 10 | 46 | 19 | 21 | 4 |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 11 | 42 | 26 | 16 | 5 |  |

A relatively large proportion of the respondents (45\%) was of the opinion that HIV/AIDS resulted from a change in people's sexual habits while one-fifth indicated a scientist's experiment (20\%) and people's ignorance (19\%). This pattern of responses in 2012, both by age group (Table 41) and educational attainment (Table 42), was generally unchanged when compared to the results of the 2005 study.

Chart 39: Causes of HIV/AIDS by Age Groups


| Educational attainment | Cause of HIV/AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | The natural evolution of illnesses | Change in people's sexual habits | A scientist's experiment | People's ignorance | Do not know |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  | (6) |
| Total | 100 | 10 | 45 | 20 | 19 | 5 |
| None | 100 | 0 | 53 | 27 | 7 | 13 |
| Primary | 100 | 9 | 44 | 17 | 22 | 8 |
| Secondary | 100 | 10 | 47 | 19 | 20 | 4 |
| Diploma | 100 | 7 | 44 | 25 | 17 | 6 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 11 | 40 | 24 | 20 | 5 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 16 | 45 | 22 | 11 | 7 |

Chart 40: Causes of HIV/AIDS by Educational Attainment


Source: Table 42

| Scientific term | Familiarity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very <br> familiar | Familiar | Vaguely <br> familiar | Not familiar | Familiar <br> cols (2) + <br> $(3)$ |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |
| Catalyst |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlorophyll | 100 | 11 | 18 | 17 | 55 | 29 |  |
| Hormone | 100 | 17 | 23 | 17 | 42 | 40 |  |
| DNA | 100 | 25 | 38 | 25 | 12 | 63 |  |
| Global warming | 100 | 25 | 36 | 21 | 18 | 61 |  |
| Biodiversity | 100 | 25 | 35 | 22 | 19 | 60 |  |
| Gene | 100 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 58 | 27 |  |
| Thermostat | 100 | 24 | 36 | 25 | 16 | 60 |  |

Table 43 shows the level of familiarity with selected scientific terms. The survey participants were mostly familiar with the terms hormone (63\%), DNA (61\%), global warming (60\%) and gene (60\%). A substantial proportion of respondents was not familiar with the terms biodiversity ( $58 \%$ ), catalyst ( $55 \%$ ) and chlorophyll $(42 \%)$. However, the level of familiarity with these three scientific terms increased with that of educational attainment (Table 44).

Chart 41: Familiarity with Selected Scientific Terms


Scientific term

Source: Table 43

Catalyst

| Educational attainment | Familiarity |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very <br> familiar | Familiar | Vaguely <br> familiar | Not <br> familiar |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total |  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
| None | 100 | 11 | 18 | 17 | 55 |
| Primary | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Secondary | 100 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 71 |
| Diploma | 100 | 8 | 17 | 19 | 55 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 17 | 29 | 13 | 41 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 34 |

Chlorophyll

| Educational attainment | Familiarity |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very <br> familiar | Familiar | Vaguely <br> familiar | Not <br> familiar |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total |  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
| None | 100 | 17 | 23 | 17 | 42 |
| Primary | 100 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 93 |
| Secondary | 100 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 59 |
| Diploma | 100 | 16 | 24 | 19 | 41 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 23 | 34 | 15 | 28 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 26 | 34 | 20 | 21 |

Biodiversity

| Educational attainment | Familiarity |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Very <br> familiar | Familiar | Vaguely <br> familiar | Not <br> familiar |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total |  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
| None | 100 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 58 |
| Primary | 100 | 0 | 7 |  | 93 |
| Secondary | 100 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 73 |
| Diploma | 100 | 9 | 16 | 17 | 58 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 13 | 29 | 16 | 42 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 37 |


| Familiar cols (2) <br> $+(3)$ |
| :---: |
| $(6)$ |
| 29 |
| 0 |
| 17 |
| 25 |
| 46 |
| 43 |
| 59 |


| Familiar cols (2) <br> $+(3)$ |
| :---: |
| $(6)$ |
| 40 |
| 7 |
| 25 |
| 40 |
| 57 |
| 60 |
| 67 |


| Familiar cols (2) <br> $+(3)$ |
| :---: |
| $(6)$ |


| 27 |
| :---: |
| 7 |
| 16 |
| 25 |
| 42 |
| 38 |
| 56 |

Chart 42: Familiarity with the Term Catalyst by Education Attainment


Chart 43: Familiarity with the Term Chlorophyll by Educational Attainment


Educational attainment

Chart 44: Familiarity with the Term Biodiversity by Educational Attainment


Source: Table 44

| Educational attainment | Work abroad to become scientist |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagree | Do not <br> know | Agree <br> cols <br> $(2)+(3)$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 13 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 56 |
| None | 100 | 13 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 73 |
| Primary | 100 | 11 | 47 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 58 |
| Secondary | 100 | 13 | 44 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 57 |
| Diploma | 100 | 17 | 37 | 40 | 5 | 1 | 53 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 21 | 37 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 59 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 16 | 31 | 43 | 9 | 1 | 47 |

Over a half (56\%) of the sample of respondents agreed that people who wanted to become scientists had to work abroad while $43 \%$ disagreed. The highest level of disagreement was recorded amongst respondents with a bachelor's degree and above (52\%) (Table 45) and respondents who stated they were very well-informed with respect to science and technology (53\%) (Table 46).


| Informed on science and <br> technology | Work abroad to become a scientist |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagree | Do not <br> know | Agree <br> cols <br> $(2)+(3)$ |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |  |
| Total | 100 | 13 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 56 |  |
| Very well-informed | 100 | 19 | 27 | 41 | 12 | 1 | 46 |  |
| Well-informed | 100 | 15 | 40 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 55 |  |
| Informed | 100 | 14 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 57 |  |
| Not informed | 100 | 10 | 50 | 35 | 3 | 3 | 59 |  |


| Educational <br> attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | To <br> make <br> money | For <br> prestige | To do <br> good | To solve <br> people's <br> problems | Quest for <br> knowledge | To gain <br> power | Love <br> science | Do <br> not <br> know |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| None | 100 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 28 | 42 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Primary | 100 | 33 | 0 | 13 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Secondary | 100 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Diploma | 100 | 12 | 2 | 12 | 28 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Associate Degree | 100 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 26 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 100 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 27 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| and above | 100 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 24 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

A relatively large proportion of respondents (42\%), especially amongst those with educational attainment (Table 47) and those informed on science and technology (Table 48), indicated that a scientist's main reason for his/her choice of profession was the quest for knowledge; to solve people's problems (28\%) was next in ranking.

Chart 46: Scientist's Reason for Choice of Profession


| Informed on science and technology | Main reason for choice of profession |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | To make money | For prestige | To do good | To solve people's problems | Quest for knowledge | To gain power | Love science | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { know } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Very well~ informed | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 28 | 42 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 24 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Well-informed | 100 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 25 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Informed | 100 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 29 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Not informed | 100 | 18 | 2 | 17 | 29 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 1 |


| Educational attainment | Encourage child |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | (2) | $(3)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 90 | 10 |
| Secondary | 100 | 80 | 20 |
| Diploma | 100 | 89 | 11 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 90 | 10 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 92 | 8 |

The table above shows that a significant majority of respondents (90\%) in the Public Perception of Science Survey, 2012 would encourage their child/children to pursue a scientific career as observed in a similar study of 2005.

Chart 47: Encourage Child to Pursue Scientific Career All Respondents


Source: Table 49

| Informed on science and technology | Name local scientist |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 10 | 90 |
| Informed | 100 | 25 | 75 |
| Not informed | 100 | 19 | 81 |

The survey results reveal that only a small percentage ( $10 \%$ ) of the respondents could recall the name of a local scientist (Table 50). However, a substantial percentage (68\%) of the survey participants, especially amongst those informed about scientific activities (Table 51), was of the opinion that scientific and technological research was conducted in Trinidad and Tobago.


Source: Table 50

| Informed on science and technology | Science and technology research |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 68 | 32 |
| Informed | 100 | 81 | 19 |
| Not informed | 100 | 82 | 18 |

Chart 49: Science and Technology Research in Trinidad and Tobago All Respondents


Source: Table 51

| Informed on science and technology | Name institution |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 100 | percentage of respondents |  |
|  | 100 | 61 | 39 |
| Informed | 100 | 76 | 24 |
| Not informed | 100 | 67 | 33 |

Three-fifths (61\%) of the survey respondents overall, and more so of those who were informed of scientific and technological research undertaken in Trinidad and Tobago, were able to name an institution where such activity was conducted. The institutions mainly identified were: The University of the West Indies, Caribbean Industrial Research Institute and The University of Trinidad and Tobago.


Source: Table 52

| Informed on science and technology | Research useful |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Do not know |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 90 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 100 | 92 | 6 | 2 |
| Informed | 100 | 92 | 5 | 3 |
| Not informed | 100 | 90 | 6 | 4 |

Of the respondents who were of the view that scientific and technological research was conducted in Trinidad and Tobago, an overwhelming majority (90\%) stated that such undertaking was useful.


Source: Table 53

| Source of financing | Percentage of respondents |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1)$ |
| Total | 100 |
| Scientists | 3 |
| Private enterprises | 4 |
| Private foundations | 3 |
| Foreign countries | 4 |
| Government | 69 |
| International organisations | 7 |
| Do not know | 10 |

Government was identified as the main source of research funding by the majority (69\%) of respondents who thought research was conducted locally.

Chart 52: Source of Science and Technology Financing


Source: Table 54

| Age group (years) | Visited the science centre |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| All ages | percentage of respondents |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 24 | 76 |
| 20~29 | 100 | 31 | 69 |
| 30~39 | 100 | 27 | 73 |
| 40~49 | 100 | 24 | 76 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 25 | 75 |

A quarter (24\%) of the survey respondents indicated that they had visited the NIHERST/NGC National Science Centre while $76 \%$ never did. The data show that approximately one-third (31\%) of respondents in the less than 20 age group were past visitors to the science centre (Table 55). A further review of the data by educational attainment reveals a positive relationship between educational attainment and visits to the science centre where $43 \%$ with a bachelors' degree or above qualification visited compared to $16 \%$ with primary education (Table 55). Additionally, respondents who were informed about science and technology were more inclined to visit the science centre than those not informed (Table 57). Table 58 shows that most (95\%) of the respondents who had visited the science centre were satisfied with the visit.

Chart 53: Visited NIHERST/National Science Centre by Age Groups


| Educational attainment | Visited the science centre |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| Total | percentage of respondents |  |  |
| None | 100 | 7 | 93 |
| Primary | 100 | 16 | 84 |
| Secondary | 100 | 24 | 76 |
| Diploma | 100 | 32 | 68 |
| Associate degree | 100 | 27 | 73 |
| Bachelor's degree and above | 100 | 43 | 57 |

Chart 54: Visited NIHERST/NGC National Science Centre by Educational Attainment


Educational attainment

Source: Table 56

| Informed on science and technology | Visited the science centre |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| Total | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 100 | percentage of respondents |  |
|  | 100 | 24 | 76 |
| Informed | 100 | 38 | 62 |
| Not informed | 100 | 38 | 62 |


| Age group (years) | Satisfied with visit ~ percentage of respondent |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
| All ages | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 95 | 5 |
| $30 \sim 39$ | 100 | 98 | 2 |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 95 | 5 |
| 50 and over | 100 | 95 | 5 |

Chart 55: Satisfied with Visit to the NIHERST/NGC National Science Centre All Ages


Source: Table 58

|  | Protest action |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participation |  |  |  | Important to participate |  |
|  | Total | Yes | No | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
|  | 100 | 2 | 98 | 100 | 85 | 15 |

## Table 60: Type of Protest Action

| Type of action | Participation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Yes | No |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | percentage of respondents |  |  |
|  | 100 | 55 | 45 |
|  | 100 | 31 | 69 |
| Other | 100 | 29 | 71 |

Table 59 shows that an extremely small percentage ( $2 \%$ ) of the sample had participated in protest actions or made complaints about problems arising from science and technology activity. However, when asked if it was important to participate in these actions the majority ( $85 \%$ ) of respondents replied in the affirmative. The main form of protest action was protest rally ( $55 \%$ ) followed by public forum (31\%) and written petition (29\%) (Table 60).

Chart 56: Participation in Protest Action


Source: Table 59

Chart 57: Important to Participation in Protest Action


| Main obstacle | Percentage of respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $(1)$ |
| Total | 100 |
| People are not interested | 26 |
| People have more important problems to complain about | 19 |
| There are no channels for participation | 9 |
| Complaints do not produce results | 15 |
| People are not knowledgeable enough to participate | 26 |
| People are afraid of the consequences | 1 |
| Not stated | 4 |

The survey data show that peoples' lack of interest (26\%) and insufficient knowledge (26\%) were the two main obstacles to participating in science and technology issues. One fifth (19\%) of the respondents indicated that there were more important problems about which to complain.

| Age group (years) | Comment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Interesting | Useful | Difficult | Boring | Other |  |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |  |  |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | percentage of respondents |
| Less than 20 | 100 | 65 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $20 \sim 29$ | 100 | 64 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 30~39 | 100 | 65 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| $40 \sim 49$ | 100 | 69 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 50 and over | 100 | 69 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |  |

Overall, the participants of the survey by various age groups demonstrated positive attitudes towards the subject of the enquiry on the public perception of science. The majority ( $65 \%$ ) stated that the study was interesting and $30 \%$ found it useful.


Source: Table 62

Interest in S\&T Areas

| Administrative areas | Medicine and Health |  | Archaeology |  | Environment |  | Computers and IT |  | Astronomy and Space |  | Geology |  | Engineering |  | Agriculture |  | Psychology |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
|  | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 | 2012 | 2005 |
| Total | 83.0 | 82.8 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 72.4 | 78.6 | 53.2 | 57.5 | 20.9 | 18.9 | 17.7 | 19.4 | 28.4 | 28.1 | 58.7 | 57.7 | 36.7 | 40.3 |
| Port of Spain | 85.4 | 93.8 | 22.3 | 9.4 | 76.7 | 81.3 | 58.3 | 46.9 | 22.3 | 10.9 | 22.3 | 10.9 | 35.9 | 26.6 | 62.1 | 42.2 | 43.7 | 28.1 |
| San Fernando | 79.8 | 84.8 | 14.1 | 12.1 | 72.7 | 89.4 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 23.2 | 24.2 | 21.2 | 13.6 | 20.2 | 24.2 | 48.5 | 69.7 | 32.3 | 39.4 |
| Arima | 88.5 | 93.2 | 24.6 | 13.6 | 86.9 | 79.5 | 65.6 | 65.9 | 24.6 | 22.7 | 21.3 | 22.7 | 36.1 | 29.5 | 63.9 | 56.8 | 62.3 | 56.8 |
| Point Fortin | 80.0 | 96.4 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 84.4 | 100.0 | 73.3 | 60.7 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 3.6 | 44.4 | 21.4 | 62.2 | 39.3 | 57.8 | 64.3 |
| Chaguanas | 85.4 | 85.9 | 15.3 | 17.6 | 79.9 | 84.7 | 61.8 | 68.2 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 22.2 | 29.4 | 25.7 | 35.3 | 57.6 | 56.5 | 36.8 | 44.7 |
| Diego Martin | 76.9 | 88.6 | 13.8 | 18.2 | 65.6 | 82.6 | 44.1 | 62.9 | 12.3 | 18.9 | 13.8 | 22.0 | 23.1 | 31.1 | 52.8 | 54.5 | 33.8 | 45.5 |
| St Anns | 83.9 | 67.6 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 64.8 | 86.2 | 46.5 | 54.2 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 23.5 | 32.0 | 54.8 | 60.9 | 31.0 | 35.1 |
| Tacarigua | 86.8 | 80.0 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 72.5 | 77.1 | 50.4 | 68.8 | 19.0 | 22.4 | 17.4 | 20.6 | 26.0 | 28.8 | 64.0 | 51.2 | 39.1 | 48.8 |
| Rest of St George | 83.5 | 87.4 | 14.2 | 24.1 | 74.8 | 77.0 | 54.3 | 56.3 | 18.9 | 19.5 | 12.6 | 27.6 | 23.6 | 27.6 | 63.0 | 56.3 | 36.2 | 52.9 |
| Caroni | 75.0 | 85.5 | 14.4 | 18.1 | 67.6 | 68.8 | 48.1 | 51.4 | 18.1 | 25.4 | 12.0 | 19.6 | 25.0 | 30.4 | 52.3 | 59.4 | 27.3 | 38.4 |
| Victoria | 85.0 | 84.1 | 13.6 | 17.8 | 66.7 | 76.6 | 48.7 | 59.8 | 16.2 | 20.6 | 15.6 | 23.4 | 34.5 | 28.0 | 53.7 | 56.1 | 26.0 | 35.5 |
| St Patrick | 78.5 | 83.9 | 15.2 | 16.8 | 70.9 | 73.7 | 53.4 | 51.1 | 23.8 | 17.5 | 17.0 | 25.5 | 29.1 | 28.5 | 57.8 | 59.9 | 38.1 | 42.3 |
| St Andrew/St David | 80.2 | 75.0 | 24.1 | 4.7 | 75.9 | 71.9 | 53.4 | 42.2 | 30.2 | 9.4 | 19.8 | 12.5 | 32.8 | 26.6 | 63.8 | 67.2 | 39.7 | 17.2 |
| Nariva/Mayaro | 85.9 | 80.0 | 14.1 | 12.5 | 70.4 | 40.0 | 43.7 | 45.0 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 23.9 | 7.5 | 63.4 | 70.0 | 33.8 | 35.0 |
| Tobago | 90.9 | 89.1 | 24.4 | 7.9 | 89.8 | 83.2 | 71.6 | 57.4 | 27.4 | 11.9 | 29.4 | 17.8 | 34.5 | 18.8 | 74.1 | 62.4 | 57.9 | 37.6 |


[^0]:    Source: Table 1

[^1]:    Source: Table 10

[^2]:    Source: Table 18

