

NIHERST

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HIGHER EDUCATION RESEARCH, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

ICORPORATED BY ACT OF PARLIAMENT ACT NO 20 OF 1884

Survey of Mathematics in Secondary Schools 2006

Copyright © July 2007 by NIHERST

NIHERST 43 – 45 Woodford Street Newtown Port of Spain Trinidad

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system without the prior written permission of NIHERST.

Foreword

In this publication, the National Institute of Higher Education, Research, Science and Technology (NIHERST) presents the results of the Survey of Mathematics in Secondary Schools, 2006.

The Survey of Mathematics in Secondary Schools, 2006 was a two-part enquiry of teachers and students in Forms 1 to 4 in all government, government-assisted and private secondary schools. The major objectives of this study were to compile data on the profile of secondary school teachers, training needs, choice of career and difficulties encountered in teaching mathematics. The adequacy and availability of teaching aids and textbooks, teaching and evaluation methods and students' attitudes towards mathematics and mathematical careers were also addressed in the enquiry.

The teaching and understanding of mathematics are essential to the total development of the student and by extension impacts directly on the level of numeracy in the population. The results of this study are therefore intended to provide data on key education indicators necessary for improving the quality of mathematics education to the benefit of all stakeholders.

NIHERST wishes to thank the Ministry of Education for approving the conduct of this study in secondary schools. We also acknowledge the co-operation of the teachers and students who willingly provided the data collated in this report.

Science and Technology Statistical Unit 43-45 Woodford Street Newtown Port of Spain 628-1154 e-mail: stresearch@niherst.gov.tt website: http://niherst.gov.tt Maureen Manchouck President

Table of Contents

	Page	e No.
Forew	vord	i
Acron	nyms and Abbreviations	viii
Metho	odology	ix
Execu	itive Summary	xi
Table	No.	
	Teachers	
1. 1a.	Number of Teachers by Type of School and Gender Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Gender Chart 1. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Gender	1 1 2
2. 2a. 2b.	Number of Teachers by Type of School and Age Percentage of Teachers by Type of School within Age Group Chart 2. Percentage of Teachers Within Age Group and Type of School Percentage of Teachers by Age Group within Type of School	3 3 3 4
3. 3a.	Number of Teachers by Age Group and Highest Level of Education Percentage of Teachers by Age Group and Highest Level of Education Chart 3. Percentage of Teachers by Age Group and Highest Level of Education	5 5 6
4. 4a.	Number of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Level of Education Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Level of Education Chart 4. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Level of Education	7 7 8
5. 5a.	Number of Teachers by Highest Level of Education and Professional Qualification Percentage of Teachers by Highest Level of Education and Professional Qualification Chart 5. Percentage of Teachers by Highest Level of Education and Professional Qualification	9 9 10
6. 6a.	Number of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Professional Qualification Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Professional Qualification Chart 6. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Professional Qualification	11 11 12
7. 7a.	Number of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study and Highest Professional Qualification Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study within Highest Professional	13
7b.	Chart 7. Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Highest Professional Qualification within	13 14
	Field of Study Chart 7a. Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Highest Professional Qualification	15 15
8. 8a.	Number of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study and Type of School Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study and Type of School	16 16

9.	Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Years of Service Chart 8. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Years of Service	17 17
10.	Teaching as a First Choice Career by Age Group Chart 9. Teaching as a First Choice Career by Age Group	18 18
11.	Teaching as a First Choice by Opportunity to Change to Another Career Chart 10. Change to Another Career - Percentage of Teachers	19 19
12. 12a. 12b.	Attendance at Curriculum Workshops in Mathematics by Type of School Attendance at Assessment Workshops in Mathematics by Type of School Attendance at Teaching Methods Workshops in Mathematics by Type of School Chart 11. Attendance at Workshops in Curriculum, Assessment and Teaching Methods	20 20 20 21
13.	Assignment of Mathematics Homework by Type of School Chart 12. Assignment of Mathematics Homework by Type of School	22 22
14.	Frequency of Homework Assignment by Time Chart 13. Frequency of Homework Assignment by Time	23 23
15.	Use Textbook to Teach Mathematics by Type of School Chart 14. Use Textbook to Teach Mathematics by Type of School	24 24
16.	How Textbook Used by Type of School Chart 15. How Textbook Used by Type of School	25 25
17.	Adequacy of Mathematics Textbooks	26
18.	Familiarity with National Curriculum by Type of School	27
19.	Familiarity with C.S.E.C. Syllabus by Type of School Chart 16. Familiarity with C.S.E.C. Syllabus by Type of School	28 28
20.	Number of Mathematics Periods/Week Chart 17. Percentage of Mathematics Periods/Week	29 29
21.	Time Spent on Teaching Activities Outside the Formal School Day Chart 18. Time Spent on Teaching Activities Outside the Formal School Day	30 30
22.	Meetings with other Teachers by Type of School Chart 19. Meetings with other Teachers by Type of School	31 31
23.	Influence on Aspects of Teaching Chart 20. Influence on Aspects of Teaching	32 32
24.	Mathematics Club by Type of School Chart 21. Mathematics Club by Type of School	33 33
25.	Use of Calculators by Type of School Chart 22. Use of Calculators by Type of School	34 34
26.	Computers Available for Use in Mathematics by Type of School	35
<u> </u>		

	Chart 23. Computers Available for Use in Mathematics by Type of School	35
27.	Access to Internet for Mathematics by Type of School Chart 24. Access to Internet for Mathematics by Type of School	36 36
28.	Use the Internet as a Teaching Resource by Type of School	37
29.	Performance of Mathematical Activities Chart 25. Performance of Mathematical Activities	38 38
30.	Time Spent by Subject Area	39
31.	Most Difficult Subject Area for Students to Conceptualise by Form	40
32.	How Students Work Chart 26. How Students Work	41 41
33.	Issues that Limit Teaching Mathematics Chart 27. Issues that Limit Teaching Mathematics	42 42
34.	Identifying Difficulties in Teaching Areas Chart 28. Identifying Difficulties in Teaching Areas	43 43
35.	Indicators for Being Good at Mathematics Chart 29. Indicators for Being Good at Mathematics	44 44
36.	Agreement with Statements on Mathematics Education Chart 30. Agreement with Statements on Mathematics Education	45 45
37.	Assessment of Students' Work Chart 31. Assessment of Students' Work	46 46
38.	Extra Lessons by Type of School Chart 32. Extra Lessons by Type of School	47 47
	Students	
39. 39a. 39b.	Number of Students by Type of School and Form Percentage of Students by Form within Type of School Percentage of Students by Type of School within Form Chart 33. Students by Form within Type of School Chart 33a. Students by Type of School within Form	48 48 48 49 49
40.	Percentage of Mathematics Periods/Week by Type of School Chart 34. Mathematics Periods/Week by Type of School	50 50
41.	Frequency of Activities in Mathematics Class Chart 35. Frequency of Activities in Mathematics Class	51 51
42.	Adequacy of Mathematics Textbooks	52
43.	Bring Textbook by Type of School Chart 36. Bring Textbook by Type of School	53 53

Frequency of Mathematics Homework by Type of School	54
Chart 37. Frequency of Mathematics Homework by Type of School	54
Frequency of doing Mathematics Homework	55
Chart 38. Frequency of doing Mathematics Homework	55
Reasons for not doing Homework by Type of School	56
Chart 39. Reasons for not doing Homework by Type of School	56
Reasons for not doing Homework by Form	57
Chart 40. Reason for not doing Homework by Form	57
Time Taken to do Homework by Type of School	58
Chart 41. Time Taken to do Homework by Type of School	58
Time Taken to do Homework by Form	59
Chart 42. Time taken to do Homework by Form	59
Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Type of School	60
Chart 43. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Type of School	60
Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Form	61
Chart 44. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Form	61
Reasons for Taking Extra Lesson by Type of School	62
Seeking Help with Mathematics Homework by Type of School	63
Chart 45. Seeking Help with Mathematics Homework by Type of School	63
Agreement with Statements about Mathematics	64
Chart 46. Agreement with Statements about Mathematics	65
Necessities for doing well in Mathematics at School	66
Chart 47. Necessities for doing well in Mathematics at School	66
Time Spent on Activities on a Normal School Day	67
Chart 48. Time Spent on Activities on a Normal School Day	67
Items Available at Students' Homes	68
Chart 49. Items Available at Students' Homes	68
Books at Students' Homes by Type of School	69
Chart 50. Books at Students' Homes by Type of School	69
Expected Level of Educational Attainment by Type of School	70
Chart 51. Expected Level of Educational Attainment by Type of School	70
Educational Attainment of Parent/Guardian by Type of School	71
Chart 52. Educational Attainment of Parent/Guardian by Type of School	71
Use Computer by Type of School	72
Chart 53. Use Computer by Type of School	72
Where Computer Used by Type of School	73
Chart 54. Where Computer Used by Type of School	73
	Frequency of Mathematics Homework by Type of School Chart 37. Frequency of Mathematics Homework by Type of School Frequency of doing Mathematics Homework Chart 38. Frequency of doing Mathematics Homework Reasons for not doing Homework by Type of School Chart 39. Reasons for not doing Homework by Form Chart 40. Reason for not doing Homework by Form Chart 40. Reason for not doing Homework by Form Time Taken to do Homework by Type of School Chart 41. Time Taken to do Homework by Form Chart 42. Time taken to do Homework by Form Chart 42. Time taken to do Homework by Form Chart 43. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Type of School Chart 43. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Type of School Chart 43. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Form Chart 44. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Form Chart 44. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Form Reasons for Taking Extra Lesson by Type of School Chart 45. Seeking Help with Mathematics Homework by Type of School Chart 45. Seeking Help with Mathematics Homework by Type of School Chart 45. Seeking Help with Mathematics Homework by Type of School Chart 46. Agreement with Statements about Mathematics Chart 46. Agreement with Statements about Mathematics Chart 47. Necessities for doing well in Mathematics at School Chart 47. Necessities for doing well in Mathematics at School Chart 48. Time Spent on Activities on a Normal School Day Chart 48. Time Spent on Activities on a Normal School Day Chart 49. Items Available at Students' Homes Books at Students' Homes by Type of School Chart 50. Books at Students' Homes by Type of School Chart 50. Books at Students' Homes by Type of School Chart 51. Expected Level of Educational Attainment by Type of School Chart 52. Educational Attainment of Parent/Guardian by Type of School Chart 53. Use Computer by Type of School Chart 54. Where Computer Used by Type of School Chart 54. Where Computer Used by Type of School

60.	Access to Internet by Type of School Chart 55. Access to Internet by Type of School	74 74
61.	Use Computer for Mathematics Exercises Chart 56. Use Computer for Mathematics Exercises	75 75

Acronyms and Abbreviations

- Junior Sec Government Junior Secondary School
- Senior Sec/Comp Government Senior Secondary/Comprehensive School
- C.X.C Caribbean Examinations Council
- C.S.E.C. Caribbean Secondary Education Certificate
- CAPE Caribbean Advanced Proficiency Examination

Methodology

Introduction

The empirical results of this study on manpower, physical infrastructure and curriculum content are key indicators in the planning and evaluation of formal mathematics education. This publication should engage the attention of decision-makers, curriculum specialists, researchers, teaching personnel and, in general, stakeholders in mathematics education. This methodology describes the objectives, scope, coverage, data collection and processing of the results of the survey.

Objectives

Approximately 50% of secondary school candidates fail mathematics at the C.S.E.C. general proficiency level. This enquiry is intended to provide insights into the key factors contributing to this low level of performance in the subject.

The study focused on the quality of teaching, available resources and students' approach towards mathematics by monitoring:

- Teachers' qualifications and training needs
- Adequacy of teaching materials and textbooks
- Areas of difficulty teaching and understanding
- Assessment methods
- Students' views towards mathematics
- Students' attitudes towards mathematical careers

The survey will also provide benchmark data for a tracer study of C.S.E.C. students and even those who subsequently pursued higher qualifications and careers in mathematics.

Scope

The scope of this study included information on the demographic and social characteristics of the teachers such as age, gender, educational attainment, professional qualifications and years of service. Teachers also provided information about instructional practices, emphasis on the topics in the mathematics curriculum, teaching resources and assessment strategies. Apart from the socio-demographic characteristics of the students, the survey also examined students' attitudes towards mathematics and mathematical careers, their academic self-concept, classroom and after-school activities and home background.

Coverage

The frame for the study was obtained from the Central Statistical Office. It contained a total of 204 public and private secondary schools in the various educational districts. Of the 204 secondary schools surveyed, 22, mainly private schools, were out of operation or conducted classes for Form 5 only which was excluded from the enquiry. Table A. shows the number of selections and response rate by type of school.

Table A. Response by Type of School

Type of school	No. selected	No. responded	Responded %
Total	182	161	88
1. Government Junior Secondary	17	16	94
2. Composite	11	10	91
3. Government Senior Secondary/ Comprehensive	18	18	100
4. Government Secondary	44	43	98
5. Government Assisted	43	38	88
6. Private	49	36	73

Sample Design

All state schools were stratified by type as shown in Table A in the coverage. With the addition of the private schools, six strata were obtained. The following procedure was then adopted in selecting the form as the sampling unit: commencing with stratum one, Form 1 was selected from the first listed school, Form 2 from the second school and similarly for Forms 3 and 4 from the next consecutive schools. This process was repeated until the schools in the stratum were exhausted. The procedure for selecting the forms from the subsequent strata was similar to that described for stratum one. All students and the mathematics teacher of the selected form were surveyed. Form 5 students were excluded from the study as they were preparing for their final C.S.E.C. examination. Through this selection process, a representative sample of one hundred and sixty one teachers and approximately four thousand students from public and private secondary schools responded to the enquiry.

Data Collection

Two draft questionnaires, one for teachers and another for students of the selected forms, were designed to achieve the underlying objectives and a pilot study was conducted. The final questionnaires, used for data collection in this survey, were then delivered to each school and subsequently monitored by a group of experienced interviewers. Data collection commenced in February, 2006 and was completed by June, 2006.

Data Processing

As completed questionnaires were received, data were edited for consistency and omissions. Where discrepancies were identified, questionnaires were returned to the field for verification and correction as necessary. Edited data were captured in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 11.0 software which was used to produce the tabulations in this report.

Results

The results of the survey are presented in percentages of the sample of respondents in the various tabulations and graphics which follow.

Executive Summary

The findings of the study indicate:

Shortage of mathematics teachers

- This study shows a dearth of graduate teachers in mathematics with professional training in the government, government-assisted and private secondary schools. Of the sample of 161 teachers engaged in teaching Mathematics, 28% held a first degree in the subject and only 16% graduated in Mathematics and also obtained professional qualification.
- Of all 161 mathematics teachers, 57% graduated in various fields of study, mainly in mathematics (28%) and natural sciences (11%), and 33% possessed A'level qualification. Forty percent (40%) of all teachers were professionally qualified mainly with a Diploma in Education (19%) and a Teacher's Diploma (11%).
- The largest proportion (35%) of the sample of mathematics teachers reported service of less than five years while 30% indicated teaching experience of 15 years and over.

A continuation of the traditional 'chalk and talk' method of teaching

- Two-thirds (66%) of the teachers indicated that computers were not available for use in mathematics by students. Of the percentage of computers available for use, 46% had access to the internet for mathematics.
- One-half (50%) of the secondary school mathematics teachers who participated in the survey stated that they did not use the internet as a teaching resource while 38% used it 'a little'.
- One-half of the teachers (53%) reported restricted use of calculators by students while one-quarter (25%) stated that calculators were not permitted.
- Approximately two-fifths of the teachers in each case indicated that, at every lesson students worked 'individually with assistance from the teacher' (39%) and 'together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole class' (41%).
- A significant proportion (89%) of the students never used computers in their mathematics class.
- Ninety-three percent (93%) indicated that teachers frequently showed them how to do mathematics problems.

Positive attitudes towards mathematics and careers in mathematics

- Overall, the majority of the mathematics teachers (60%) in secondary schools stated that teaching was their first choice as a career.
- Over three-quarters (79%) indicated that they were not desirous of changing to another career and two-thirds (67%) who did not report teaching as their first choice of career were similarly disposed.
- Most of the students surveyed agreed with the statements: 'I think learning mathematics will help me in my daily life' (94%), 'I need to do well in mathematics to get the job I want' (93%), 'I need mathematics to learn other school subjects' (85%) and 'I like mathematics' (81%).

Computer literacy:

• The majority (94%) of the secondary school students indicated that they used the computer while three-fifths (61%) had access to a home computer.

Instructional time and syllabus content

- The study shows that the highest percentage of teaching time was spent on algebra which was identified as the most difficult area of the mathematics syllabus for students to conceptualise, followed by geometry and trigonometry.
- The data reveal that the most frequently performed mathematical activity was the practice of computational skills at 'every lesson' (36%) and 'most lessons' (52%).
- According to the students, activities including, 'the teacher shows us how to do maths problems' (93%) and 'we discuss our completed homework' (79%) occurred most frequently in their mathematics class.
- The majority of students surveyed, as in the case of the teachers, reported five mathematics periods each week.

Time spent outside the formal school day

- Teachers devoted more time, mainly between 1 and 2 hours, in planning lessons, preparing or grading student tests or examinations and in professional reading and development.
- Over one-third of the sample of secondary school mathematics teachers attended monthly (38%) or weekly (35%) meetings with other teachers in their subject area to discuss and plan curriculum and teaching methods.
- Only one-third (32%) attended curriculum workshops in mathematics and a considerably smaller percentage (13%) was exposed to workshops in assessment. By comparison, attendance at workshops in teaching methods (40%) showed some improvement.
- The data show that students devoted most time doing homework on a normal school day.

Adequacy and use of textbooks

- In general, a significant percentage (93%) of the teachers used textbooks to teach mathematics.
- Two-thirds (66%) used textbooks as a supplementary resource compared to one-third (34%) as the primary basis for their lessons.
- The majority (47%) of the students brought their textbooks to school for every mathematics lesson while one-third (33%) did so sometimes.
- A relatively large proportion (77%) of the sample of students stated that the STP Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1-Book4 was adequate compared to teachers (54%).

Homework assignment

- A substantial percentage (71%) of teachers, especially in the state and state-assisted schools, assigned mathematics homework 'everyday' and one-quarter (25%) did so 'once or twice a week'.
- Over a half (58%) of the students surveyed indicated that teachers assigned mathematics homework 'every time'. The highest percentage (67%) of students who were assigned homework 'every time' was observed in the government-assisted schools.

Issues that limited teaching of the subject

- On issues that limited their teaching of mathematics, a substantial percentage of teachers identified 'disruptive students' (47%), 'students who come from a wide range of backgrounds' (45%) 'parents interested in their children's learning and progress' (44%) and 'student absenteeism' (42%).
- A significant proportion (84%) of the teachers stated that threats to personal safety or the safety of students had little or no effect on the teaching of mathematics.
- Approximately three-fifths of the mathematics teachers reported no difficulty in planning lessons (62%) and assessment strategies (61%).

Extra Lessons

- Approximately a quarter (27%) of the sample of mathematics teachers gave extra lessons compared with two-thirds (67%) who did not.
- Three-fifths (61%) of the total sample of secondary school students did not take extra lessons or tutoring.
- Further examination of the data by form indicates that students in the higher Forms, 3 and 4, accessed extra lessons or tutoring more frequently than their counterparts in the lower Forms, 1 and 2.

Students' academic self-concept:

- ♦ A significant percentage (72%) of the total sample of secondary schools students expressed a desire to attain university-level education. The highest percentage of students desirous of obtaining this level of education was observed in the government-assisted (84%) and government secondary schools (71%).
- ♦ Almost all (97%) of the students surveyed agreed that 'lots of hard work and studying at home' was necessary to do well in mathematics at school, and a substantial proportion (71%) dispelled any notion to the contrary by disagreeing that 'good luck' was needed to perform well in the subject.

Teachers

Table 1. Number of Teachers by Type of School and Gender

Type of school	Gender					
	Total	Male	Female			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
Total	161	64	97			
Junior Secondary	16	11	5			
Composite	10	3	7			
Senior Sec/Comp	18	5	13			
Government Secondary	43	20	23			
Government Assisted	38	14	24			
Private	36	11	25			

Table 1a. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Gender Gender Type of school Total Male Female (1) (2)(3)Total 60 100 40 Junior Secondary 100 69 31 Composite 70 100 30 Senior Sec/Comp 100 28 72 **Government Secondary** 100 53 47 **Government Assisted** 100 37 63 Private 100 69 31

Table 1 shows the distribution of mathematics teachers surveyed by type of secondary school and gender. Of the total sample of 161 teachers, 40% were males while 60% were females, representing a 1:1.5 male to female ratio. Females out-numbered their male counterparts in all types of schools except the junior secondary which comprised approximately two thirds (69%) males and one-third (31%) females.



Source: Table 1

Table 2. Number of Teachers by Type of School and Age

Type of school	Age group - years							
	Total	Under 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50 and over		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Total	161	3	68	41	21	28		
Junior Secondary	16	0	4	5	1	6		
Composite	10	0	6	3	0	1		
Senior Sec/Comp	18	0	5	3	7	3		
Government Secondary	43	0	15	13	6	9		
Government Assisted	38	0	22	7	5	4		
Private	36	3	16	10	2	5		

Table 2a. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School within Age Group

Type of school	Age group - years							
Type of school	Total	Under 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50 and over		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Junior Secondary	10	0	6	12	5	21		
Composite	6	0	9	7	0	4		
Senior Sec/Comp	11	0	7	7	33	11		
Government Secondary	27	0	22	32	29	32		
Government Assisted	24	0	32	17	24	14		
Private	22	100	24	24	10	18		



Type of school	Age group - years							
Type of school	Total	Under 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50 and over		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Total	100	2	42	25	13	17		
Junior Secondary	100	0	25	31	6	38		
Composite	100	0	60	30	0	10		
Senior Sec/Comp	100	0	28	17	39	17		
Government Secondary	100	0	35	30	14	21		
Government Assisted	100	0	58	18	13	11		
Private	100	8	44	28	6	14		

Table 2b. Percentage of Teachers by Age Group within Type of School

In terms of age distribution, the largest percentage (42%) of mathematics teachers was observed in the 20-29 age group, followed by one-quarter (25%) in the 30-39 age category (Table 2b). However, by type of school while a substantial majority of the teachers in the composite (60%), government-assisted (58%) and private schools (44%) was between 20-29 years of age, most of their counterparts in the senior secondary/comprehensive (39%) and junior secondary (38%) schools were between 40-49 years, and 50 years and over, respectively.

	Highest level of education								
Age group (years)	Total	O'levels	A'levels	First degree	Higher degree	Other*			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Total	161	5	53	86	6	11			
Under 20	3	Ο	3	Ο	0	Ο			
20-29	68	1	32	29	2	4			
30-39	41	1	7	26	1	6			
40-49	21	0	3	15	2	1			
50 and over	28	3	8	16	1	0			

Table 3. Number of Teachers by Age Group and Highest Level of Education

Table 3a. Percentage of Teachers by Age Group and Highest Level of Education

	Highest level of education							
Age group (years)	Total	O'levels	A'levels	First degree	Higher degree	Other*		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Total	100	3	33	53	4	7		
Under 20	100	0	100	0	0	0		
20-29	100	1	47	43	3	6		
30-39	100	2	17	63	2	15		
40-49	100	0	14	71	10	5		
50 and over	100	11	29	57	4	0		

* Diploma, Associate degree, Association of Certified Chartered Accountants

Table 3 shows the distribution of teachers by age group and highest level of education. In the 20-29 age group an even proportion of mathematics teachers reported A'levels (47%) and university degrees (46%) as their highest qualification. Thereafter, by comparison a significantly larger proportion of graduate teachers was observed in the subsequent age groups.



Source: Table 3

		Hi	ghest level	of educati	on	
Type of school	Total	O'levels	A'levels	First	Higher	Other*
				degree	degree	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Total	161	5	53	86	6	11
Junior Secondary	16	1	6	8	0	1
Composite	10	0	4	5	0	1
Senior Sec/Comp	18	0	2	13	2	1
Government Secondary	43	1	15	22	1	4
Government Assisted	38	0	6	29	2	1
Private	36	3	20	9	1	3

Table 4. Number of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Level of Education

Table 4a. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Level of Education

		Hi	ghest level	of educati	on	
Type of school	Total	O'levels	A'levels	First degree	Higher degree	Other*
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Total	100	3	33	53	4	7
Junior Secondary	100	6	38	50	0	6
Composite	100	0	40	50	0	10
Senior Sec/Comp	100	0	11	72	11	6
Government Secondary	100	2	35	51	2	9
Government Assisted	100	0	16	76	5	3
Private	100	8	56	25	3	8

* Diploma, Associate degree, Association of Certified Chartered Accountants

Over fifty percent (57%) of the mathematics teachers reported a university degree as their highest level of educational attainment while one-third (33%) possessed A'level qualifications. A further review of the data by type of school shows that the highest percentage of teachers with a first degree or above was in the senior secondary/comprehensive (83%) and government-assisted (81%) schools. In the private secondary schools, the majority (56%) of mathematics teachers held A'level qualifications only.



Source: Table 4

Table 5. Number of Teachers by Highest Level of Education and ProfessionalQualification

			Hig	ghest professio	onal qualifica	tion		
Highest level of education	Total	None	Teacher's diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Total	161	96	17	10	30	3	3	2
O'levels	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0
A'levels	53	38	6	7	0	1	0	1
First degree	86	42	9	2	29	2	1	1
Higher degree	6	3	0	0	1	0	2	0
Other*	11	11	Ο	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5a. Percentage of Teachers by Highest Level of Education and ProfessionalQualification

	Highest professional qualification										
Highest level of education	Total	None	Teacher's diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
Total	100	60	11	6	19	2	2	1			
O'levels	100	40	40	20	0	0	0	0			
A'levels	100	72	11	13	0	2	0	2			
First degree	100	49	10	2	34	2	1	1			
Higher degree	100	50	0	Ο	17	0	33	0			
Other*	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0			

* Diploma, Associate degree, Association of Certified Chartered Accountants

The table above shows that teachers with the highest level of education also reported a similar level of professional qualification. Fifty percent (50%) of the teachers with a first and higher degree who were engaged in teaching mathematics were professionally trained.



Source: Table 5

Table 6. Number of Teachers by Type of School and Highest Professional Qualification

			High	est profession	nal qualifica ⁻	tion		
Type of school	Total	None	Teacher's diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Total	161	96	17	10	30	3	3	2
Junior Secondary	16	7	5	1	2	1	0	0
Composite	10	7	0	0	3	0	0	0
Senior Sec/Comp	18	10	4	0	4	0	0	0
Government Secondary	43	28	3	2	8	2	0	0
Government Assisted	38	22	3	0	9	0	2	2
Private	36	22	2	7	4	0	1	0

Table 6a. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Highest ProfessionalQualification

			High	lest professior	nal qualifica ⁻	tion		
Type of school	Total	None	Teacher's diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Total	100	60	11	6	19	2	2	1
Junior Secondary	100	44	31	6	13	6	0	0
Composite	100	70	Ο	0	30	0	0	0
Senior Sec/Comp	100	56	22	0	22	0	0	0
Government Secondary	100	65	7	5	19	5	0	0
Government Assisted	100	58	8	0	24	0	5	5
Private	100	61	6	19	11	0	3	0

A significant percentage (60%) of the sample of teachers had no professional qualification. Approximately one-fifth (19%) possessed a diploma in education, followed by 11% with a teacher's diploma. The proportion of mathematics teachers with professional training ranged from 30% in the composite schools to 56% in the junior secondary schools.



Source: Table 6

Table 7. Number of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study andHighest Professional Qualification

			Highe	est professiona	l qualificatio	n		
Field of study	Total	None	Teacher training diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Total	92	45	9	2	30	2	3	1
Mathematics	45	19	4	2	19	0	1	0
Computer Science	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0
Natural Sciences	16	11	0	0	4	0	0	1
Agriculture	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Engineering	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
Social Sciences	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Education	6	0	0	0	2	2	2	0
Not stated	6	3	1	0	2	0	0	0

Table 7a. Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study within Highest ProfessionalQualification

			Highe	est professiona	l qualificatio	n		
Field of study	Total	None	Teacher training diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Mathematics	49	42	44	100	63	0	33	0
Computer Science	7	11	0	0	3	0	0	0
Natural Sciences	17	24	0	0	13	0	0	100
Agriculture	2	0	11	0	3	0	0	0
Engineering	9	16	11	0	0	0	0	0
Social Sciences	3	0	22	0	3	0	0	0
Education	7	0	0	0	7	100	67	0
Not stated	7	7	11	0	7	0	0	0

Half (49%) of the graduate teachers majored in mathematics, followed by natural sciences (17%) and engineering (9%) (Table 7a). Of the teachers who majored in mathematics, 57% reported professional qualification mainly at the diploma in education level (Table 7b). In addition, the data reveal that of the sample of 161 teachers (Table 1), engaged in teaching mathematics, 45 teachers or 28% (Table 7) graduated with a degree in the subject and only 26 teachers or 16% majored in mathematics and also obtained professional training.



Source: Table 7

Table 7b. Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Highest ProfessionalQualification within Field of Study

			Highes	t professiona	l qualificatio	n		
Field of study	Total	None	Teacher training diploma	Certificate in education	Diploma in education	B.Ed.	M.Ed./ Ph.D.	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Total	100	49	10	2	33	2	3	1
Mathematics	100	42	9	4	42	0	2	0
Computer Science	100	83	0	0	17	0	0	0
Natural Sciences	100	69	0	0	25	0	0	6
Agriculture	100	0	50	0	50	0	0	0
Engineering	100	88	13	0	0	0	0	0
Social Sciences	100	0	67	0	33	0	0	0
Education	100	0	0	0	33	33	33	0
Not stated	100	50	17	0	33	0	0	0



Table 8. Number of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study andType of School

		Type of school									
Field of study	Total	Junior Sec	Composite	Senior Sec/Comp	Government Secondary	Government Assisted	Private				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)				
Total	92	8	5	15	23	31	10				
Mathematics	45	2	4	7	13	15	4				
Computer Science	6	0	1	0	2	3	0				
Natural Sciences	16	2	0	5	2	6	1				
Agriculture	2	0	0	0	0	0	2				
Engineering	8	1	0	1	4	1	1				
Social Sciences	3	1	0	1	0	0	1				
Education	6	0	0	1	2	2	1				
Not stated	6	2	0	0	0	4	0				

Table 8a. Percentage of Graduate Teachers by Field of Study and Type of School

	Type of school									
Field of study	Total	Junior Sec	Composite	Senior Sec/Comp	Government Secondary	Government Assisted	Private			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
Total	100	9	5	16	25	34	11			
Mathematics	100	4	9	16	29	33	9			
Computer Science	100	0	17	0	33	50	0			
Natural Sciences	100	13	0	31	13	38	6			
Agriculture	100	0	0	0	0	0	100			
Engineering	100	13	0	13	50	13	13			
Social Sciences	100	33	0	33	0	0	33			
Education	100	0	0	17	33	33	17			
Not stated	100	33	0	0	0	67	0			

The larger proportion of the teachers with a degree in mathematics was observed in governmentassisted (33%) and government secondary schools (29%); a similar trend is shown for computer science.

Type of school			Years of servic	e	
Type of school	Total	0-4	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 and over
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Total	100	25	0.4	10	20
Iotai	100	35	24	10	30
Junior Secondary	100	13	31	0	50
Composite	100	70	10	10	10
Senior Sec/Comp	100	17	28	6	50
Government Secondary	100	26	30	12	33
Government Assisted	100	39	24	13	24
Private	100	53	17	11	19

Table 9. Percentage of Teachers by Type of School and Years of Service



The largest proportion (35%) of the sample of mathematics teachers reported service of 0-4 years while 30% indicated teaching experience of 15 years and over. A review of the data by type of school reveals that 56% of junior secondary and 50% of senior secondary/comprehensive school teachers had 15 and over years of service.

Age group (years)	Teaching as a first choice career - percentage		
	Total	Yes	No
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Total	100	60	40
Under 20	100	67	33
20-29	100	65	35
30-39	100	41	59
40-49	100	57	43
50 and over	100	79	21

Table 10. Teaching as a First Choice Career by Age Group



Overall, the majority of the mathematics teachers (60%) in secondary schools stated that teaching was their first choice as a career. This pattern was also reflected in the different age cohorts except the 30-39 age category where over fifty percent (59%) responded in the negative to teaching as a first choice career.

Teaching as a	Change to another career - percentage			
first choice career	Total	Yes	No	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Total	100	19	79	2
Yes	100	12	87	1
No	100	30	67	3

Table 11. Teaching as a First Choice by Opportunity to Change to Another Career



Over three-quarters (79%) of the teachers indicated that they were not desirous of changing to another career. The data also show that two-thirds (67%) who did not report teaching as their first choice of career were similarly disposed.

Type of school	Attended - percentage		
Type of school	Total	Yes	No
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Total	100	32	68
Junior Secondary	100	50	50
Composite	100	50	50
Senior Sec/Comp	100	39	61
Government Secondary	100	30	70
Government Assisted	100	29	71
Private	100	22	78

Table 12. Attendance at Curriculum Workshops in Mathematics by Type of School

Table 12a. Attendance at Assessment Workshops in Mathematics by Type of School

Type of school	Attended - percentage		
Type of school	Total	Yes	No
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Total	100	13	87
Junior Secondary	100	31	69
Composite	100	10	90
Senior Sec/Comp	100	11	89
Government Secondary	100	16	84
Government Assisted	100	13	87
Private	100	3	97

Table 12b. Attendance at Teaching Methods Workshops in Mathematics by Type of School

Type of school	Attended - percentage		
Type of school	Total	Yes	No
		(2)	(3)
Total	100	40	60
Junior Secondary	100	31	69
Composite	100	30	70
Senior Sec/Comp	100	44	56
Government Secondary	100	49	51
Government Assisted	100	42	58
Private	100	31	69

Table 12 shows, that of the sample of mathematics teachers, only one-third (32%) attended curriculum workshops in mathematics and a considerably smaller percentage (13%) was exposed to workshops in assessment (Table 12a). By comparison, attendance at workshops in teaching methods (40%) showed some improvement (Table 12b).



Source: Table 12
	Frequency of mathematics homework						
Type of school	Total	Every day	Once or twice a week	Sometimes			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
	Percentage						
Total	100	71	25	3			
Junior Secondary	100	75	19	6			
Composite	100	70	20	10			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	78	22	0			
Government Secondary	100	77	21	2			
Government Assisted	100	71	29	0			
Private	100	61	33	6			

Table 13. Assignment of Mathematics Homework by Type of School



A substantial percentage (71%) of teachers, especially in the state and state-assisted schools, assigned mathematics homework 'everyday' and a quarter (25%) did so 'once or twice a week'.

Eroquency of homowork	Duration of mathematics homework								
assignment	ignment Total 15-29 min		30-59 minutes	60 minutes and above	Not stated				
	(1)	(2)	(2) (3)		(5)				
Total	100	45	49	6	1				
Every day	100	45	49	6	0				
Once or twice a week	100	46	49	2	2				
Sometimes	100	20	60	20	0				

Table 14. Frequency of Homework Assignment by Time



The table shows that the majority of teachers assigned mathematics homework of duration 30-59 minutes (49%) and 15-29 minutes (45%). When analysed by the frequency of 'everyday' and 'once a week' homework assignment, the data depict a similar pattern. When homework was assigned 'sometimes' three-fifths (60%) of such assignments were of duration 30 -59 minutes and one-fifth (20%), 60 minutes and over.

Type of school	Use textbook to teach mathematics - percentage						
Type of school	Total	Yes	No	Not stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Total	100	93	7	1			
Junior Secondary	100	100	Ο	0			
Composite	100	90	10	0			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	94	6	0			
Government Secondary	100	91	7	2			
Government Assisted	100	95	5	0			
Private	100	89	11	0			

Table 15. Use Textbook to Teach Mathematics by Type of School



In general, a significant percentage (93%) of the teachers used textbooks to teach mathematics. All the junior secondary school teachers used textbooks.

	How textbook used - percentage						
Type of school	Total	As the primary basis	As a supplementary resource				
	(1)	(2)	(3)				
Total	100	34	66				
Junior Secondary	100	31	69				
Composite	100	11	89				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	41	59				
Government Secondary	100	33	67				
Government Assisted	100	33	67				
Private	100	38	63				

Table 16. How Textbook Used by Type of School



Two-thirds (66%) of the teachers used textbooks as a supplementary resource compared to onethird (34%) as the primary basis for their lessons. Eighty-nine percent (89%) of the composite school teachers stated that textbooks were used as a supplementary resource.

Mathematics textbook		Adequate - percentage				
Mathematics textbook	Total	Yes	No	Not stated		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
STP Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1-Book3 - Layne et.al	100	54	42	4		
Success in Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1-Book3 - Foster et.al	100	22	78	0		
Mathematics for Caribbean Schools Series Book1-Book4 - Foster & Tomlinson	100	69	31	ο		
New Secondary Mathematics for Caribbean Schools Book3 - Seng & Keong	100	89	11	Ο		
Mathematics A Complete Course with CXC Questions - R. Toolsie	100	54	46	Ο		
Oxford Mathematics for the Caribbean for CXC Book4 - Goldberg & King	100	83	17	Ο		
Certificate Mathematics A Revision Course for the Caribbean - Greer & Layne	100	43	43	14		

Table 17. Adequacy of Mathematics Textbooks

The table above presents a summary of the popular mathematics textbooks used by the sample of secondary schools teachers. A substantial proportion (42%) of teachers stated that STP Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1 - Book3, the most popular texts, were inadequate for teaching mathematics. The highest percentage (78%) of inadequacy was recorded by the teachers who used the Success in Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1 - Book3. However, a significant percentage of the teachers who used the New Secondary Mathematics for Caribbean Schools Book3 (89%) and the Oxford Mathematics for the Caribbean for CXC Book4 (83%) stated that these texts were adequate for teaching mathematics.

	Percentage of teachers						
Type of school	Total	Very familiar	Fairly familiar	Not familiar	Not stated		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Total	100	35	45	17	3		
Junior Secondary	100	69	25	6	0		
Composite	100	0	70	30	0		
Senior Sec/Comp	100	33	39	28	0		
Government Secondary	100	28	58	12	2		
Government Assisted	100	34	42	18	5		
Private	100	39	36	19	6		

Table 18. Familiarity with National Curriculum by Type of School

A relatively large percentage (45%) of the teachers who participated in the survey indicated that they were 'fairly familiar' with the national curriculum while one-third (35%) was 'very familiar'. A review of the data by type of school shows that the junior secondary school teachers were mostly familiar with the national curriculum as two-thirds (69%) were 'very familiar' and a quarter (25%) 'fairly familiar'.

		Percentage of teachers							
Type of school	Total	Very familiar	Fairly familiar	Not familiar	Not stated				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
Total	100	81	17	1	1				
Junior Secondary	100	69	25	6	0				
Composite	100	60	40	0	0				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	94	6	0	0				
Government Secondary	100	91	9	0	0				
Government Assisted	100	82	16	0	3				
Private	100	72	25	3	0				

Table 19. Familiarity with C.S.E.C. Syllabus by Type of School



Familiarity with the C.S.E.C. syllabus was quite high amongst the mathematics teachers in the various types of state and private secondary schools. Four-fifths (81%) of the sample of teachers stated that they were 'very familiar' and 17% were 'fairly familiar' with the C.S.E.C. syllabus.

No. of mathematics periods/week	Percentage of teachers
Total	100
10141	100
3 periods	6
4 periods	16
5 periods	44
6 periods	20
Over 6 periods	14



The majority (44%) of teachers taught mathematics for five periods in a week.

Activity		Time spent						
		None	<1 hour	1-2 hours	2-4 hours	>4 hours	Not stated	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
			F	Percenta	age			
1 Preparing or grading student tests or exams	100	1	16	48	25	8	2	
2 Meeting with students outside of classroom time	100	6	55	25	9	3	1	
3 Planning lessons by yourself	100	1	22	44	22	11	1	
4 Professional reading and development	100	11	31	34	17	6	1	
5 Administrative tasks including staff meeting	100	12	46	27	7	4	2	
6 Meeting with parents	100	22	57	17	2	1	2	

Table 21. Time Spent on Teaching Activities Outside the Formal School Day



The table shows that, of the above activities, teachers devoted more time to 'planning lessons by yourself' and 'preparing or grading student tests or exams'. Least time was spent 'meeting with parents'. Over one-half (55%) of the sample surveyed spent less than one hour 'meeting with students outside of classroom time'. Approximately one-third (34%) of the teachers spent 1-2 hours and less than one hour (31%) on 'professional reading and development'; 11% spent no time.

	Frequency of meetings							
Type of school	Total	Once a	Once a	Once a	Once a	Novor	Not	
	Total	week	month	term	year	INEVEL	stated	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
				_				
Total	100	35	38	22	1	3	1	
Junior Secondary	100	38	38	25	0	0	0	
Composite	100	10	60	30	0	0	0	
Senior Sec/Comp	100	6	50	44	0	0	0	
Government Secondary	100	37	33	28	0	0	2	
Government Assisted	100	53	37	8	0	3	0	
Private	100	33	33	17	3	11	3	

Table 22. Meetings with other Teachers by Type of School



Over one-third of the sample of secondary school mathematics teachers attended monthly (38%) and weekly (35%) meetings with other teachers in their subject area to discuss and plan curriculum or teaching approaches. A further review of the data by type of school indicates that teachers in government-assisted schools attended meetings more frequently than their counterparts in the other types of school; 53% and 37% attended weekly and monthly meetings respectively.

Table 23. Influence on Aspects of Teaching

Aspest	Influence							
Aspect	Total	A lot	Some	None	Not stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
	Percentage							
Subject matter to be taught	100	51	46	2	1			
Specific textbooks to be used	100	22	60	17	1			
Materials and supplies	100	23	59	16	2			



The data reveal that the mathematics teachers surveyed had 'a lot' (51%) and 'some' (46%) influence on the subject matter to be taught. However, by comparison, teachers' influence declined on the acquisition of specific textbooks, materials and supplies.

Tuble 24. Mullematics Club by Type of School
--

Type of school	School with mathematics club						
Type of school	Total	Yes	No	Not stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
		Perce	ntage	_			
Total	100	7	92	1			
Junior Secondary	100	0	100	0			
Composite	100	0	100	0			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	6	94	0			
Government Secondary	100	5	95	0			
Government Assisted	100	24	74	3			
Private	100	0	100	0			



Over ninety percent (92%) of the teachers reported that their schools had no mathematics club. By type of school, the state-assisted institutions were identified with the highest percentage (24%) of mathematics clubs.

	Use of calculators - percentage								
Type of school	Total	Unrestricted use	Restricted use	Calculators not permitted	Not stated				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
	Percentage								
Total	100	20	53	25	1				
Junior Secondary	100	0	50	50	0				
Composite	100	0	90	10	0				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	22	56	22	0				
Government Secondary	100	28	49	21	2				
Government Assisted	100	18	58	24	0				
Private	100	28	44	28	0				

Table 25. Use of Calculators by Type of School



One-half of the teachers (53%) reported 'restricted' use of calculators by students while one-quarter (25%) stated 'calculators not permitted'.

Type of school		Computers for use in mathematics							
	Total	Yes	No	Not stated					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
		Percentage							
Total	100	32	66	1					
Junior Secondary	100	6	94	0					
Composite	100	20	80	0					
Senior Sec/Comp	100	39	61	0					
Government Secondary	100	35	60	5					
Government Assisted	100	42	58	0					
Private	100	31	69	0					

Table 26. Computers Available for Use in Mathematics by Type of School



Two-thirds (66%) of the teachers indicated that computers were not available for use in mathematics by students. The data also show that the highest percentage of computers available for use in mathematics was recorded in government-assisted (42%) and senior secondary/comprehensive schools (39%). Less than ten percent (6%) of the junior secondary school teachers indicated that there were computers available for use.

Type of school	Access to internet						
Type of school	Total	Yes	No	Not stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
		Perce	ntage	_			
Total	100	46	52	2			
Junior Secondary	100	100	0	0			
Composite	100	50	50	0			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	14	86	0			
Government Secondary	100	33	67	0			
Government Assisted	100	63	38	0			
Private	100	55	36	9			

Table 27. Access to Internet for Mathematics by Type of School



Of the percentage of computers available for use, 46% had access to the internet for mathematics. Fifty percent and more of the sample of teachers in most schools indicated that students had access to the internet.

Type of school	Use internet as a teaching resource							
Type of School	Total	A great deal	Quite a lot	A little	Not at all			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
			Percentage					
Total	100	2	10	38	50			
Junior Secondary	100	0	100	0	0			
Composite	100	0	0	50	50			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	0	29	0	71			
Government Secondary	100	7	0	40	53			
Government Assisted	100	0	13	50	38			
Private	100	0	0	45	55			

Table 28. Use the Internet as a Teaching Resource by Type of School

One-half (50%) of the secondary school mathematics teachers who participated in the survey indicated that they did not use the internet as a teaching resource while 38% used it 'a little'.

		-					
]	Frequency	of activity		
	Activity	Total	Every	Most	Some	Novor	Not
		Total	lesson	lessons	lessons	Never	stated
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
				Percentag	e		
1	Represent and analyse relationships using tables, charts, or graphs	100	1	14	75	9	1
2	Work on problems for which there is no immediately obvious method of solution	100	4	11	61	22	1
3	Write equations to represent relationships	100	5	28	60	6	2
4	Practice computational skills	100	36	52	11	1	1

Table 29. Performance of Mathematical Activities



The data reveal that the most frequently performed mathematical activity was the practice of computational skills at 'every lesson' (36%) and 'most lessons' (52%). One-fifth (22%) of the teachers indicated that the students in their mathematics class never worked on problems for which there was no immediately obvious method of solution.

			Table 30	· The sp	ent by St	ibject Area	L			
Subject area										
Teaching time spent	Algebra	Compu- tation	Consumer arithmetic	Statistics	Number theory	Geometry	Measure- ment	Relations, functions and graphs	Sets	Trigo- nom- etry
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Percentage										
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<10%	7	17	33	50	37	25	37	35	45	52
10-19 %	24	38	35	25	32	40	35	32	27	19
20-29 %	28	14	5	1	6	9	2	7	4	5
Over 30 %	17	7	2	1	2	2	1	2	0	1
Not stated	23	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24

The table shows that the highest percentage of teaching time spent was recorded for algebra, in which 28% and 17% of the teachers spent between 20-29% and over 30% of their teaching time respectively. A half of the teachers devoted less than 10% of their teaching time to trigonometry (52%) and statistics (50%).

Cubicat area	Form						
Subject area	Total	Form 1	Form 2	Form 3	Form 4		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
			Percentage	e			
Total	100	100	100	100	100		
Algebra	53	59	75	43	33		
Computation	1	3	0	3	0		
Consumer Arithmetic	1	0	2	3	0		
Statistics	2	0	0	3	7		
Number Theory	2	5	5	0	0		
Geometry	11	8	2	16	19		
Measurement	1	3	0	0	2		
Relations, Functions and Graphs	7	8	5	11	7		
Sets	2	3	2	0	2		
Trigonometry	11	0	0	19	26		
Not stated	7	11	9	3	5		

Table 31. Most Difficult Subject Area for Students to Conceptualise by Form

The majority of teachers (53%) identified algebra as the most difficult area of the mathematics syllabus for students to conceptualise, followed by geometry (11%) and trigonometry (11%). The data reveal that the lower Forms 1 and 2, encountered more difficulty with algebra. A relatively large percentage of Form 4 (26%) and Form 3 (19%) teachers reported difficulty with trigonometry and similarly, geometry.

Table 32. How Students Work

			F	Frequency		
	Students work	Total	Every	Some	Novor	Not
		Total	lesson	lessons	Never	stated
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			F	Percentage	_	
1	Individually without assistance from the teacher	100	19	70	9	1
2	Individually with assistance from the teacher	100	39	61	0	0
3	Together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole class	100	41	57	1	1
4	Together as a class with responding to one another	100	14	77	9	0
5	In pairs or small groups	100	8	79	12	1



Approximately two-fifths of the teachers in each case indicated that students worked 'individually with assistance from the teacher' (39%) and 'together as a class with the teacher teaching the whole class' (41%) at 'every lesson', a continuation of the traditional method of teaching.

Table 33. Issues that Limit Teaching Mathema	ing Mathematics
--	-----------------

		Affect							
	Issue	Total	A great deal	Quite a lot	A little	Not at all	Not stated		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
				Perce	entage				
1	Students who come from a wide range	100	18	27	40	14	Ο		
	of backgrounds								
2	Disruptive students	100	24	23	45	8	0		
3	Parents interested in their children's	100	14	30	28	26	2		
	learning and progress								
4	Shortage of other instructional	100	11	23	42	22	1		
	equipment for students' use								
5	High student/teacher ratio	100	17	20	35	27	1		
6	Inadequate physical facilities	100	17	19	34	28	2		
7	Threats to personal safety or the safety	100	6	7	25	59	2		
	of students								
8	Student absenteeism	100	17	25	44	14	1		



The table represents the sample of mathematics teachers' responses to issues that limited their teaching of the subject. The data reveal a substantial percentage of teachers reported 'a great deal' and 'quite a lot' for 'disruptive students' (47%), 'students who come from a wide range of backgrounds' (45%) 'parents interested in their children's learning and progress' (44%) and 'student absenteeism' (42%). A significant proportion (84%) of the teachers stated that threats to personal safety or the safety of students had little or no effect on the teaching of mathematics.

Table 34. Identifying Difficulties in Teaching Areas

Tooching area			Dif	ficulty		
	Total	A lot	Some	A little	None	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			Per	centage		
Planning the lesson	100	0	11	27	62	0
Teaching strategies	100	1	16	39	45	0
Using audio-visual	100	20	21	19	33	7
Classroom management	100	1	13	40	47	0
Diagnosis and remediation	100	3	16	45	33	2
Assessment strategies	100	0	9	30	61	0



The data show that one-fifth (20%) of the sample of teachers experienced 'a lot' of difficulties using audio-visual equipment. The majority of teachers encountered 'a little' or no difficulty in the other teaching areas. Approximately three-fifths of the mathematics teachers reported no difficulty in planning lessons (62%) and assessment strategies (61%).

Table 35.	Indicators	for Being	Good a	t Mathematics

				Agree	/Disagree		
	Indicator	Total	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not stated
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			_	Per	centage		
1	Remember formulas and procedures	100	40	51	8	1	1
2	Think in sequential and procedural manner	100	70	27	2	0	1
3	Understand mathematical concepts, principles and strategies	100	80	20	0	0	0
4	Be able to think creatively	100	60	37	3	0	0
5	Understand how mathematics is used in the real world	100	59	39	1	1	0
6	Be able to provide reasons to support their solutions	100	61	36	2	0	0



The survey results reflect a significant level of agreement amongst the sample of mathematics teachers on all of the above qualitative indicators on students ability for being 'good' at mathematics.

	Statement	Total	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not stated
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
				Percentage	e		
1	If students are having difficulty, an effective approach is to give them more practice by themselves during class.	100	18	43	30	7	1
2	Some students have a natural talent for maths and others do not.	100	17	61	18	4	0
3	More than one representation (picture, concrete material, symbol set, etc.) should be used in teaching mathematics.	100	50	47	2	0	1
4	An understanding of students is essential to teaching mathematics.	100	52	45	2	1	1

Table 36. Agreement with Statements on Mathematics Education



Almost all mathematics teachers that participated in the survey agreed with the statements: 'more than one representation (picture, concrete material, symbol set, etc.) should be used in teaching mathematics' (97%) and 'an understanding of students is essential to teaching mathematics' (97%). There was also significant agreement with 'some students have a natural talent for mathematics and others do not' (78%). Thirty-seven percent (37%) disagreed with the statement 'if students are having difficulty, an effective approach is to give them more practice by themselves during class'.

Table 37.	Assessment	of Stude	ents' Woi	rk
------------------	------------	----------	-----------	----

	Weighting								
Type of assessment	Total	A great	Quite a	A little	Nono	Not			
	Total	deal	lot	Anthe	None	stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
	Percentage								
Homework assignments	100	35	43	21	1	1			
Responses of students in class	100	34	45	19	2	1			
Standardised tests	100	22	52	20	4	1			
Teacher-made tests	100	41	56	3	0	0			
Projects	100	16	32	42	9	1			



The table shows the weight given to the different types of assessment of students' work. An examination of the data indicates that most weight was allocated to teacher-made tests 'a great deal' (41%) and 'quite a lot' (56%). Projects, which received 'a little' weight by two-fifths (42%) of the teachers, was the least significant method of assessment.

Table 38. Extra Lessons by Type of School

Type of school	Give extra lessons						
Type of school	Total	Yes	No	Not stated			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
		Pere	centage	_			
Total	100	27	67	6			
Junior Secondary	100	19	69	13			
Composite	100	10	90	0			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	22	72	6			
Government Secondary	100	26	67	7			
Government Assisted	100	26	66	8			
Private	100	42	58	0			



Approximately one-quarter (27%) of the sample of mathematics teachers indicated that they gave extra lessons compared with two-thirds (67%) who did not. Forty-two percent (42%) of the private secondary school teachers provided extra lessons.

Students

There of each cal	Form								
Type of school	Total	1	2	3	4				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
Total	4013	884	1074	1076	979				
Junior Secondary	377	82	152	143	0				
Composite	245	48	75	40	82				
Senior Sec/Comp	415	81	96	79	159				
Government Secondary	1045	309	261	263	212				
Government Assisted	1281	289	321	400	271				
Private	650	75	169	151	255				

Table 39. Number of Students by Type of School and Form

Table 39a. Percentage of Students by Form within Type of School

Type of school	Form							
Type of school	Total	1	2	3	4			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Total	100	22	27	27	24			
Junior Secondary	100	22	40	38	0			
Composite	100	20	31	16	33			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	20	23	19	38			
Government Secondary	100	30	25	25	20			
Government Assisted	100	23	25	31	21			
Private	100	12	26	23	39			

Table 39b. Percentage of Students by Type of School within Form

Type of school		Form								
Type of school	Total	1	2	3	4					
		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)					
Total	100	100	100	100	100					
Junior Secondary	9	9	14	13	0					
Composite	6	5	7	4	8					
Senior Sec/Comp	10	9	9	7	16					
Government Secondary	26	35	24	24	22					
Government Assisted	32	33	30	37	28					
Private	16	8	16	14	26					

The table above shows the sample of students who participated in the study by type of secondary school and form. In aggregate terms the data indicate that the distribution of the students was fairly even, ranging from 22% in Form 1 to 27% in Forms 2 or 3 (Table 39a). By type of school, however, the sample represents a substantial percentage of the students from government-assisted schools (32%) and government secondary schools (26%), due to the comparatively larger number of these schools and student population (Table 39b).





Source: Table 39

Type of school		Frequency of mathematics periods/week								
Type of school	Total	< 4	4	5	6	Over 6				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
Total	100	5	20	50	21	5				
Junior Secondary	100	0	82	18	0	0				
Composite	100	Ο	9	69	10	12				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	Ο	13	63	19	5				
Government Secondary	100	2	6	49	35	9				
Government Assisted	100	4	15	57	21	2				
Private	100	19	24	38	12	6				

Table 40. Percentage of Mathematics Periods/Week by Type of School



The majority (50%) of students surveyed, as in the case of the teachers, reported five mathematics periods each week. By type of school the data reflect a similar trend except in the junior secondary schools where four-fifths (82%) of the students stated four periods of mathematics per week.

			Free	uency		
Activity	Total	Always	Pretty	Once in a while	Never	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			Perc	entage		
1 The teacher shows us how to do maths problems	100	77	16	5	1	0
2 We copy notes from the board	100	37	34	25	3	0
3 We have a quiz or test	100	14	37	45	4	1
4 We work from worksheets or texbooks on our own	100	26	31	30	11	1
5 We use calculators	100	15	17	22	45	0
6 We use computers	100	1	3	6	89	1
7 We relate maths to everyday problems	100	27	23	31	16	2
8 We work in groups	100	5	13	42	39	1
9 We discuss our completed homework	100	55	24	14	5	1
10 Students use the board	100	22	36	34	8	1

T able 41. Frequency of Activities in Mathematics Class



According to the students, of the activities listed in the table above, 'the teacher shows us how to do maths problems' (93%) and 'we discuss our completed homework' (79%) occurred most frequently in their mathematics class. One-half (50%) related mathematics to everyday problems 'always' and 'pretty often'. Approximately ninety percent (89%) of the students never used computers and similarly calculators (45%) and work in groups (39%). Working in groups occassionally was reported by 42% of the participants.

		Adequacy of mathematics textbook				
Mathematics textbook	Total	Adequate	Inadequate	Not stated		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	Percentage					
STP Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1 - Book4 - Layne	100	77	21	2		
et.al						
Mathematics for Caribbean Schools Series Book1 - Book4 -	100	59	37	4		
Foster & Tomlinson						
Success in Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1 - Book3 -	100	61	35	4		
Foster et.al						
New Secondary Mathematics for Caribbean Schools Book3 -	100	31	68	1		
Seng & Keong						
Mathematics A Complete Course with CXC Questions - R.	100	81	17	2		
Toolsie						
Oxford Mathematics for the Caribbean for CXC Book4 -	100	65	34	1		
Goldberg & King						
Certificate Mathematics A Revision Course for the Caribbean -	100	85	14	1		
Greer & Layne						
CXC Mathematics for the 21st Century- Raghunandan	100	65	33	2		

Table 42. Adequacy of Mathematics Textbooks

A larger proportion (77%) of the sample of students stated that the STP Maths for the Caribbean Series Book1-Book4 - Layne et.al was adequate compared to the teachers (54%) (Table 17). Similarly, the percentage of students (85%) who stated that Certificate Mathematics A Revision Course for the Caribbean - Greer & Layne was adequate, was twice the percentage of the teachers (43%). The highest percentage (68%) of inadequacy was recorded by students who used the New Secondary Mathematics for Caribbean Schools Book3 - Seng & Keong, in comparison to 89% of the teachers who reported this text to be adequate.

Table 43. Bring Textbook by Type of School

	Bring textbook to school					
Type of school	Total	Every time	Sometimes	Seldom	Never	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		-	Percent	tage		-
Total	100	47	33	8	11	1
Junior Secondary	100	27	45	6	20	1
Composite	100	24	42	10	24	0
Senior Sec/Comp	100	44	41	8	7	0
Government Secondary	100	41	38	10	11	0
Government Assisted	100	62	23	6	6	3
Private	100	44	31	9	15	0



The data reveal that the majority (47%) of the students brought their textbooks to school 'every time' while one-third (33%) stated 'sometimes'. By type of school, the largest percentage (62%) of students who brought their mathematics text 'every time' was recorded in the government-assisted secondary schools.

Type of school	Teacher give mathematics homework					
Type of school	Total	Every time	Sometimes	Seldom	Never	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
			Percentage			
Total	100	58	38	3	Ο	
Junior Secondary	100	53	43	3	0	
Composite	100	53	44	3	0	
Senior Sec/Comp	100	56	41	3	1	
Government Secondary	100	51	44	3	1	
Government Assisted	100	67	31	1	0	
Private	100	56	37	6	1	





Over one-half (58%) of the students surveyed indicated that teachers assigned mathematics homework 'every time'. The highest percentage (67%) of students who reported homework 'every time' was observed in the government-assisted schools.

Table 45. Frequency of doing Mathematics Homework

Type of school	Do mathematics homework						
Type of school	Total	Every time	Sometimes	Seldom	Never	Not stated	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
		-	Percer	ntage	-		
Total	100	58	37	3	1	1	
Junior Secondary	100	53	42	2	1	2	
Composite	100	48	48	3	0	1	
Senior Sec/Comp	100	52	42	2	2	1	
Government Secondary	100	58	37	3	0	2	
Government Assisted	100	62	34	2	1	1	
Private	100	58	36	3	1	2	



The response data reveal that most students did their homework 'every time' (58%) and 'sometimes' (37%). When analysed by type of school the data depict a similar pattern of responses.

	Type of school								
Reason	Total	Junior Secondary	Composite	Senior Sec/Comp	Government Secondary	Government Assisted	Private		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
		Percentage							
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Too difficult	44	51	44	59	42	39	45		
Do not have time	21	23	18	15	23	20	21		
Do not feel like doing it	20	21	21	15	18	22	22		
Forget to do it	6	2	7	3	8	8	4		
Teacher does not correct it	6	2	5	4	6	9	4		
Other	4	1	5	4	3	2	4		

Table 46. Reasons for not doing Homework by Type of School



The table above shows the reasons given by students for not doing their homework every time. The largest proportion (44%) of the students, especially in the senior secondary/comprehensive schools (59%), indicated that the homework was 'too difficult'. One-fifth in each case did not have time (21%) and did not feel like doing it (20%). Similarly, by form 'too difficult' was observed as the main reason for not doing homework (Table 46a).
Table 46a. Reasons for not doing Homework by Form

	Form							
Reason	Total	1	2	3	4			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
			Percentage					
Total	100	100	100	100	100			
Too difficult	44	45	38	49	43			
Do not have time	21	18	20	20	22			
Do not feel like doing it	20	16	24	16	22			
Forget to do it	6	8	5	7	5			
Teacher does not correct it	6	9	6	5	6			
Other	4	3	6	1	1			



Type of school	Time taken									
Type of school	Total	<15 mins	15-29 mins	30-59 mins	60 mins and more	Not stated				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
			Perc	entage						
Total	100	14	37	30	15	5				
Junior Secondary	100	19	38	21	17	5				
Composite	100	9	40	28	15	7				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	10	28	35	24	3				
Government Secondary	100	17	34	31	14	5				
Government Assisted	100	13	41	31	11	3				
Private	100	14	34	28	16	8				



Table 47 shows the time taken by students to do their homework. A relatively large percentage (37%) of students stated '15-29 minutes' and 30% took '30-59 minutes'. The senior secondary/comprehensive school students reported the most time taken to do homework with 35% taking between '30-59 minutes' and 24 % '60 minutes and more'.

Table 47a. Time Taken to do Homework by Form										
Time taken										
POLIII	Total	<15 mins	15-29 mins	30-59 mins	60 mins and more	Not stated				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
		_	Perc	entage						
Total	100	14	37	30	15	5				
Form 1	100	18	39	28	11	4				
Form 2	100	16	41	25	13	5				
Form 3	100	100 14 35 32 14 5								
Form 4	100	10	31	34	20	5				



A review of the time taken to do homework by form shows that students of the higher forms took more time to do their homework when compared to their counterparts of the lower forms. One-third (34%) of the Form 4 students reported '30-59 minutes' and one-fifth (20%) stated '60 minutes and more'.

Table 48. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Type of School

		Freque	ency of exti	a lessons or t	utoring	
Type of school	Type of school Total Every day		Once or twice a week	Sometimes	Never	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		-	Per	centage	-	-
Total	100	3	22	13	61	1
Junior Secondary	100	2	13	15	69	1
Composite	100	4	22	22	52	0
Senior Sec/Comp	100	3	19	14	65	0
Government Secondary	100	4	22	13	60	1
Government Assisted	100	1	27	10	60	0
Private	100	2	21	14	63	0



Three-fifths (61%) of the total sample of secondary school students did not take extra lessons or tutoring. This trend was reflected in all type of schools except the composite schools where approximately one-half (48%) of the students received extra lessons or tutoring.

Table 48a. Extra Lessons or Tutoring by Form										
Frequency of extra lessons or tutoring										
FOITH	Total	Every day	Once or twice a week	Sometimes	Never	Not stated				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
			Percentage							
Total	100	3	22	13	61	1				
Form 1	100	2	13	11	73	1				
Form 2	100	3	13	14	69	1				
Form 3	100	3	25	14	58	0				
Form 4	100	2	37	14	46	0				



Further examination of the data by form indicates that students in the higher forms accessed extra lessons or tutoring more frequently than their counterparts in the lower forms. A substantial proportion (37%) of the Form 4 students received extra lessons or tutoring 'once or twice a week'.

			Reaso	n for extra	lesson		
Type of school	Total	To do	To learn	To stay	Parents	Othor	Not
	Total	better	more	focus	sent me	Other	stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
			Perce	entage			_
Total	100	42	31	2	2	1	21
Junior Secondary	100	56	19	3	1	1	21
Composite	100	33	32	1	0	2	32
Senior Sec/Comp	100	42	41	1	2	0	14
Government Secondary	100	37	27	2	1	0	32
Government Assisted	100	44	36	3	3	1	12
Private	100	45	28	2	4	0	21

Table 49. Reasons for Taking Extra Lesson by Type of School

Forty-two percent (42%) of the students attended extra lessons 'to do better' and approximately one-third (31%) 'to learn more'.

			Seeking	; help with	homework		
Type of school	Total	Parent/ guardian	Teacher	Lessons teacher	Classmate/ friend	Other family member	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Percenta	ge		
Total	100	33	19	6	22	19	1
Junior Secondary	100	44	17	4	16	20	0
Composite	100	30	15	7	29	16	2
Senior Sec/Comp	100	32	19	7	16	25	1
Government Secondary	100	35	17	6	20	20	1
Government Assisted	100	33	16	6	27	16	1
Private	100	26	28	4	21	19	2

Table 50. Seeking Help with Mathematics Homework by Type of School



One-third (33%) of the sample of students sought help with their homework from their parents or guardians and one-fifth (22%) from classmates or friends.

Statement	Total	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			Per	centage		
1 I usually do well in mathematics	100	21	51	24	4	0
2 I like mathematics	100	40	41	13	6	1
3 Sometimes, when I don't initially understand a new topic in mathematics, I know that I will never really understand it	100	6	14	40	40	1
4 I would like mathematics much more if it were not so difficult	100	34	28	24	14	1
5 I think learning mathematics will help me in my daily life	100	68	26	3	2	1
6 I would like to take more mathematics in school	100	30	39	22	7	1
7 I need mathematics to learn other school subjects	100	41	44	11	3	1
8 I need to do well in mathematics to get the job I want	100	72	21	4	1	1
9 I would like a job that involved mathematics	100	27	39	23	9	1
10 I need to do well in mathematics to please my parents	100	44	30	17	9	0

Table 51. Agreement with Statements about Mathematics

Most of the students surveyed agreed with the statements: 'I think learning mathematics will help me in my daily life' (94%), 'I need to do well in mathematics to get the job I want' (93%), 'I need mathematics to learn other school subjects' (85%) and 'I like mathematics' (81%). Seventy-two percent (72%) indicated that they usually did well in mathematics and 74% agreed that they need to do well to please their parents. The data also reveal a significant level of disagreement (80%) amongst students about; sometimes, when they did not initially understand a new topic in mathematics, they knew that they would never really understand it.



Source: Table 51

Necessity	Total	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not stated
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			Per	centage		_
Lots of natural ability	100	20	39	29	10	1
Good luck	100	10	18	40	31	1
Lots of hard work and studying at home	100	77	20	2	1	Ο
To memorise the textbook or notes	100	28	41	22	8	1
Extra lessons	100	29	38	23	10	1

Table 52. Necessities for doing well in Mathematics at School



Almost all (97%) of the students surveyed agreed that 'lots of hard work and studying at home' was necessary to do well in mathematics at school and a large proportion (71%) disagreed that 'good luck' was needed to perform well in the subject.

	Time spent							
Activity	Total	None	Less than 1 hour	1-2 hours	2-4 hours	Over 4 hours	Not stated	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
]	Percenta	age			
1 Watching television or videos	100	11	34	31	13	11	1	
2 Going to the cinema	100	85	3	4	4	3	1	
3 Playing computer games	100	54	25	10	5	5	1	
4 Hanging out with friends	100	32	32	17	8	10	1	
5 Doing jobs at home	100	21	46	22	6	4	1	
6 Working at a paid job	100	86	3	3	2	4	1	
7 Playing sports	100	35	25	20	10	8	1	
8 Reading a book or magazine	100	20	49	21	6	3	1	
9 Doing homework (all subjects)	100	3	18	36	28	14	1	
10 Attending extra lessons (all subjects)	100	68	6	13	9	4	1	

Table 53. Time Spent on Activities on a Normal School Day



The table shows that, of the above activities, students devoted more time to 'doing homework' on a normal school day. Least time was spent 'working at a paid job' and 'going to the cinema'. Approximately one-half (49%) of the students spent less than one hour 'reading a book or magazine'. The data also reveal that 31% and 24% of the sample were engaged in television or videos viewing of 1-2 hours and 2 hours and more, respectively.

Table 54. Items Available at Students' Homes

Item	Total	Yes	No
	(1)	(2)	(3)
		Percentage	
Calculator	100	96	4
Geometry set	100	94	6
Computer	100	61	39
Study desk for your use	100	68	32
Dictionary	100	99	1
Atlas	100	94	6
Encyclopaedia	100	63	37



The data show that almost all of the students who participated in the survey had the following items at their homes: dictionary (99%), calculator (96%), atlas (94%) and geometry set (94%). Three-fifths (61%) of the sample stated that they had access to a home computer.

Type of school		No. of books							
Type of school	Total	0-10	11-25	26-100	Over 100				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
		Percentage							
Total	100	7	19	36	38				
Junior Secondary	100	7	23	37	32				
Composite	100	11	27	36	27				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	8	19	33	40				
Government Secondary	100	6	21	36	36				
Government Assisted	100	4	14	37	45				
Private	100	10	22	33	35				

Table 55. Books at Students' Homes by Type of School



A similar proportion of the students indicated that, excluding magazines and textbooks, they had over 100 books (38%) and 26-100 books (36%) at their homes.

	Expected educational attainment									
Type of school	Total	O'lovala	A'levels/	Technical	University	Not				
	Total	Oleveis	CAPE	qualification	University	stated				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
			l	Percentage						
Total	100	8	12	8	72	1				
Junior Secondary	100	9	17	11	62	1				
Composite	100	10	17	10	63	Ο				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	10	12	10	67	1				
Government Secondary	100	8	13	8	71	1				
Government Assisted	100	4	7	5	84	1				
Private	100	12	15	10	61	1				

Table 56. Expected Level of Educational Attainment by Type of School



A significant percentage (72%) of the total sample of secondary schools students expressed a desire to attain a university-level education. The highest percentage of students desirous of obtaining this level of education was observed in the government-assisted (84%) and government secondary schools (71%).

	Educational attainment of parent/guardian										
Type of school	Total	Primary	O'levels	A'levels	Technical	University	Not	Not			
					quanneation		sure	stateu			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
				Per	rcentage						
Total	100	11	41	15	10	16	4	3			
Junior Secondary	100	13	41	18	10	7	8	3			
Composite	100	8	53	12	9	8	8	2			
Senior Sec/Comp	100	13	46	12	8	9	7	4			
Government Secondary	100	13	44	15	9	14	2	3			
Government Assisted	100	9	33	17	12	24	2	2			
Private	100	13	41	14	8	13	6	4			

Table 57. Educational Attainment of Parent/Guardian by Type of School



Two-fifths (41%) of the students from all schools reported O'levels as their parent's or guardian's highest level of educational attainment. One-quarter (24%) of the students from the government-assisted schools stated that their parents or guardians had attained university-level education.

Table 58. Use Computer by Type of School

Type of school	Use computer						
Type of school	Total	Yes	No				
	(1)	(2)	(3)				
		Percentage	-				
Total	100	94	6				
Junior Secondary	100	87	13				
Composite	100	92	8				
Senior Sec/Comp	100	92	8				
Government Secondary	100	96	4				
Government Assisted	100	97	3				
Private	100	93	7				



The majority (94%) of the secondary school students indicated that they used the computer. This pattern of computer usage was observed in all types of schools.

	Where computer used									
Type of school	Total	Homo	Sebool	Library	Friend's	Internet	Floowhoro	Not		
	Total	nome	SCHOOL		home	cafe	LISEWIIEIE	stated		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
		Percentage								
Total	100	58	10	4	8	13	6	1		
Junior Secondary	100	45	9	9	13	16	8	0		
Composite	100	45	13	4	14	15	8	1		
Senior Sec/Comp	100	52	9	4	12	14	8	1		
Government Secondary	100	55	13	5	9	11	6	1		
Government Assisted	100	72	7	2	5	9	5	0		
Private	100	49	12	5	9	19	6	0		

Table 59. Where Computer Used by Type of School



Response data reveal that a relatively large proportion (58%) of the students used the computer mainly at home. The data also show that the highest percentage (72%) of computer usage at home was recorded by students in government-assisted schools.

	Access to internet - percentage										
Type of school	Total	Hom e	School	Library	Friend's home	Internet cafe	Elsewhere	No access	Not stated		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)		
		Percentage									
Total	100	39	6	7	9	21	8	9	1		
Junior Secondary	100	25	5	10	11	26	11	12	0		
Composite	100	24	9	8	14	24	9	11	2		
Senior Sec/Comp	100	30	3	5	11	22	10	16	1		
Government Secondary	100	37	8	8	9	18	7	9	2		
Government Assisted	100	52	5	4	6	19	7	6	1		
Private	100	33	7	9	9	25	8	8	1		

Table 60. Access to Internet by Type of School



The table above shows that students accessed the Internet mainly at home (39%) followed by internet cafe (21%). Less than ten percent (6%) of the students had access to the internet at school. By type of school fifty percent (52%) of the students in the government-assisted schools used the internet at home. A quarter of the students from the junior secondary (25%) and composite schools (24%) had access to the internet at home and an even proportion at internet cafes.

	Frequency							
Exercise	Total	Once a week	Once a month	A few times a year	Never	Not stated		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
			Perce	ntage	_	_		
Email other students about mathematics	100	5	3	6	85	2		
Look up ideas and information for mathematics	100	12	11	17	58	2		
Process and analyse data	100	20	15	17	46	3		
Write reports	100	17	18	22	42	2		

Table 61. Use Computer for Mathematics Exercises



Table 61 reveals that a significant percentage (85%) of students never emailed one another about mathematics and over one half (58%) never looked up ideas and information on the subject. The most frequently performed exercises were to process and analyse data and write reports.